OUTCOME OF PLATING AND INTRAMEDULLARY FIXATION OF DISPLACED MIDSHAFT CLAVICLE FRACTURES: A SEARCH FOR THE OPTIMAL SURGICAL MANAGEMENT

Abstract of the Study:

Clavicle fractures account for 2-5% of all fractures in adults. Historically general consensus was that vast majority of clavicle fractures heal well without operative intervention. Other than traditional indications for surgical intervention such as neurovascular compromise and open fractures, operative management remained somewhat controversial and quite often it still forms an ongoing topic of debate. But recent studies point out that an exception should be made for the subgroup of patients with displaced fractures of middle third of the clavicle. Reported patient outcome improve following operative reduction and fixation and the risk of malunions and nonunions is significantly reduced. Main objective of this thesis is to directly compare open reduction and internal plate fixation with closed reduction and intramedullary fixation using a nail in favour of rate of recovery and scapulothoracic kinematics following clavicle fractures.
Introduction:

Approximately 2-5% of all fractures in adults and 10-15% in involve the clavicle. The incidence of this type of fracture in the adolescent and adult population is reportedly 29-64 per 100,000 annually. Fractures of the clavicle also demonstrate a bimodal age distribution. Young males who are less than 30 years old and elderly patients over the age of 70 appear to be two distinct age groups at higher risk for clavicle fractures.

In adults more than two thirds of these injuries occur at the diaphysis of the clavicle and are more likely to be displaced as compared to medial and lateral third fractures. Lateral third fractures are less common, accounting for approximately 25% of all clavicle fractures and are less likely to be displaced than those occurring in the midshaft. Medial third fractures comprise the remaining 2-3% of these injuries.

Traditionally nonsurgical management has been favoured as the initial treatment modality for most clavicle fractures because of the high non-union rates reported following treatment. Although nonsurgical management may be optimal for many clavicle fractures, good outcomes of nonsurgically treated fractures are not universal. Recent evidence suggests that specific subsets of patients may be at high risk for non-union, shoulder dysfunction or residual pain after nonsurgical management.
In this subset of patients acute surgical intervention may minimize suboptimal outcomes. Therefore specific treatment of clavicle fractures should not be broadly applied, but rather individualized based on fracture characteristics and patient expectations.

The purpose of this thesis is to compare the outcome after plate and intramedullary fixation of displaced midshaft clavicle fractures.

Our institution being a referral unit we are receiving many closed displaced middle third fracture clavicle. Hence it is necessary to compare surgical techniques using open reduction and internal plate fixation with closed reduction and intramedullary fixation using a nail in terms of rate of malunions, nonunions, rate of recovery, shoulder dysfunction and residual pain.
METHODS & MATERIALS:

Sample Size:

30 Cases (15 cases for ORIF with plating, 15 cases for Closed Reduction & Intramedullary Nailing).

Period of Study:

6 Months (Aug 2014 to May 2015)

Follow-up Period:

3-6 Months (12-24 Weeks)

Indication for Internal Fixation:

1) Unstable fractures
2) Open fractures
3) Neurovascular injury
4) Floating shoulder
5) Symptomatic non-union
6) Displaced Group I > 2cm shortening
**Advantages of Plating:**

1) Comminuted fractures – Lagging possible
2) No need for implant removal
3) Symptomatic non-union – Bone grafting possible

**Disadvantages of Plating:**

1) Cosmetically inferior
2) Chance of infection
3) Disturbing fracture hematoma

**Advantages of IM Nailing:**

1) Minimally invasive
2) Cosmetically superior
3) Intramedullary fixation (Endosteal Callus)

**Disadvantages of IM Nailing:**

1) Not suitable for comminuted fractures
2) Skin irritation and pain
3) Need for implant removal
**Inclusion Criteria:**

Patients aged more than 17 years irrespective of sex with closed displaced midshaft clavicle fractures (DMCF).

**Exclusion Criteria:**

- Patients aged less than 17 years
- Patients on drugs that affect bone metabolism
- Patients who have pre-existing malignancies
- Patients who have metabolic disorders

**AIMS:**

1) To study the objective and subjective results of comparing plate fixation and intramedullary fixation of DMCF and to study the Surgical Therapeutic Index as an indicator of benefits and risks of surgical trauma care

2) To study the scapulothoracic kinematics of patients after surgical fixation of DMCF

**Funding:**

No external or internal funding is provided for this study.
அங்க பந்தரகிள் பந்தரகிள் கூட்டுரியினர், நூற்றாண்டு-1.

பந்தர பச்சைக்கும் முப்பகுதி

அழுக்கும்கூட்டியான்: கம்பு பாக்கல் கூட்டு பிளை (1 Plate) புறாம் காயம் (Nail) மற்றும் தோசம் என்று குறித்தால் பயிர்கிறோம்

அழுக்கும் கூட்டுரியினர்: அங்க பந்தரகிள் பந்தரகிள் கூட்டுரியினர், நூற்றாண்டு-1.

பந்தர பச்சைக்கும் போக்கை, குறிப்பிட்டு:

இறை அங்க பந்தரகிள் பந்தரகிள் கூட்டுரியினர் கூட்டு விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் குறிப்பிட்டு தொடர்களிடம்.

இறை அங்க பந்தரகிள் பந்தரகிள் கூட்டுவன் புரிமை பெற்று கூட்டு விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் குறிப்பிட்டு தொடர்களிடம்.

இறை அங்க பந்தரகிள் புரிமை பெற்று விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் குறிப்பிட்டு தொடர்களிடம். இறை அங்க பந்தரகிள் புரிமை பெற்று விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம் குறிப்பிட்டு தொடர்களிடம்.

மாநாடு பச்சைக்கும் கூட்டுரியும் / குளும் விளக்க

தலை:

காலை:

(செலுத்துகள், குறிப்பிட்டுகள்)

அழுக்கும் கூட்டுரியினர் கூட்டு விளக்கத் தொடர்களிடம்
PROFORMA

S.No :

Patient Name: 

IP No: 

Age/ Sex: 

Occupation: 

Address: 

Phone no: 

Date of injury: 

Date of Discharge: 

Mode of injury: 

Side: R/ L 

Fracture type: Allman’s Group 1 fractures 

Associated injuries: 

Time duration between Injury & Surgery: 

Plating/Nailing: 

Mobilization started on: 

Time for union: 

Complications: 

Maximum Score: Maximum adjusted score:
The Disabilities of the Arm Shoulder and Hand (DASH) Symptom Scale

Overview: The Disabilities of the Arm Shoulder and Hand (DASH) symptom scale can be used to evaluate a patient with a disorder of the upper extremity. It can be used to monitor the patient over time and to determine the effectiveness of an intervention.

Measures:

(1) Opening a tight or new jar

(2) Writing

(3) Turning a key

(4) Preparing a meal

(5) Pushing open a heavy door

(6) Placing an object on a shelf above the head

(7) Doing heavy household chores

(8) Gardening or doing yard work

(9) Making a bed

(10) Carrying a shopping bag or briefcase

(11) Carrying a heavy object (over 5 kilograms)

(12) Changing a light bulb overhead
(13) Washing or blowing drying the hair

(14) Washing the back

(15) Putting on a pullover sweater

(16) Using a knife to cut food

(17) Recreational activities that require little effort

(18) Recreational activities that require taking some force or impact through the arm shoulder or hand

(19) Recreational activities that require moving the arm freely

(20) Managing transportation needs (getting from one place to another)

(21) Sexual activities

(22) Social activities

(23) Work and other daily activities

(24) Pain

(25) pain when performing activities

(26) Tingling

(27) Weakness

(28) Stiffness

(29) Difficulty in sleeping

(30) impact on self-image
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No difficulty or no symptom</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slight difficulty or mild symptom</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate difficulty or symptom</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe difficulty or symptom</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unable to perform or very severe symptom</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where:

- Pain (item 24) may refer to pain at rest.
- Alternatively the points may be assigned from 1 to 5.

total score = SUM(points for all 30 items)

adjusted score (if scored from 0 to 4) = (total score for patient) / 120 * 100
Interpretation:

• minimum score (using 0 to 4 scale): 0

• maximum score (using 0 to 4 scale): 120

• minimum adjusted score: 0

• maximum adjusted score: 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adjusted Score</th>
<th>Disability Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No disability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Extreme disability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PLATING (15)</th>
<th>ELASTIC NAIL (15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union in anatomical position</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union in 12 weeks</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union in 16 weeks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonunion</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malunion</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early return to activity</td>
<td>28 days (11 cases)</td>
<td>14 days (14 cases)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiffness of shoulder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of length of clavicle</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(shortening &gt; 2cms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient compliance and functional outcome</td>
<td>Excellent-8 cases</td>
<td>Excellent-12 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Good-4 cases</td>
<td>Good- 2 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate-3 cases</td>
<td>Moderate-1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor-Nil</td>
<td>Poor-Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Complications</td>
<td>Infection-2 cases</td>
<td>Nail migration-1 case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stiffness of shoulder-3</td>
<td>Skin irritation-2 cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hypertrophied Scar-3</td>
<td>Infection-Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

Even though increased popularity of surgical methods most of the clavicle fractures managed by conservative methods till now. Nonsurgical methods are nowadays used in elderly patients with less physiological demand. But increasing evidence of good functional outcome of surgical methods favors fixation for young individuals and elderly patients with physiological demand. Good anatomical reduction for comminuted fractures and no need for implant exit are merits of plating. But surgical scar and chances of infection are more in plating. Intramedullary fixation, minimally invasive and early mobilization are the merits of elastic nailing. But need for implant exit and inadequate fixation for comminuted fractures are demerits of nailing.

In conclusion titanium elastic nail size of 2 – 2.5 mm diameter is recommended for displaced midshaft clavicle fractures. When compared to plating, nailing has excellent functional outcome and minimal complications.
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