A STUDY OF PSYCHIATRIC MORBIDITY IN POST HYSTERECTOMY PATIENTS

Dissertation submitted for Doctor of medicine

(Branch – XVIII) Psychiatry

SEPTEMBER 2006



THE TAMILNADU DR. M.G.R. MEDICAL UNIVERSITY CHENNAI TAMILNADU

DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHIATRY MADURAI MEDICAL COLLEGE AND GOVERNMENT RAJAJI HOSPITAL MADURAI.

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the dissertation entitled "A STUDY OF PSYCHIATRIC MORBIDITY IN POST HYSTERECTOMY PATIENTS" presented herewith by *DR.M.V.PREETHI* to the faculty of Psychiatry, The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the award of M.D. degree in Psychiatry is a bonafide work carried out by her under my direct supervision and guidance.

Professor and Head of the Department
Department of Psychiatry
Madurai Medical College
Madurai.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply indebted to **PROF. DR. V.K. SAMILAL M.D., D.P.M.**, Prof. and Head, Institute of Psychiatry, Madurai Medical College and Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, who kind heartedly guided throughout the study, by offering valuable suggestions and encouragement, without whom this work would not have been possible.

My profound thanks to **DR. SARASWATHI M.B.B.S., M.D., DEAN,** Madurai Medical College, Madurai, for permitting me to utilize the clinical materials of the Hospital.

I am sincerely thankful to **PROF. DR. MRS. REVATHY M.D., D.G.O.,** Head of Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Madurai medical college, and Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai, who kindly permitted me for conducting the study in the department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology.

I am very grateful to **DR. S. NAGARAJ** Associate Professor, Institute of Psychiatry for his valuable advice.

I wish to thank **DR. C.P. RABINDRANATH, DR V. RAMANUJAM AND DR. T. KUMANAN** for the guidance and encouragement. I extend my thanks to **MR. KRISHNA MOORTHY M.Sc., (Stat.)** for his expert help in analysing the data statistically.

I owe my deep sense of gratitude to the patients who cooperated for the study to become complete.

I also extend my thanks to Media Nett for doing this dissertation work.

CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGES
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Review of literature	4
3.	Aim of the study	17
4.	Methodology	18
5.	Results and interpretations	25
6.	Discussion	46
7.	Conclusion	51
8.	Limitation of the study	52
9.	Practical implications	53
	Bibliography	
	Appendix	

INTRODUCTION

The Importance of psychological factors, especially the bodily manifestations of emotional changes play a perceptible part in illness over the whole field of medicine since antiquity. Hippocrates, the father of western medicine coined the term hysteria and was keenly aware of the interaction of the mind and body. Benjamine Rush, the father of American Psychiatry emphasised the need to conceptualise the patient's soul and body as integrated.

The current century following the predecessor's concept developed Consultation - Liaison psychiatry (Zbigniew Lipowski) (CTP-VIII) as a subspeciality to Psychiatry. The early phase of such development focused on the consultation, the development of continuing the relationships with staff, teaching and the theoretical aspects of the Mind – Body interaction.

The organisation phase (1935-60) was marked by development, consolidation and evaluation of consultation - liaison services. Only during conceptual phase, consultation liaison psychiatry advanced in intensive care units, burn and Dialysis services, Gynaecology and Obstetrics among others.

Ever since ancient times relationship between uterus and psychological factors have been emphasised. This is evidenced by the term 'Hysteria' which denotes an array of psychiatric syndromes which were conceptualised to be due to disturbances of uterus. Though with scientific advances in basic sciences like Anatomy,

Physiology and with advent of biological psychiatry we deemphasize the role of uterus as conceptualised by our predecessors, its importance is still stressed in some other way.

Uterus has a special symbolic meaning for women so that its loss leads to a feeling of reduced feminity which inturn leads to psychiatric disorders (Krafft – Ebing- 1890). So the relationship between uterus and psychiatry is there to stay.

Freud regarded the uterus as a significant symbol of feminity. Surgical operations on the uterus are productive of an 'insult' to the emotional 'equilibrium' The patient passes through series of emotional experiences resulting in a crisis (Raphael, 1972). She has to contend with the unavoidable truth – the absence of the uterus which, she believes, gives her the grace, sexuality and feminity which is exclusively hers (IJP 1982 p.75).

Drellich and Bieber (1958) describes six important functions for uterus (1) a child bearing organ (2) an excretory organ (3) regulator and controller of body processes (4) a sexual organ (5) a reservoir of strength and vitality, (6) a maintainer of youth and attractiveness. Hence forth removal of the uterus may be regarded as equivalent to castration.

From the above views, it can be easily understood that removal of the uterus may be associated with a lot of functional symptoms leading on to calling for a psychiatrist in consultation - liaison work. So to serve effectively in such a consultation - liaison work, it is essential that a proper study of psychiatric reactions

in hysterectomy is essential for psychiatrist. Both international and Indian psychiatric scene has seen a lot of literature regarding psychiatric aspects of hysterectomy. However contribution from this part of the country is minimal.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Hysterectomy and tubectomy are both psychologically traumatizing events viewed as loss of feminity in a woman. Various studies had been conducted through out the world and in India, where the role of female is more emphasized, the symptoms are also more common.

Some of the Indian studies

Deepa and Subramanian IJP: 1982 24 (1) 75-79

Prospective study of women undergoing hysterectomy in Christian Medical College Vellore – Title: -Psychiatric aspects of hysterectomy. The main findings of this studies are: (1) Psychiatric disturbances are more frequent after hysterectomy than other major Gynaecological operations. They found 20% patients had some psychiatric disturbance as depressive illness. The previous personality pattern appears to be related to the tendency to get psychiatric disturbance. None of their sample showed a clear cut 'post hysterectomy syndrome' described by Richards in 1974. Neurotic personality which makes them more vulnerable to develop psychiatric disturbance.

Vyas; Rathore Ijp 1989 31 (1) 83-89

They found there was a significant increase in psychiatric morbidity after Hysterectomy. On psychiatric interview as well as on psychiatric questionnaires. 20% Reactive depression, Neurotic depression, 26% Anxiety Neurosis, 3% phobic

neurosis. 80% of patients who had previous history of psychiatric illness developed psychiatric illness post operatively.

Bhatia, Nirmaljit IJP 1990 32 (1) 52-56

Title: psychiatric reactions in hysterectomy:

Prospective study:

In this no significant association of socio demographic factors like age, literacy, marital status, parity and socio economic class could be found with psychiatric outcome, none of their sample showed a clearcut, post hysterectomy

syndrome described by Richards1974.

Reader (progress in Obstetrics Gynaecology) p 307. Disorders of Female sexuality: Surgical procedures are likely to affect body and self image especially mastectomy and hysterectomy which also affect the women's sense of femaleness.

Bhatia; NeenaBhora, Journal of O&G. India 1988 vol. 38 p. 510-513.

Tubal ligation rarely leads to any adverse psychological outcome but the

presence of feeling of guilt in a significantly higher number of women patients after

sterilization show poor psychiatric outcome as found by Gath and Cooper in the

studies by Rakshit 1966, Lu and Chun 1967, Black and Sclare 1968, Enoch and Jones

1975 but not in study by Sim et al 1973.

Khorana and Vyas 1975 in India (Prospective study) found a significant

increase in the frequency and severity of physical symptoms after sterilization and

interpreted these as being psychologically determined. In this, definition of psychiatric disorder was unsatisfactory.

GATH AND COOPER had done extensive study of psychiatric aspect of hysterectomy and female sterilisation.

DENNIS GATH PETER J. COOPER (Recent advances in Clinical Psychiatry) 1982:

Title: Psychiatric aspects of hysterectomy and female sterilization. The author has reviewed the articles regarding the title and has given his own views too:

Majority of studies conducted before 1970 had reported high risks of psychiatric morbidity after hysterectomy and female sterilization while some did not support.

The finding that the psychiatric morbidity is more after hysterectomy is found in different studies (Lindeman 1941, Stengel 1958, Ackner 1960, Barglow 1965, Bragg 1965 and Becker 1968) but not in the study by Patterson and Craing (1963).

Gath and Cooper describes in his review of an article by Richards 1973. In this Richards has made an evidence to say hysterectomy frequently leads to psychiatric disorder. 36% of hysterectomised patients became depressed within the first 5 years. 33% depression after 3 years of operation and only 7% in the non hysterectomised controls. In Richard's 1974 study on psychiatric morbidity between hysterectomy and other surgeries, it is given as 73% were depressed in hysterectomy

but only 29% in the control. So it is concluded by him as 'Posthysterectomy syndrome'.

Studies favouring poor psychiatric outcome (Kaltreider et al1976) and studies differing from it (Chynowath 1973, Meikle and Brody 1977 and martin et al 1977, 1980) are also given in the article by Gath and Cooper. But Gath and Cooper's own study does not support for poor psychiatric outcome.

Gath and Cooper also noticed that younger the patients more the symptoms were found after hysterectomy (Patternson and Craig 1963 and Bragg 1965, Richards 1973) – and Gath et al's own study differs form the above finding. Gath 1980, Gath and Cooper 1981, Gath et al 1981 – have made conclusions that Hysterectomy seldom leads to psychiatric morbidity and in many cases it may alleviate psychiatric disorder.

Demographic factors are probably unimportant to influence the psychiatric morbidity (Gath and Cooper).

Previous morbid psychiatric history, definitely causes poor psychiatric outcome following hysterectomy (Lindeman 1941, Melody 1962, Barker 1968, Richards 1973, Martin 1980). As noted by Gath and Cooper.

Gath et al 1981, in his study found that high proportion of patients had high neuroticism scores on Eyesenck's personality interview. So by this, it is concluded that women with predisposed personalities, the experience of prolonged menorrhagia may induce considerable emotional distress.

Gath and Cooper expresses, as he reviewed that functioning after hysterectomy (i) does not vary (Martin 1980, Cooper 1981 (ii) varying results (Amias, 1975, Richards 1974) (iii) worsens (Drellich, bieber 1953, Ackner 1960, Utian 1975, Dennerstein 1977) (iv) improves (Gath 1981).

Cooper 1981, 1982, Sterilization did not lead to psychiatric disorder with 12-18 months after the operation.

Neurotic personality carries a poor prognosis for adjustment (Woodside 1949, De 1961, Enoch Jones 1975). Demands for careful evaluation before and after procedure.

The clinical features in a post hysterectomy patient is given in the name of post hysterectomy syndrome. These are discussed in following studies.

Dis Nerv Syst . 1977 Dec ; 38 (12) : 974 –80.

Martin RL, Roberts WV, Clayton PJ, Wetzel R.

"Post –hysterectomy syndrome" Characterized by multiple psychologic and somatic symptoms to the surgery itself. However, a high preoperative prevalence of psychiatric illness, particularly hysteria, must be considered when evaluating symptoms in a post – hysterectomy population.

Anderson: Complications after hysterectomy Denmark Acta O&G Scandinavia 1993 Oct. 72 (7) 570-7. The most pronounced increase in the risk of readmission with complication occurred among women who had been admitted to psychiatric /somatic hospital 0-12 months before had their uterus removed.

Obstet Gynecol. 1985 Feb; 65(2): 206-10

(Trag GW)

The recovery from emergency hysterectomy patients experienced fear, depression, anger, doubt, selfreproach, and multiple somatic complaints without physical origins. Sexual activity was reduced.

Mackinger HF, Graf AH, Keck E, Temper C, Kaniz C0

The group of hysterectomized women showed significantly higher levels of body complaints, depression, and unassertiveness in sexual situations, as well as decreased psychological well-being, and a more traditional gender – role orientation.

Lacovides A, lerodiakonou C, Kantarakias S.

A study of the authors in women after hysterectomy compared to a control group showed a great percentage of reactive depression, 6-12 months following the operation.

Ohkawa: Prospective study of psychosomatic reaction to hysterectomy.

Nippon Sankafujinka Gakkai Zasshi 1992 Jun: 44 (6) 676-82.

Most patients can overcome psychosomatic problems caused by hysterectomy. Psychosomatic disorder associated with hysterectomy are characterized by various symptoms but mainly by depressive state.

Casper: The effect of hysterectomy and bilateral oophorectomy in women with severe premenstrual symptoms.

Premenstrual tension disappears from 6 months following surgery.

Aust Health Rev. 1996; 19(2): 40-55.

Ferroni P, Deeble J.

Depression and self esteem scores were significantly worse for women with

gynaecological conditions.

Psychother Psychosom. 1983; 40(1-4): 246-56.

(Cooper PJ, Gath DH)

Symptoms experienced in the hysterectomy group both before and after the

operation were much greater than those in the general population, especially

depression, lack of energy, and general anxiety.

Ferroni P, Deeble J

Women regarded the uterus as essential to feminity or womanhood and very

few saw it as affecting sexuality.

Eicher: Sexual function and sexual disorders after hysterectomy.

Geburnt Shilfe Frauenheilkd 1993 Aug 53 (8) 519-29.

Hysterectomy leads to a temporary impairment of sexual behaviour.

Psychological factors also play an important part.

Regarding the pre existing psychological traits and psychiatric outcome,

Geburtshilfe Frauenheilkd. 1996 Sep; 56(9): 473-81.

Strauss B, Jakel I, Koch – Dorfler M, Lehmann - Willenbrock E, Giese KP,

Semm K.

These findings support view that consequences of hysterectomies are more

likely to be predicted on the basis of psychological traits that existed before the

operation, rather than from a medico- technical point of view.

Gynecol obstet Fertil . 2002 Jun; 30 (6): 474-82.

J psychosom Obset Gynaecol, 2003 Jun; 24(2): 99-109.

Donoghue AP, Jackson HJ, Pagano R.

In assessing modeling revealed the key position of neuroticism and

extraversion, which were both directly and indirectly related to pre- and post-

operative depression and anxiety.

Can J Psychiatry. 1985 Feb; 30 (1): 44-7

Br J Psychiatry. 1982 Apr , 140 ; 343-50.

Psychiatric outcome, measured in terms of Present state examination cases

detected at 18 months, was strongly associated with preoperative mental state,

neuroticism, previous psychiatric history, and family psychiatric history.

Regarding the type of surgery and psychiatric morbidity,

Graesslin O, Martin – Morille C, Leguillier – Amour MC.

Psychosexual impact of hysterectomy is limited and is not more frequent when vaginal surgery is performed, but pre-and post- operative prevention can be useful.

Lalinec – Michaud M, Engelsmann F.

Depression occurred also more often in women who had emergency hysterectomies and in women who had expressed preoperatively some fears of possible change in their sexual life after the operation.

Bibl Psychiatric 1981; (160): 84-91.

Nathorst: Psychological reactions sexual life after hysterectomy with and without oophorectomy- Sweden.

O&G Invest . 1992 : 34 (2) 97-101.

Patients had more positive attitude towards the operation when ovaries were preserved. This is not so when ovaries were removed.

Berhard: Consequence of hysterectomy in the lives of women . Healths care women Int. 1992 Jul – Sept: 13 (3) 281- 91.

Prospective: Before operation, most of the women had both positive and negative feelings about hysterectomy. After 6 months most women reported atleast sometimes having negative symptoms.

The influence of place of origin and post hysterectomy psychiatric outcome is given by the following study

Wien Klin wochenschr. 2001 Dec 17; 113 (23-24); 954-9.

Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol . 1989 May ; 24 (3): 165-71.

Lalinec – Michaud M, Engelsmann F.

Women of European origin showed the highest scores on the Self rating depression scale at all observations, expressed more regrets about the operation and had a more difficult post – operative adjustment than the other two groups.

Education, the type of society; patriarchal versus matriarchal, the emphasis on the women's reproductive ability in a particular culture, are among other factors that seem to play an important role.

Some studies show that the psychological reaction towards tubectomy are not long lasting.

J Psychosom Res. 1997 Feb; 42(2): 187-96. Related Articles, Links

Tang CS, Chung TK

There was significant improvement in women's mental health following sterilization, and their sexual adjustment such as coital frequency and sexual satisfaction were not adversely affected at 1 year follow up.

These findings suggest that female sterilization is not associated with adverse psychological sequelae; it is recommended, however, that another follow-up be conducted 5 years after sterilization.

Br Med J (Clin Res Ed). 1982 Feb 13; 284 (6314): 461 –4.

Cooper P, Gath D Rose N, Fieldsend R)

outcome.

There was no evidence that elective interval sterilization increased the risk of psychiatric the risk of psychiatric disturbance up to 18 months after the operation.

The preoperative and postoperative psychotherapy can influence the psychiatric

Milz; Holistic – Psychosomatic aspects of surgical organ loss Zxentralbl Gynakol 1992: 114 (B) 395-402.

Coping Processes after hysterectomy and breast surgery can improve following preoperative doctor patient- dialogue and post operative psychotherapeutic and body therapeutic strategies.

Berhard – Men's views about hysterectomy and women who have them. Image J nuts Sch. 1992 Fall: 24 (3) 177. 81.

Many men were not knowledgeable about hysterectomy they perceived negative psychological, social, and sexual effects of hysterectomy on women.

Williamson: Sexual adjustment after hysterectomy J. O&G Neonatal Nurs. 1992 Jan – Feb: 21 – Feb: 21 (1) 42 – 7.

The impact of hysterectomy or oophorectomy is not limited to problems of altered body image and depression. Uterus removal, compounded by the possible loss of estrogen and androgens, alters sensations and the reactions that had been part of a

women's sexual response. Sexual and marital anticipatory guidance regarding decreased libidos, physical changes, loss and grief reactions.

Block: Efficacy of therapeutic suggestions for improved postoperative recovery presented during general anaesthesia.

There were no meaningful, significant differences in post operative recovery of patients receiving therapeutic suggestions and controls.

Schofield: Self – reported long –term outcomes of hysterectomy.

Br. J. O&G 1991 Nov. 98 (11) 1129-36.

Most of the women reported improvements in symptoms experienced before hysterectomy but more than half the women had symptoms which they believed had been worsened or caused by the hysterectomy. The results highlight the need to examine more closely.

Osborn- Psychological and physical determinants of premenstrual symptoms before and after hysterectomy.

After hysterectomy, levels of Premenstrual tension symptoms fell, significantly in the whole group, indicating that psychological factors were important determinants of such symptoms before hysterectomy.

Dulaney: A comprehensive education and support program for women experiencing hysterectomy. J.O&G Neonatal Nurs 1990 Jul-Aug 19 (4) 319-25. When undergoing hysterectomies require special education and emotional support.

Health care Women Int. 1996 Jan-Feb; 17 (1): 47-55.

Wukasch RN.

Abused women had a significantly (p<0.05) higher level of depression in the

first year after the hysterectomy but not in the second year after the operation.

Gynecol Endocrinol. 2000 Apr; 14 (2): 132-41.

Khastgir G, Studd JW, catalan

An early detection of ovarian failure after hysterectomy, the initiation of

hormone replacement therapy (HRT) immediately after surgery in perimenopausal

women and in those undergoing oophorectomy, as well as regular followup to

ensure long term compliance with HRT, would also improve the psychological

outcome.

AIM

To study psychiatric morbidity in Hysterectomised women when compared to Tubectomised women on the basis of various psycho social factors.

HYPOTHESIS

- 1. Hysterectomy group has poorer psychiatric outcome than Tubectomy group.
- 2. Hysterectomy group are more depressed than Tubectomy group.
- 3. Hysterectomy group are more depressed and anxious than Tubectomy group.
- 4. Hysterectomy group who report more symptoms have suffered more stressful life events.
- 5. Extraverted women do not report more symptoms.
- 6. Neurotic women report more symptoms.
- 7. Psychiatric morbidity is more in nuclear type of family.
- 8. Psychiatric morbidity is more in younger age group.

METHODOLOGY

Women underwent hysterectomy attending the outpatient Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai during the period from June 1st to September 30th 2005 formed the study group. Consecutive cases were screened and those who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected for the study.

A control group cases of Tubectomised patients attending the same department were chosen as per inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Both the sample and control group were matched in the following variables like (1) Family type, (2) Domicile (3) Time of interview following surgery (4) Socio Economic status and (5) Marital status.

INCLUSION CRITERIA

- Women who underwent hysterectomy before the age of 40. (In India Menopausal age varies from 44 and 50 years – Wyon et al 1966, Sing et al 1983).
- 2. Time of interview after surgery between 6 months and 12 months.

EXCLUSION CRITERIA

- 1. Women who underwent hysterectomy for malignancy.
- 2. Those with Pre and co existing physical disorders (except indications for hysterectomy or Tubectomy).
- 3. Those with Pre and Co existing Psychiatric disorders.
- 4. Those who are taking drugs which are known to alter emotional state.

Initial detailed interview with a constructed proforma designed for the purpose was conducted. After developing a rapport and getting their consent, General health questionnaire (GHQ) was administered. Goldberg's GHQ 60 item version was used. The questions were read aloud in their mother tongue and asked to indicate the response most suited them. Then they were also administered the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HARS) and Hamilton depression rating scale (HDRS) for quantification purpose. For the educated patients the scales were used as self rating tools. They were than given Eyesenck's personality interview (EPI) in Tamil version and Presumptive stressful life events scale (PSLE-S) and Socio economic status-scale (SES) was reconstructed from the data obtained in the proforma.

For the cases which scored 12 or more in GHQ a detailed mental status examination following the proforma used in Institute of Psychiatry, Government Rajaji Hospital, Madurai was done.

TOOLS USED

- 1. Proforma specially designed for the purpose.
- 2. General Health Questionnaire (GHQ Goldberg).
- 3. Hamilton Rating Scale for Anxiety (HRS-A).
- 4. Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRS-D).
- 5. Presumptive Stressful Life Events Scale (PSLE-S).
- 6. Socio Economic Status-scale (SES).
- 7. Eyesenck's Personality Interview (EPI).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis was done using parametric tests like Mean, Standard deviation and students 't' test. A correlation analysis by Pearson's coefficient of correlation was done. For qualitative analysis, Non parametric tests viz., chi square was done.

General Health Questionnaire

General Health Questionnaire devised by David Goldberg in 1972. It has been found to be a reliable screening instrument with acceptable reliability for the identification of patients with psychiatric problems. GHQ consists of 60 questions arranged in 3 groups.

Group A: General health, physical complaints, sleep disturbances.

Group B: Behaviour changes.

Group C: Emotional changes.

The scoring is done using 4 point scale 0-0-1-1 wherein if the patient has no symptoms or no more than usual symptoms they are given a score of '0' or the symptoms are more worse than usual, much more / much worse than usual they are given a score of '1'.

Optimal threshold for psychiatric case detection in a general practice setting was found to be a total score of 12 or above.

Hamilton's Rating Scale for Depression - HRS-D (1982)

HRS-D is a 17item scale formulated for use with the general scoring sheet. The scale points vary from 0 to 4 to measure the severity of symptoms. There are 4 other items, the scores of which are not used to quantify depression but used as additional information.

HRS-A is one of the most widely used instruments for the clinical assessment of depressive illness.

Applicability: Adults with depressive symptomatology. Time span, rated: Now or within the last week.

The scale provides a simply way of assessing the severity of a patient's condition quantitatively.

A score of 3 to 7 is taken as no depression, 8 to 15 as minor depression and 16 and above as major depression.

Hamilton Rating Scale For Anxiety (Hrs-A) (1959)

It is a more comprehensive and widely used general anxiety scale. It contains 14 items with severity ratings varying form 0 to 4,0 being none, 4 being severe and grossly disabled. (It is divided into 2 broad categories vice psychic and somatic). Items 1 to 7 measure the psychic anxiety and the rest measures the somatic anxiety. The somatic part contains items, which measure systems like cardiovascular, respiratory, gastro intestinal, genitourinary and autonomic, most studies measuring anxiety use HRS-A as their tool. A cut off score of 14 and above is taken as illness.

Eyesenk's Personality Inventory (EPI) 1963

EPI has been devised by Eyesenck based on his own dimensional theory of personality. It measures 3 dimensions viz Extraversion, Introversion & Neuroticism. There are 57 questions. Twenty four questions measure extra version, twenty four questions measure neuroticism and the reset 9 measures lie scores. This has been translated into many Indian languages. There is a Tamil version of the inventory contributed by Institute of mental health Chennai. It is self-rating inventory. The

person has to choose either 'Yes' or 'No' to each is taken as extraverted individual, a score between 8 to 11 ambiverted, a score of 7 or below is introverted. A score of more than 7 of neuroticism is taken as significant and a score of more than 5 in lie score is taken as unreliable. This scale is one of the most widely used in personality inventory.

Socio economic status (SES) S.E. Gupta & B.P. Sethi (1978) (kuppusamy 1962)

Socio Economic status scale consists of scores on 3 variables (Education, occupation and income) on the basis of a 10 point scale, It consists of 10 categories of socio economic status ranging from the highest to the lowest. The 10 point scale consists of 200 scores with equal class intervals. The categories are being grouped into 5 social class viz very high, upper middle, middle, lower middle and very low. The inter - rater reliability is found to be high (R=0.96). This scale incorporates guidelines to score children, dependent persons as well as non dependent persons/married and unmarried subjects. The general remarkably lower position. The next 60 scores relate to average / slightly above average positions and the scores between 120-200 pertains to the higher positions.

The other scales (Kuppusamy 1962, Varma and Khanna 1976) which had earlier been most frequently employed in study have become irrelevant in the present socio economic context in view of substantial devaluation of money and various other social changes. In fact, the present scale (Gupta & Sethi) also needs revision.

Presumptive Stressful Life Events Scale (PSLE-S) Gurmeet Singh 1984

PSLE-S is a scale of stressful life events designed for use in Indian population. The scale was revised based on Homes and Rahe's social Readjustment Rating Schedule (SRRS), because many items in the SRRS were found to be not applicable to Indian population.

The Scale consists of 51 items which are arranged in a descending order of severity. Each event is given a mean stress score which varies form 95 to 20. The events may further be divided into desirable undesirable and ambiguous, personal and impersonal. The scale may be administered for two- time spaces i.e., life time and recent one year.

RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS

Table I

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to AGE

S.No Gi	Grouped age	San	nple	Control			Γotal	
	- I was	N	%	N	%	N	%	
1.	Below mean	6	20.0	23	76.6	29	48.3	
2.	Above mean	24	80.0	7	23.3	31	51.7	
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0	

Chi- square= 19.9 df:1 P<0.05

Significant

The above table shows the distribution of sample and control with regard to age. The results show that where 76.7% of control lies above mean age, 80% of sample lie below mean age. This is significant. Age is not a matching variable at tubectomy is usually done around the age of 25-30 and hysterectomy around 35-40.

Table II

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to DOMICILE

S.No	Domicile type	San	nple	Cor	ntrol	To	otal
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Urban	11	36.7	18	60	20	48.3
2.	Rural	19	63.3	12	40	31	51.7
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 3.27 df:1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The above table shows distribution of sample and control with regard to Domicile type. The results show No significant difference.

Table III

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to GHQ (GHQ score more than 12 or more significant)

S.No	GHQ type	San	nple	Cor	ntrol	To	otal
	CSP	N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Not Significant	7	23.3	10	33.3	17	28.3
2.	Significant	23	76.7	20	66.7	43	71.7
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 0.74 df:1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The results of the above shows no significance in relation to GHQ score between sample and control group.

Table IV

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to FAMILY TYPE (Nuclear and Extended)

S.No	Family Type	San	nple	Coi	ntrol	To	otal
	J = J F 1	N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Nuclear	18	60.0	20	66.7	38	63.3
2.	Extended	12	40.0	10	33.3	22	36.7
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 0.29 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The results of the above shows no significant difference with regard to family type either nuclear or extended.

Table V

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to PSLE –S Score (More than 20 units within the recent 1 year)

S.No	PSLE-S Type	San	nple	Cor	ntrol	To	otal
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Not significant	25	83.3	26	86.7	51	85.0
2.	Significant	5	16.7	4	13.3	9	15.0
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 0.13 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The results of the above tables shows no significant difference between sample and control group in PSLE-S Score.

Table VI

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to

Extrovert score in EPI: Cut off score 12 and above extrovert

9-11 is Ambivert

S.No	Extrovert score	San	nple	Cor	ntrol	To	otal
5.110	type	N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Introvert	2	6.7	8	26.7	10	16.7
2.	Ambivert	13	43.3	13	43.3	26	43.3
3.	Extrovert	15	50.0	9	30.0	24	40.0
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 5.00 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

Below 9 is introvert

The results of the above table show that there is no significant difference between sample and control group with regard to Extrovert - score in EPI.

Table VII

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to

Neurotic score above 7 is taken as significant

S.No	EPI neuro	San	nple	Cor	ntrol	To	otal
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Not significant			2	6.7	2	3.3
2.	Significant	30	100.0	28	93.3	58	96.7
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 3.07 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The sample and control group do not differ in their neurotic score.

Table VIII

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to HAM-A (Hamilton's Anxiety rating scale)

S.No	HAM-A type	Sample		Control		Total	
	• •	N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	Not significant	15	50.0	19	63.3	34	56.7
2.	Significant	15	50.0	11	36.7	26	43.3
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 1.09 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The results of the above table shows that the sample and control group do not differ significantly in scoring on HARS.

Table IX

Distribution of SAMPLE and CONTROL with regard to Scoring in Hamilton's depression rating scale.

0-7 No depression8-15 minor depression16 and above major depression

S.No	HAM-D type	Sample		Control		Total	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
1.	No Depression	6	20.0	10	33.3	16	26.7
2.	Minor Depression	13	43.3	14	46.7	27	45.0
3.	Major Depression	11	36.7	6	20.0	17	28.3
	Total	30	100.0	30	100.0	60	100.0

Chi- square = 2.51 df: 1 P>0.05

Not Significant

The results in the above table shows that the sample and control group do not vary in HAM-D.

Table X
t- test results of SAMPLE and CONTROL population
With reference to different selected factors

S.No	Factors	Sample	Control	t	Df	Statistical
	GHQ					
1.	Mean	17.93	14.13			
1.	SD	8.46	7.24	1.87	58	p>0.05
	SE	1.55	1.32			
	PSLE-S					
2.	Mean	133.73	122.43			
4.	SD	69.93	79.58	0.58	58	p>0.05
	SE	12.77	14.53			
	EPI- extrovert					
3.	Mean	12.57	9.57			
3.	SD	3.66	3.13	3.41	58	P<0.05
	SE	0.67	0.57			(Sig)
	EPI- Neuroticism					
4.	Mean	14.50	13.27			
4.	SD	3.37	3.63	1.36	58	p>0.05
	SE	0.62	0.66			
	HAM-A					
5.	Mean	14.50	12.33			P>0.05
5.	SD	6.20	6.24	1.35	58	
	SE	1.13	1.14			
	HAM- D					
6.	Mean	14.17	10.70			P<0.05
υ.	SD	6.39	5.49	2.25	58	(Sig)
	SE	1.17	1.00			

From the above table, significant difference between sample and control are seen with reference to extroversion and Depression score in HAM- D. No significant differences are found on other factors like GHQ, PSLE-S, EPI – Neuroticism and HAM-A.

Table XI t- test results of SAMPLE group based on GHQ score on various factors.

S.No	test results of SAMPI Factors	Not	Significant	T	df	Statistical
		significant				
	Age					
1.	Mean	34.86	35.48			p>0.05
1.	SD	3.98	5.82	0.26	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.50	1.21			
	PSLE-S					
2	Mean	124.29	136.61			p>0.05
2.	SD	81.79	67.71	0.40	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	30.91	14.12			
	EPI- extrovert					
•	Mean	11.71	12.83			p>0.05
3.	SD	2.06	4.03	0.70	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	0.78	0.84			
	EPI- Neuroticism					
4.	Mean	12.29	15.17			P<0.05
4.	SD	2.63	3.33	2.10	28	(Sig)
	SE	0.99	0.69			
	HAM-A					
_	Mean	7.71	16.57			P<0.05
5.	SD	4.82	5.03	4.12	28	(Sig)
	SE	1.82	1.05			
	HAM- D					
6	Mean	7.14	16.3			P<0.05
6.	SD	2.67	5.60	4.15	28	(Sig)
	SE	1.01	1.17			

Based on GHQ scores the sample was divided into two groups, not significant and significant. The above table shows significant difference between the above said groups with reference to Neurotic score in EPI and anxiety and depression scores in Hamilton's Rating Scale.

Table XII t- test results of SAMPLE group based on DOMICLE (Urban & Rural) type on various factors

S.No	Factors	Urban	Rural	t	Df	Statistical
	GHQ					
1.	Mean	19.55	17.00			p>0.05
	SD	11.25	6.52	0.79	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	3.39	1.50			
	PSLE-S					
2.	Mean	112.55	146.00			p>0.05
2.	SD	73.22	66.83	1.28	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	22.08	15.33			
	EPI- extrovert					
3.	Mean	13.64	11.95			P>0.05
3.	SD	3.96	3.44	1.23	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.19	0.79			
	EPI- Neuroticism					
4.	Mean	15.55	13.89			p>0.05
7.	SD	3.62	3.16	1.31	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.09	0.73			
	HAM-A					
5.	Mean	15.55	13.89			P>0.05
J.	SD	6.46	6.15	0.70	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.95	1.41			
	HAM- D					
6.	Mean	15.27	13.53			P>0.05
J.	SD	7.60	5.70	0.72	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	2.29	1.31			

The above table shows that the sample group does not show any significant differences in various factors, when taken into consideration their domicile type i.e., Urban and Rural people do not differ in their outcome after Hysterectomy.

Table XIII t- test results of SAMPLE group based on FAMILY TYPE (Nuclear and Extended) on various factors

S.No	Factors	r and Extended) Nuclear	Extended	t	df	Statistical
	GHQ					
1.	Mean	17.61	18.42			p>0.05
	SD	7.99	9.47	0.25	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.88	2.73			
	PSLE-S					
2.	Mean	123.56	149.00			p>0.05
4.	SD	66.51	75.04	0.98	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	15.68	21.66			
	EPI- extrovert					
3.	Mean	12.94	12.00			P>0.05
J.	SD	3.60	3.84	0.69	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	0.85	1.11			
	EPI- Neuroticism					
4.	Mean	14.78	14.08			p>0.05
7.	SD	3.32	3.55	0.55	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	0.78	1.03			
	HAM-A					
5.	Mean	14.22	14.92			P>0.05
J.	SD	6.04	6.68	0.30	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.42	1.93			
	HAM- D					
6.	Mean	13.94	14.50			P>0.05
	SD	6.35	6.72	0.23	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.50	1.94			

The above table shows that the sample group does not show any significant difference in various factors. When taken into consideration their family type does not influence the outcome after Hysterectomy.

Table XIV t- test results of SAMPLE group based on GROUPED AGE Mean age 35.33

S.No	Factors Below mean Above		t	df	Statistical	
5.110	raciois	Delow mean	mean	'	uı	Statistical
	GHQ		1110411			
1.	Mean	18.17	17.88			p>0.05
1.	SD	7.06	8.91	0.07	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	2.88	1.82			
	PSLE-S					
2.	Mean	113.17	130.88			p>0.05
2.	SD	40.09	75.36	0.08	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	16.37	15.38			
	EPI- extrovert					
3.	Mean	12.83	12.50			P>0.05
3.	SD	3.49	3.78	0.20	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.42	0.77			
	EPI- Neuroticism					
4.	Mean	14.67	14.46			p>0.05
4.	SD	4.27	3.82	0.13	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	1.75	0.66			
	HAM-A					
5.	Mean	17.33	13.79			P>0.05
3.	SD	6.68	6.01	1.26	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	2.73	1.23			
	HAM- D					
6.	Mean	13.50	14.33			P>0.05
0.	SD	5.21	6.74	0.28	28	(Not Sig)
	SE	2.13	1.38			

The above table results show that the sample group does not show any significant difference in various factors when taken into consideration their age (i.e) age does not influence the out come after hysterectomy.

Table XV
Summary table on 't' test results

S.No	Variables	Sample and	GHQ	Family type	Domicile
		Control	Significant Non-Significant	Nuclear /Extended	Urban/ Rural
1.	GHQ	N.S.	Sig	N.S	N.S
2.	PSLE-S	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
3.	EPI Extroversion	Sig	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.
4.	EPI Neuroticism	N.S.	Sig	N.S.	N.S.
5.	HAM-A	N.S.	Sig	N.S.	N.S.
6.	HAM-D	Sig	Sig	N.S.	N.S.

Sig – Significant

N.S. - Non Significant

Thus in the study statistically significant differences were found among

- 1. Sample and control group with regard to extra-version and depression score and
- 2. Within sample group when subgrouped into significant and non-significant groups with regard to neuroticism, Anxiety and Depression.

Table XVI $\label{eq:correlation} \mbox{Inter correlation matrix for selected factors in the study of } \\ \mbox{SAMPLE group}$

	Age	GHQ	PSLE-S	EPI-E	EPI-N	HAM-A	HAM-D
Age	1.0000						
GHQ	+0.1283	1.0000					
PSLE-S	+0.0767	+0.1500	1.0000				
EPI-E	+0.1437	+0.0635	-0.3489	1.0000			
EPI-N	+0.1841	+0.4861*	+0.0884	-0.0070	1.0000		
HAM-A	-0.0897	+0.6532**	+0.1868	+0.1586	+0.5633*	1.0000	
HAM-D	+0.2726	+0.7726**	+0.3693	-0.0587	+0.5307*	+0.6721**	1.0000

+ Positively correlated * 99% significance No. of cases = 30

- Negatively correlated ** 99.99% significance

The above table shows:

The age factor positively Correlates with scores in GHQ, PSLE-S EPI-E,
 EPI-N and HAM-D and Negatively Correlates with HAM-A only.

- 2. The GHQ score Positively correlates with scores in PSLE-S, EPI-E, EPI-N HAM-A and HAM-D and significantly with EPI-N and very significant with HAM-A & HAM-D.
- 3. The PSLE-S positively correlates with EPI-N, HAM-A and HAM-D negatively correlates with EPI-E.
- 4. The EPI-E score Negatively Correlates with EPI-N and HAM-D positively correlates with HAM-A.
- 5. The EPI-N score positively correlates significantly with both HAM-A with and HAM-D.
- 6. The HAM-A score positively correlates with HAM-D which is very significant.

DISCUSSION

Hypothesis (1) Hysterectomy group has more psychiatric morbidity than Tubectomy group

Table III indicates the distribution of sample and control with regard to GHQ, does not differ significantly. Also the table X shows the same finding as per 't' test results (p>0.05). But when the hysterectomy group alone is analysed with regard to various factors, table XI those who have scored more in EPI-N, HAM-A and HAM-D, the hysterectomy group significantly scored more in GHQ (p<0.05).

The finding of poor outcome was often noted in the earlier studies Lindeman 1941, Stengel et al 1958, Ackner 1960, Barglow 965, Bragg 1965, Richards 1973, Zervos and Papaloucas 1972, Keltreides et al 1976 – Source Recent Advancement in Clinical psychiatry. In cli psy(4) 1982, Subramaniyam 1982, Vyas et al 1989, Anderson 1993, Bernhard 1992, Ohkawa 1992, Willisamson 1992, Schofield 191, Except some studies (Patterson & Craig 1963, Gath 1980, Gath studies (Patternson & Craig 1963, Gath 1980, Gath and Cooper 1981, Gath et al 1981).

Hypothesis (2) Hysterctomy group are more depressed than Tubectomy group:

Table IX indicates the distribution between Hysterectomy and Tubectomy group does not differ in relation to HAM-D score (p>0.05). Table X – Sample and control differ significantly (p<0.05). The studies that support this hypothesis are by

Subramanian 1982, Barglow 1965, Richards 1974- source Recent Adv. In cli psy. 1982. But this opposed by Martin et al 1980 – source Recent Advt. incdi. Psy. 1982).

Hypothesis (3) – Hysterectomy group suffer from mixed anxiety and depression than Tubectomy group:

Table XV shows that those who have more in GHQ have scored more equally in both HAM-A and HAM-D and that too significantly (p<0.05).

Hypothesis (4) – Hysterectomy group who report more symptoms have suffered from more stressful life events:

Table V indicates the distribution of sample and control group with regard to PSLE-S score without any significance (p>0.05).

Table X also shows the same observation. But the GHQ score is positively correlated with PSLE-S as shown in table XVI. This shows that when the stress is significantly more the GHQ score also will be more.

Hypothesis (5): - Extrovert women do not report more symptoms:

Table VI indicates the distribution of hysterectomy group and tubectomy group with regard to extrovert score in EPI without significance. Table XI shows that those who are extrovert do not report significantly in GHQ (p>0.05). But table XVI denotes that those who are extrovert in EPI are positively correlated with HAM-A and negatively with EPI-N, and HAM-D. This finding is not statistically significant p>0.05.

Hypothesis (6) – **Neurotic** women report more symptoms:

Table VII indicates the hysterectomy group and tubectomy group do not differ in distribution.

Table XI indicates significance in relation to GHQ. Table XVI indicates the neurotics are positively correlated with PSLE-S and significantly and positively with GHQ. This is supported by many studies Gath et al 1981 (Rec. Adv. In Cli. Psy.) Subramanian and Subramaniyan IJP 1982.

Hypothesis (7) – Psychiatric morbidity is more in Nuclear type of family than Extended type:

Table IV shows the distribution of sample and control group without significance

Table XIII indicates the hysterectomy group does not show any significant difference in relation to the score in GHQ, PSLE-S, EPI-E, EPI-N, HAM-A, or HAM-D. By this it is inferred that the type of family does not vary with the psychiatric outcome. But it may be suggested that the analysis of family support system might give some clue regarding its influence on outcome.

Hypothesis (8)- Psychiatric morbidity is more in younger people of hysterectomy group:

Table I shows distribution of sample and control group with regard to age and the results indicate significant difference between them. As we know the age of tubectomy is commonly earlier than the hysterectomy group differ for each. When analysed within the group the table XIV indicates that the age does not influence the outcome after hysterectomy.

But some studies quote younger age group have more symptoms. Patterson & Craig 1963 & Bragg 1965, Richards 1973- source Rec. Ad. In Cli. Psy. (1982).

But this study does not prove the hypothesis

Discussion based on inter correlation matrix table on selected factors

1. The age is positively correlated with score in GHQ, PSLE-S, EPI-E, EPI-N, HAM-D and negatively with HAM-A. From this observation we can infer that as the person becomes older, she is liable to give more symptoms, become more neurotic and more depressed than anxious, and the anxiety will become lesser.

Younger the hysterectomy done better the outcome will be:

2. The GHQ score with more symptoms are positively correlated with all other factors like stress, extraversion, neuroticism, anxiety and depression. Already many are convinced that stress leads to symptoms, extrovert expresses symptoms, neurotics are prone for symptoms (Significant finding in this study). Anxiety leads to more symptoms (Very significant in the study) and depressions will have more symptoms. The person being a neurotic in personality is definite to develop overt anxiety and or depression. It cautions that a person complaining more symptoms should be looked carefully for anxiety and /or depression and treated promptly.

- 3. PSLE-S (Stress) is positively correlated with neuroticism, Anxiety, and Depression. Many workers in the past have already speculated about the adverse effects of stress on psychiatric outcome or it may be said that stress always a contributing factor for the development of psychiatric morbidity. So any person with more stress undergoing hysterectomy should be warned about the outcome.
- 4. Extraversion is negatively correlated with neuroticism and depression but positively with anxiety. The observation form this table indicates extraverted person is prone for anxiety rather than Depression and he\she will less likely to be neurotic.
- 5. Neuroticism is positively and significantly correlated with anxiety and depression. So any neurotic candidate who is offered hysterectomy should definitely be cautioned about the outcome or anticipated for poor outcome to interfere in advance.
- 6. Anxiety is positively and significantly correlated with depression. It is not a surprise that anxious person is apprehensive and more pessimistic like depressives rather optimistic like extraverts. So it is suggested to ask for the anxiety symptoms if any before the surgery and removed if accessible.

CONCLUSION

Hysterectomy is common among Gynaecological surgeries now a days. We know still most women think the uterus is the major organ of feminity. So the perception towards the loss of it seems to cause psychological trauma which eventually leads to psychiatric morbidity. This study, though it is retrospective one proves definitely increased psychiatric morbidity with significant correlations with neurotic personality. Common presentations are being more of depression than anxiety and depression in mixed state. So this should be kept in mind while predicting the outcome after hysterectomy.

The inter correlation matrix table on various factors involved in the psychiatric outcome after hysterectomy, is positively correlating with stress, extraversion and neuroticism. By this study, the family type and the younger age at the time of hysterectomy do not influence the psychiatric outcome.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- 1. The major limitation of the study is the fact that it is a cross sectional analysis involving small sample size. The limitation have been attributable truly to paucity of time.
- Patient's having different indications for hysterectomy can give rise to psychiatric symptoms due to the disease per se which are not taken into account.
- 3. Regarding analysis of life events, data was collected later, distortion to time and recall bias has to be kept in mind while discussing the findings. Patients forget, deny & repress. As a result, when patients are asked to retrospectively report life events, they tend to forget events that are shrouded in the past.
- 4. P.S.L.E. scale picked up only certain life event. Everyday "Hassles" which may cause a life event is not scored in the life event scale. Hence important stress was probably missed.
- 5. Follow of patients would help understand the illness, its course and outcome.

 But follow up of patient could not be done and many of the patients did not turn up even they are adequately informed for follow up.

PRACTICAL IMPLICATIONS

- 1. Hysterectomy is a psychologically traumatizing event. An awareness programme can be put forward to the general public regarding the myth that hysterectomy can lead to general body weakness. The Psychological reactions towards the removal of uterus can be highlighted.
- A routine presurgical counselling in psychiatric ward can be useful in identification of potential personalities and psychotherapy can be given before surgery.
- 3. Regular follow up in anticipated patients in Psychiatric unit with psychotherapy can reduce the risk of psychiatric morbidity mainly depression and can improve the quality of type.
- 4. Improving the interpersonal relationship between family members, and improving the ability to cope with life stresses play a important role in reducing the psychiatric morbidity and improving mental health.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. ANDERSEN T.F

Complications after hysterectomy. Danish population Based Study 1978-1983: Institute Social Medicine, University of Copen Hagen, Denmark.

Act a Obstet Gyn. Ecol Scand 1993 Oct. 72 (7) 570-7.

2. NATH ORST – B

Psychological Reactions and Sexual life after hysterectomy with and without Oophorectomy. Karolinska Inst. Dept. of Obs. and Gynae. Dandery Hospital, Sweden

Gynecol Obstet Invest . 1992: 34 (2) 97-101.

3. BENRHARD LA

Men's views about hysterectomies and women who have them. Image J Nurs. Sch. 1992 Fall: 24 (3): 177-81.

4. BHAMA M.S,

Psychiatric Reactions in hysterectomy Ind. J. of Psy. 1990 32 (1) 52-56.

5. CASPER RF.

The effect sof hysterectomy and Bilateral Oophorectomy in women with severe premenstrual tension. Am.J. Obst. Gy.

6. CATTAMO – P

Psychological adaptation to hysterectomy. Minerva Gineocol. 1992 May. 44 (5) 239 – 43.

7. DEEPA SUBRAMANIAM.

Psychiatric aspects of hysterectomy. Ind. J. Psychiatric 1982 24 (1). 75779.

8. DENNIS GATH, PETER J. COOPER

Psychiatric Aspects of Hysterectomy and Female Sterilization.

RecentAdv. In Cli. Psy. No.4, 1982 75-100.

9. DULANEY PE;

A Comprehensive education and support program for women experiencing hysterectomies . J. Obs. Gyn. Neo. Nurs. 1990 Jul- Aug. 19 (4) 319- 25.

10.EICHER.W

Sexual Function and Sexual Disorders after hysterectomy. Geburtshilfe Frauenheil KD 1993 – Aug 53 (8) 519- 24.

11. EYSENCK H.J. EYSENCK S.B (1969)

The Measurement of Personality R.R. Knapp. Sandiego, CA.

12. GOLDBERG . DP (1972)

Detection of Psychiatric illness by Questionnaire. Oxford University Press, London.

13. GURMEET SINGH

Development of A Socio Economic Scale for Urban Population. (PSLE-S) in India . I.J.P. 1984 26 (2); 107-114.

14. HAMILTON M (1959)

The Assessment of Anxiety of Rating Scale, B.J. of Medical Psychology, 32, 50-65.

15. HAMILTON M (1967)

Development of a Rating Scale for Primary Depressive Illness.

B.J. of Cli. Psychology Book No. 6 – 276-96.

16. JAMES J. STRAIN M.D.

Consultation – Liasion Psychiatry – C.T.P Edition. Page 1272.

17.MILZ.H

Holistic – Psycho somatic aspects of surgical organ loss. Zentralbl . Gynakol. 1992 .. 114 (B) 395 – 402.

18. NATH- ORST – B

Psychological Reactions and Sexual life after hysterectomy with and without Oophorectomy Krolinksa Inst. Dept. of Obs. and Gynae. Danderyd Hospital, Sweden.

Gynecol Obstet Invest 1992. 34 (2) 97-101.

19. OHKAWA. R

A prospective study Psychosomatic Reaction to hysterectomy. Dept. of Obs. and Gynae. Chiba Municipal Hospital. Nippon Sanka Fujinka Gakkai zasshi 1992 Jun. 44 (6) 676-82.

20. OSBORN MF

Psychological and Physical determinants of PMT. Before and After hysterectomy.

Psychol Med 1990 Aug. 20(3) 565 – 72.

21.**OSBORN**

Psychological and Physical determinants of Pmt. Before and After hysterectomy.

Psychol Med 1990 Aug. 20(3) 565 – 72.

22. SHEFFIELD

Self Reported Long term outcomes of hysterectomy. Br. J. Obs. Gy. 1991 Nov; 98 (11) 1129 – 36.

23. VYAS J.N.

A study of Psychiatric aspects of Hysterectomy Ind. J. of Psy . (1989) 31 (1), 83-89.

24. WELLS JE

The Premenstrual syndrome: Amelioration of Symptoms after hysterectomy.

Dept. of Endocrinology. Princess Margaret Hospital , Christ Church .

New Zealand.

J. Psycho Som. Res 1992 Sept . .. 36 (6) 569-84.

25. WILLIAMSON

Sexual Adjustment after hysterectomy

J. Obstet Gynecol. Neonatal Nurs 1992 Jan- Feb. 21 (1) 42-7.

26. BHATIA:

Neena Bhora, Journal of O & G India 1988 vol. 38p 510-513.

Tubal ligation and psychological outcome. .

27. BHATA:

Muralijit IJP 1990 32(1) 52-56

Psychiatric reactions in hysterectomy

28. TRAG GW

Recovery from emergency hysterectomy obstet.

Gynecol. 1985 Feb.65 (2): 206-10.

29. LALINEC – MICHAUD M, ENGLELSMANN F

Place of origin, education and psychiatric outcome.

Wien klin wochenschr, 2001 dec 17; 113 (23-24); 954-9.

30. FERRAI P, DEEBLE J.

Aust. Health Rev 1996; 19(2): 40-55.

31. STRAUS B, JAKED I, KOCH- DORFLEN M,

Psychological traits and psychiatric morbidity. Gebartshift frauenheiled.

1996 Sep; 56 (9); 473 – 81.

Gynecol. Obstet Fertil 2002 June 30(6): 474 – 82.

32. DONOGHUE AP, JACKSON HJ, PAGANO R,

can J Psychiatry . 1985 Feb 30(1): 44-7.

33. LALINEC - MICHAUD M, ENGELMANN F.

Emergency hysterectomy and psychiatric outcome bibl psychiatric 1981 (160): 84-91.

34. TANG CS, CHUNG TIL

Female sterilization and psychiatric outcome

Br. Med J. (Clin Res. Ed) 1982 Feb 13; 284 (6314): 461 –4.

PROFORMA

Name:	Age:				
Address:	Marital Status:				
Education:	Income	Occupation			
Duration after hysterectomy / 1	Γubectomy (6-12 months)				
1. General health question	nnaire				
2. Hamilton's Anxiety Rat	ing scale				
3. Hamilton's Depression	Rating scale				
4. Eyesenck's personality	inventory				
E- score					
N- score					
5. Presumptive Stressful L	ife Event Scale				
6. Socio Economic Status	6. Socio Economic Status Scale				

HAMILTON'S ANXIETY RATING SCALE

(Anxiety symptoms rating scale – Hamilton)

Scored on 4 point scale

Severe 3

Moderate 2

Mild 1

Absent 0

1. Somatic symptoms of anxiety:

A. Cardio - respiratory

- 1) Palpitation
- 2) Sinking over heart or a epigastric region.
- 3) Breathlessness hyperventilation difficult breathing
- 4) Flushing of face
- 5) Excessive sweating
- 6) Fainting feeling or giddiness

B. Pertaining to the head

- 1) Headache
- 2) Heaviness of head- pressure on head- off sensation in the head gas going in to the head.

C. Pertaining to special sense

- 1) Burning of the eyes
- 2) Blurring of vision spot in vision

- 3) Tinnitus noises in the head
- 4) Unpleasant taste

D. G.I.Tract symptoms

- 1) Lack of appetite or Dyspepsia
- 2) Excess of appetite
- 3) Tremors
- 4) Back ache
- 5) Aches and pains and tension
- 6) Parasthesia of limbs

E. Musculocutaneous symptoms

- 1) Twitching of muscle shivering of limbs trembling
- 2) General weakness easy fatiguability
- 3) Tremors
- 4) Back ache
- 5) Aches and pains and tension
- 6) Parasthesia of limbs

F. Genito urinary symptoms

- 1) Frequency of micturition
- 2) Burning sensation in the urethra
- 3) Passage of whitish material in the urine (M)
- 4) Noctural emission frequent (M)

- 5) Premature ejaculation (M) 6) Amenorrhoea (F) 7) Dysmenorrhoea (F) 8) Leuchorrea (F) **Psychological components of Anxiety** 1. Fears: Fear of impending death i) ii) Fear of insanity /Bodily illness Fear of crowds iii) Fear of dark places or closed places iv) Fear of traveling in bus or train v) 2. Memory disturbances: i) Lack of concentration Forgetfulness – misplacing things. ii) 3. Feelings: Feeling of inferiority i) ii) Feeling of insecurity – being left alone 4. Tension
 - i) Intolerability to noise
 - ii) Intolerability to adverse news or sights
 - iii) Irritability

5. Sleep disturbances:

- i) Disturbed sleep anxious dreams
- ii) Insomnia Initial Difficulty in falling asleep
- iii) Middle Restless during night
- iv) Delayed: Waking early hours of morning

6. Mood

- i) Worrying about self
- ii) Worry about family
- iii) Anticipation of the worst
- iv) Depressed mood

No happiness – sad mood

Pessimism

Weeping

Suicidal ideas

Total symptom rating score

Total symptom rating quotient

HAMILTON DEPRESSION RATING SCALE

1) DEPRESSED MOOD

(Sadness, hopelessness, helplessness, worthlessness)

- 0 = Absent
- 1= These feeling state indicated only on questioning
- 2= These feeling states spontaneously reported
- 3= Communicates feeling states non- verbally
- (ie) Through facial expression, voice & tendency to weep
- 4= Patient reports virtually only these.

2) FEELING OF GUILT

- 0 = Absent
- 1= Self- approach, feels he has left people down
- 2= Ideas of guilt or rumination over past errors or sinful deeds
- 3= Present illness is a punishment. Delusion of guilt
- 4= Hears accusatory or derogatory voices and /or experience threatening visual hallucinations.

3. SUICIDE

- 0 = Absent
- 1= Feels life is not worth living
- 2= Wishes he were dead or any thoughts of possible death to self
- 3= Suicidal ideas or gestures
- 4= attempts at suicide (any serious attempts rate 4)

4. INSOMNIA (EARLY)

- 0= No difficulty in failing asleep
- 1= Complaints of occasional difficulty
- 2= complaints of difficulty in falling asleep

5. INSOMNIA (MIDDLE)

- 0= No difficulty
- 1= Complaints of being restless and disturbed during the night
- 2= Waking during the night (Except for purpose of voiding)

6. INSOMNIA (LATE)

- 0= No difficulty
- 1= Waking in early hours of the morning but goes back to sleep
- 2= Unable to fall asleep again if he gets out of bed.

7. WORK AND ACTIVITIES

- 0= No difficulty
- 1= Thoughts and feelings of incapacity, fatigue or weakness related to activities, work or hobbies.
- 2= Loss of interest in activity hobbies or work either directly reported by patient or indirectly in listlessness indecision and vacillation (feels he has to push off to work or activities)
- 3= decreases in actual time spent in activities or decrease in productivity.

8. RETARDATION

(Slowness of thought and speech; impaired ability to concentrate, decreased motor activity)

- 0 = Normal speech & thought
- 1= Slight retardation at interview
- 2= Obvious retardation at interview
- 3= Interview difficult
- 4= Complete stupor

9. AGITATION

- 0 = None
- 1= Fidgetiness
- 2= playing with hands, hair pulling, biting of lips

10. ANXIETY (PSYCHIC)

- 0 = No difficulty
- 1= Subjective tension and irritability
- 2= Worrying about minor matters
- 3= Apprehensive attitude apparent in face or speech
- 4= Fears expressed without questioning

11. ANXIETY (SOMATIC)

(Physiological concomitants of anxiety such as

Gastrointestinal; dry mouth, indigestion, diarrhoea, cramps, belching cardiovascular; palpitations, headaches Respiratory: hyperventilation, sighing

- 0= Absent
- 1 = mild
- 2= Moderate
- 3= Severe
- 4= Incapacitating

12. SOMATIC SYMPTOMS – GASTRO INTESTINAL

- 0 = None
- 1= loss of appetite but eating without staff encouraging
- 2= Difficulty eating without stuff urging.

13. SOMATIC SYMPTOMS –GENERAL

- 0 = None
- 1= Heaviness in limbs, back, or head, Backaches head ache, muscle aches.

Loss of energy and fatigability

2= Any clear cut symptom rates -2.

14. GENITAL SYMPTOMS

(symptoms such as loss of libido, menstrual disturbances)

- 0 = Absent
- 1 = Mild
- 2= Severe

15. HYPOCHONDRIASIS

(On weekly ratings by ward psychiatrist, when actual weight changes are measured)

- 0= Not present
- 1= Self absorption (bodily)
- 2= Preoccupation with health
- 3= frequent complaints requests for help etc.,

16. LOSS OF WEIGHT

(On Weekly ratings by ward psychiatrist, when actual weight changes are measured)

- 0= Less than 0.5kg weight loss in week
- 1= Greater than 0.5kg weight loss in week
- 2= Greater than 1kg weight loss in week
- 3= Not assessed

17. INSIGHT

- 0= Acknowledges being depressed and ill
- 1= Acknowledges illness but attributes cause to bad food, climate, over work, virus need for rest etc.,
- 2 =Denies being ill at all.

18. A. DIURNAL VARATION

(whether symptoms are worse in morning or evening if no diurnal variation mark (0)

0= No variation

1= Work in AM
2= Work in PM
0= none
1= mild
2= Severe
19. DEPERSONALISATION AND DEREALIZATION
(Such as feelings of un reality, nihilistic ideas)
0= Absent
1=Mild
2= Moderate
3= Severe
4= incapacitating
20. PARANOID SYMPTOMS
1= None
2= Ideas of reference
3= Delusions of reference and persecution
21. Obsessional and compulsive symptoms
0 = Absent
2 = Mild
3 = Severe

PRESUMPTIVE STRESSFUL LIFE EVENTS SCALE

(GURMEET SINGH ET AL, 1984)

Sl.No	Life Event	One year	Life time
01	Going on a pleasure trip pilgrimage (20)		
02	Wife begins or stops work (25)		
03	Change in eating habits (27)		
04	Change in social activities (28)		
05	Reduction in number of family functions (29)		
06	Gain of new family member (30)		
07	Birth of daughter (30)		
08	Change in sleeping habits (33)		
09	Change in working condition or transfer (33)		
10	Retirement (35)		
11	Begin or end schooling (36)		
12	Outstanding personal achievement (37)		
13	Change or expansion of business (37)		
14	Change in residence (39)		
15	Unfulfilled commitments (40)		
16	Trouble with neighbour (40)		
17	Getting married or engaged (43)		
18	Appearing for examination or interview (43)		
19	Failure in examination (43)		
20	Death of pet (44)		
21	Major purchase or construction of house (46)		
22	Breakup with friend (47)		
23	Family conflict (47)		
24	Minor violation of law (48)		
25	Marriage of daughter or dependent sister (49)		
26	Large loan (49)		
27	Lack of son (51)		
28	Self or family member unemployed (51)		
29	Sexual problems (51)		
30	Conflict over dowry (self or spouse) (51)		
31	Pregnancy of wife (wanted or unwanted) (52)		
32	Prophecy of astrologer or palmist, etc (52)		
33	Trouble at work with colleagues, superiors or		
	subordinates (52)		

34	Illness of family member (52)	
35	Financial loss or problems (54)	
36	Son or daughter leaving home (55)	
37	Major personal illness or injury (56)	
38	Broken engagement or love affair (57)	
39	Conflict with in laws (other than over dowry) (57)	
40	Excessive alcohol or drug use by family members	
	(58)	
41	Robbery or theft (59)	
42	Death of a friend (60)	
43	Property or crops damaged (61)	
44	Marital conflict (64)	
45	Death of close family members (66)	
46	Lack of child (67)	
47	Detention in jail of self or close family member (72)	
48	Suspension or dismissal from job (76)	
49	Marital separation / divorce (77)	
50	Extra marital relation of spouse (80)	
51	Death of spouse (95)	

Total Number of Life Events (One year)

Life Events Scored (One year):

Total Number of Life Events (Life time):

Life events Score (Life time):

SOCIO - ECONOMIC STATUS SCALE

(S. C. GUPTA & B.P. SETHI, 1978)

Scoring indicators

- I) Education Score
 - a. Score of self for adults
 - b. Score of the guardian for children up to the 20 years
- II) Income score:

Total monthly income of the family members living together

III) Occupational score

It takes into consideration financial dependency as well as marital status of the individual.

- A) Unmarried subjects (including widowed & separated)
 - i) Working individual occupational score of the self
 - ii) Neither working nor dependents 50% of the sum of educational and income scores.
 - i) Non-Working dependents -50% of the occupational score of the guardian upon whom mainly dependent.

B) Married subjects

i) Both spouses non-working (dependent) – 50% of the occupational score of the guardian upon whom mainly dependent.

- ii) Both spouse non-working but not dependent -50% of the sum of the scores education and income
- iii) Only one spouse working score of the working spouse.
- iv) Both spouse working Score of the spouse having higher occupational position.

SCORING MANUAL

Sl. No	Educational categories	Score
1	Up to 5 th class	20
2	Less than High School	40
3	High School	60
4	Intermediate	80
5	Graduation (Excluding professional subjects *) or technical diploma	100
6	Post graduation excluding professional subjects	120
7	Post graduate diploma in non- professional subjects; BE; B.Tech; B. Arch; MBBS; BMBS; BIMS; MDS; BDS LLB	140
8	Post graduate diploma or degree in professional subjects; Ph.D	160
9	D.Lit; Dsc or Equivalent; and award of membership or fellowship from professional institutions of international recognition.	180
10	National or international award for the academic or scientific achievements.	200

ENGINEERING, MEDICINE AND LAW

Sl. No	Income (Rs.)	Score
1	Up to 250	20
2	251-500	40
3	501-750	60
4	751-1000	80
5	1001-1500	100
6	1501-2500	120
7	2501-5000	140
8	5001-10,000	160
9	10,000-15,000	180
10	Above 15, 000	200

Sl. No	Occupational groups	Scale
	1. Skilled and Semi – skilled	Scale
1.1	Semi- skilled or unskilled workers (e.g., barber unskilled labour)	40
1.2	Skilled workers (drivers, painters, mechanics, printers, watch repairers)	60
1.3	Skilled workers of higher rank of having special training	80
	2. Officer work and Equivalent	
2.1	Peon, Chowkidar, Constable or equivalent	40
2.2	Junior grade office assistant, dispatcher, head constable or equivalent	60
2.3	Senior grade office assistant, sub inspector or lower grade inspectors (e.g., Sanitary inspector, supervisors in private or public organisation).	80
	3. Teaching job	
3.1	Teachers of primary and Junior High school	60
3.2	Teachers of High School or Intermediate (Excluding Principal of intermediate College)	80
3.3	Lectures and Readers in the University or equivalent, Principal of intermediate College.	100
3.4	University Professors and Principals of degree or post graduate college.	120
3.5	Eminent professors having national or international recognition	160
	4. Business	
4.1	Petty business and small shop- keepers	60
4.2	Middle class businessman	80
4.3	Businessman or industrialist of upper strata	100
4.4	Eminent businessman in the town or city	120
4.5	Eminent industrialist in the state of country	160
	5. Professional jobs (medicine, law, and engineering)	
5.1	Individuals in the profession of medicine. Law or technology having no recognized training.	60
5.2	Qualified professional having no specialization	80
5.3	Specialist in the professional jobs	100
5.4	Senior Grade specialist	120
5.5	Eminent professionalists in the field	160

	6. Semi – Professional	
6.1	Junior grade technical or scientific assistants, lower grade	60
	semiprofessionals (Pharmacists and nursing staff)	
6.2	Senior grade technical or scientific assistants and the semi	80
	professionals of average grade (psychologists, statisticians,	
	social workers, surveyors, etc.	
6.3	Scientist employed as class I and class II in the central	100
	Govt. or equivalent employees in either organizations,	
	assistant or joint director or vice – principal in the technical	
	institutions.	120
6.4	Directors of principals in technical institutions	120
6.5	Directors of highly prestigious technical institutions and for	160
	scientist of international recognition	
	7. Artist and literary men	
7.1	Low grade artists, actors, writers, religious pandits,	60
	palmists and similar others having little expertise.	00
7.2	Individuals of above category having considerable	80
7.2	Expertise	100
7.3	Experts of above categories having high social image	100
7.4	Most eminent writers, poets, magicians, religious, figures, artists and actors.	120
	8. Agriculture (This category was included because some urban residents	
	may have agriculture or orchard as their main source or	
	livehood)	
8.1	Small size holding of agriculture or orchard which can	60
0.1	hardly meet the basic needs of a family.	
8.2	Medium size holding or agriculture or orchard sufficient of	80
	average middle class family in an urban setup.	
8.3	Large size holding of the above nature which can	100
	comfortably meet the requirements of an upper middle class	
	family.	
8.4	Agriculturist or fruit grower of very large size holding	120
	9. Administrative	
9.1	Office superintendent, Section Officers, Inspectors (e.g.,	100
	police, Sales Tax, Income Tax, etc) Junior PCs, Officers	
	including Tashildar and equivalent.	

9.2	IAS and equivalent services (e.g., IPS, IFS, ISS or Senior	120
	PSC)	
9.3	Senior IAS and equivalent; Vice – Chancellor, Director-	140
	General, Heads of prestigious Institutions 180	
		160
		180
		200
	10. Judicial service	
10.1	Munsif, Honorary magistrate or equivalent	100
10.2	District and senior judge	120
10.3	Judges of high	140
10.4		160
10.5		180
10.6		200
	11. Political Leaders	
11.1	Leaders of district level (block Pramukh, corporation or	100
	Equivalent)	
11.2	M.P., M.L.A, M.L.C, District Chairman and City Major	120
11.3	Major of Metropolitan City, State Ministers and Union	140
	deputy ministers and other political headers of equivalent	
	leve.	
11.4		160
11.5		180
11.6		200

Social Status Categories

On the basis of sum scores of the three variables , an individual's status can be ascertained from the following.

Status	Total	Major social	Its description
category	score	class	
1	476 and	Very high	Individuals of most prestigious social
	above		position, mainly consisting of top-most
			businessmen, politicians, administrators,
			scientists, professional men or highly
			distinguished persons in the other fields.
2	426-475	Very high	Same as above
3	376-425	Upper middle	Individuals of above categories having
			obviously higher social position but not
			belonging to the top most category in their
			specialties. This standard of living is
			definitely of a superior class and as such they
			would constitute only a small percentage of
			our urban society
4	326-375	Upper middle	Same as above
5	276-325	Middle Class	Although inferior to the upper middle class.
			Their individual scores on the 3 variable are
			likely to be in the range of 80 to 100
6	226-275	Middle Class	Same as above
7	176-225	Lower Middle	Majority of urban subjects are likely to
			belong to this category. Their substandard of
			living makes their existence on urban society
			a marginal one. Their individual scores on
			the 3 variables usually range between 60 to 80
8	126-175	Lower Middle	Same as above
9	76-125	Very low	These individuals are characterizes with
			lower standard of living . Their educational
			occupational as well as financial position is
			almost at the lowest level and as such they
			belong to the most disadvantageous class
			having very little to survive.
10	Up to 75	Very low	Same as above

THE GENERAL HEALTH QUESTIONNAIRE (60 ITEM VERSION)

HAVE YOU RECENTLY	Y 0	0	1	1
1. Been feeling perfectly well and in good health	Better than usual	Same as as usual	Worse than usual	Much worse than usual
2. been feeling in need of a good tonic	Not at all than usual	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
3. been feeling rundown out of sorts?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
4. felt that you are ill?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
5. been getting any pains in your head?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
6. been getting a feeling of tightness of pressure in your head	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
7. been able to concentrate on whatever you are doing?	Better than usual	Same as than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual

8. been afraid that you were going to collapse in a public place?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
9. been having not or cold spells?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
10. been sweating a lot?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
11. found your self waking early and unable to back to sleep?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
12. been getting up feeling sleep hasn't refreshed you?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
13. been feeling to tired and exhausted even to eat?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
14. lost much sleep over worry?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
15. been feeling mentally and wide awake?	Better than usual	Same as usual	Less alert than usual	Much more than usual

16. been feeling full of energy? wide awake?	Better than usual	same as usual	less energy than usual	Much more than usual
17. had difficulty in getting of to sleep?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
18. had difficulty in staying asleep once you are off?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	
19. been having frightening or pleasant dreams?	Not at all	No more	Rather than than usual	Much more than usual
20. been having rest less distributed nights?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
21. been managing to keep yourself busy?	More than usual	same as usual	Longer than usual	Much more than usual
22. been taking longer time to do things?	Quicker than usual	Same as usual	Longer than usual	Much longer than usual
23. Tended to lose interest in your ordinary activities?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
24. been losing interest in your personal appearance?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual

25. been taking less trouble with your clothes?	More trouble than usual	same as usual	less trouble than usual	Much less than usual
26. been getting out of the house as much as usual?	More than usual	same as usual	Less than usual	Much less than usual
27. been managing as well as most people would in your shoes?	Better than most	about the same	Rather less well	Much less well
28. felt on the whole you were doing things well?	Better than most	about the same	Rather less well	Much less well
29. been late getting to work or getting started on your house work?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than	Much more than usual
30. been satisfied with the way you have carried out your work?	More satisfied	about same as usual	Less satisfied than usual	Much less satisfied
31. been able to feel warmth and affection for those near to you	Better than most	about the same as usual	Less well than usual	Much less well
32. been finding it easy to get on with other people?	Better than most	about the same as usual	Less well than usual	Much less well
33. spent much time chatting with people?	Better than most	about the same as usual	Less well than usual	Much less well

34. Kept feeling afraid to say anything to people in case you made a fool of yourself?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
35. felt that your are playing a useful part in things?	More than usual	Same as usual	Less than usual	Much less than usual
36. felt capable of making decisions about things?	More than usual	same as usual	Less than usual	Much less than usual
37. felt you're just not able to thing that you have to do?	Not all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
38. felt your self dreading every thing that you have to do?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
39. felt constantly under strain?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
40. felt you couldn't overcome your difficulties?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
41. been finding life a struggle at the time?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual

42. been able to enjoy your normal day to day activities?	More than usual	Same as usual	Less than usual	Much less than usual
43. been taking things hard?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
44. been getting edgy and bad tempered?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
45. been getting scared or panicky for no good reason?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
46. been able to face up on your problems?	More than usual	Same as usual	Less than usual	Much less than usual
47. found everything getting on top of you?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
48. had the feeling that people were looking at you?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
49. been feeling unhappy and depressed?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual

50. been losing confidence in your self?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
51. been thinking of yourself as as worthless person?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
52. felt that life is entirely hope less?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
53. been feeling hopeful about your own future?	More than usual	Same as usual	Less than usual	Much less usual
54. been feeling reasonably happy all things considered?	More than usual	Same as usual	Less than usual	Much less usual
55. been feeling nervous and strung- up all the times?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
56. felt that life is not worth living?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather more than usual	Much more than usual
57. thought of the possibility that you might make away with your life?	Definitely not	I don't has c think my m		itely

58. found at time you couldn't do anything because your nerves were too bad?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather l more than usual	Much more than usual
59. found yourself wishing you were dead and away from it all?	Not at all	No more than usual	Rather l more than usual	Much more than usual
60. found that the idea of taking your own life kept coming into your mind?	Definitely not		has crossed my mind	Definitely have

EYSENCK'S PERSONALITY INVENTORY

NAME: AGE: SEX: DATE: ADDRESS

fPo;f;fz;I Nfs;tpfSf;F Mk;/,y;iy vd;w qjpy; kI;Lk; \$wTk;

1. eP mbf;fb kdf; FJhfyj;pw;fhf Vq;Ffpwhah? Mk;;/,y;iy

2. cd;id ed;F mwpe;j jd;ikAs;s ez;gh;fs; cd;id Mk;/,y;iy

kfpo;tpg;gJ mbf;fb cdf;Fj; Njitg;gLfpwjh?

3. eP nghJthf ftiyaw;W ,Ug;gtdh? Mk;/,y;iy

4. ,y;iy vd;w gjpiy Vw;Wf; nfhs;s cdf;F Mk;;/,y;iy

rpukkhf cs;sjh?

5. vijr; nra;Ak; Kd;Gk; mjid epd;W epjhdpj;J Mk;;/,y;iy

Nahrpf;fpwhah?

6. VNjh xd;iwr; nra;Ntd; vd;W eP xU thf;FWjp

nfhLj;jhy; mjid epiwNtw;w vj;jid rpukq;fs;

Vw;gbDk; nfhL;j;j thf;if epiwNtw;w Kw;gLthah? Mk;/,y;iy

7. cd; kNdhepiy mbf;fb khWk; jd;ikAiljh? Mk;;/,y;iy

8. Kd; Nahridapd;wpg; nghJthff; fhhpaq;fs;

ma;tJz;lh? Mk;/,y;iy

9. fhuzkpd;wpr; Nrhh;tile;J Nghfpd;whah? Mk;;/,y;iy

10. eP vijAk; Jzpe;J nra;fpd;whah? Mk;/,y;iy

11. fth;r;rpAs;s md;dpahplj;jpy; NgRk;NghJ

jpBnud;W ehzkiItJ cz;Ih? Mk;/,y;iy

12. vg;NghNjh rpy Ntisfspy; fl;Lg;ghl;il kPwp

NfhgkiltJz;lh? Mk;;/,y;iy

13. mbf;fb fhhpaq;fs; epidj;j khj;jpuj;jpNyNa

nra;fpwhah? Mk;/,y;iy

14. eP nra;a my;yJ Ngrf;\$Ihj fhhpaq;fs; Fwpj;J

mbf;fb ftiyg;gLtJz;lh? Mk;;/,y;iy

15. nghJthf kf;fisr; re;jpg;giuf; fhl;bYk;

Gj;jq;fisg; gbg;gij tpUk;Gfpwhah? Mk;/,y;iy

16. eP rPf;fpuj;jpy; tUj;jkiIfpwhah? Mk;;/,y;iy

17. mjpfk; ntspapy; Rw;Wtjw;F tpUk;Gfpwhah? Mk;/,y;iy

18. kw;wtHfs; njhpe;jnfhs;s\$lhj NahridfSk;

rpe;jidfSk; cdf;F Vw;gLfpd;wdth? Mk;;/,y;iy

Nrhk;gyhfNth my;yJ rpy rkaq;fspy;

RWRWg;ghfNth ,Uf;fpwhah? Mk;/,y;iy

20. ePq;fs; rpy Mdhy; tpNr\pj;j ez;gh;fisNa

mila tpUk;gfpwPh;fsh? Mk;;/,y;iy

21. ePq;fs; Vuhskha; gfy; fdT fhz;gJz;lh? Mk;/,y;iy

22. cd;idg; gpwh; epe;jpf;Fk;NghJ gjpYf;Fg;gjpy;

```
Mk;;/,y;iy
  nra; a tpUk; Gfpwhah?
23. Fw;w czh;r;rpahy; mbf;fb eP ghjpf;fg;gLfpwhah?
                                                              Mk;/,y;iy
24. cd; qof;f tof;fq;fs; vy;yhk; ey;ydthfTk; vy;NyhUk;
  tpUk;Gfpd;wdthfNth cs;sdth?
                                                        Mk;;/,y;iy
25. fspahl;lq;fSf;Fr; nry;yTk; (rhjuzkhf) kpf;f
  kfpo;r;rpNahL ,Uf;fTk; cd;dhy; Kbfpwjh?
                                                              Mk;/,y;iy
26. cd;id eP vg;nghOJk; kd cisr;rypy; ,Ug;gtd;
  vd;W epidf;fpwhah?
                                                        Mk;;/,y;iy
27. eP mjpf RWRWg;ghdtd; vd;W kw;wth;fs; fUJfpwhh;fsh?
                                                              Mk;/,y;iy
28. eP VjhtJ Kf;fpakhf xU fhhpaj;ijr; nra;JKbj;j
  qpd; mjid tpl ed;whfr; nra;jpUf;fyhNk vd;w
  vz;zj;NjhL mbf;fb tUe;Jfpwhah?
                                                        Mk; ;/, y; iy
29. kw;wth;fNshI Nrh;e;J ,Uf;Fk;NghJ eP ngUk;ghYk;
  kTdkhf ,Uf;fpwhah?
                                                        Mk;/,y;iy
30. eP rpy Ntisfspy; tPz; Ngr; Rf; F , Ikspf; fpwhah?
                                                        Mk;;/,y;iy
31. eP jhq;ff;$lhj mstpw;F gy rpe;jidfs; cd;
 jiyapy; votJz;lh?
                                                  Mk;/,y;iy
32. eP vijahtJ njhpe; j nfhs; s epidf; Fk; NghJ
  kw;wth;fsplk; mjidg; NgRtijf;fhl;bYk;
  Gj;jfj;ijNa Gul;;bg; ghh;f;fpwhah?
                                                        Mk;/,y;iy
33. cdf;F ,jag; glglg;G my;yJ ,uj;j mOj;jk;
                                                  Mk;/,y;iy
```

Vw;gLtJz;Ih?

- 34. mjpf ftdk; nrYj; Jk; Ntiyia tpUk; Gfpwhah? Mk;;/,y;iy
- 35. cly; eLf;fk; cdf;F Vw;gl;IJz;Ih? Mk;/,y;iy
- 36. cd;id ve;epiyapYk; fz;Lgpbf;f,ayhj epiyapy;
 - \$1 Rq;f,yhfhtpy; cd;dplj;jpy;,Uq;qit
 - vy;yhtw;iwAk; fhl;btpl vz;Zthah? Mk;;;/,y;iy
- 37. Nfypg;Ngr;Rfs; NgRk; \$I;Ij;jpy; ,Uf;f cdf;F
 ntWg;G Vw;qLfpd;wjh?
- 38. rPf;fpuj;jpy; nra;ag;gLk; Ntiyfspy; <Lgl
- cdf;Fg; gphpaKz;Ih? Mk;/,y;iy
- 39. eP xU Nfhgf;fhudh? Mk;;/,y;iy
- 40. Vw;qlf;\$ba gaq;fukhd rk;qtq;fisf; Fwpj;J eP
 - ftiy milfpd;whah? Mk;/,y;iy
- 41. cd;Dila eltbf;iffspy; epjhdkhfTk; glglg;G
 - ,y;yhkYk; ele;J nfhs;fpwhah?
 Mk;;/,y;iy
- 42. eP cd; Ntiyf;F vg;NghjhtJ jhkjkhf
- nrd;wpUf;fpd;whah? Mk;/,y;iy
- 43. eP gaq;fukhd nrhg;gdq;fs; fhz;gJz;lh? Mk;;/,y;iy
- 44. me;epaNuhL goFk;NghJ re;jh;g;gj;ij ,oe;J
 - Nghfhkhy; vy;NyhhplKk; Ngr cdf;F tpUg;gKz;lh? Mk;/,y;iy
- 45. typ NehTfshy; njhe; juTfs; milfpd; whah? Mk;;/,y;iy

```
46. mNj re;jh;gq;fspy; mjpfk; Ngiu re;jpf;fKbahky;
  Nghdhy; cdf;F tUj;jk; cz;lhfpd;wjh?
                                                       Mk;/,y;iy
47. eP vspjpy; czh;r;rptrg;qLqtd; vd;W cd;id
  vz;Zfpwhah?
                                                       Mk;/,y;iy
48. cdf;F mwpKfkhd vy;Nyhhplj;jpYk; eP epr;rakhf
  tpUk;ghj rpyh; ,Uf;fpwhh;fsh?
                                                              Mk;/,y;iy
49. eP vspjpy; mwpKfkhd vy;Nyhhplj;jpYk; eP epr;rakhf
  tpUk;ghj rpyh; ,Uf;fpwhh;fsh?
                                                              Mk; ;/, y; iy
50. cd;idAk; > cd; NtiyiaAk; Fwpj;Jg; gpwh;
  Fw;wk; $wpdhy; eP vspjpy; kdk; Gz;gLfpwhah?
                                                              Mk;;;/,y;iy
51. kfpo;r;rpfukhd xU tpgj;jpy; eP re;Njhrkhf
  ,Uf;ff; f\;lk; Vw;qLfpwjh?
                                                       Mk;/,y;iy
52. jho; T kdg; ghd; ikahy; eP njhe; jutilfpwhah?
                                                       Mk;;;/,y;iy
53. cw;rhfkw;w FOf;fspy; cd;dhy; cw;rhfk; cz;lhf;f
  KbAkh?
                                                              Mk;/,y;iy
54. eP xd;Wk; mwpahj fhhpaq;fs; Fwpj;Jg; NgRtJz;Ih? Mk;;/,y;iy
55. eP cd; cly; eyk; Fwpj;Jf; ftiyg;gLtJz;lh?
                                                       Mk;/,y;iy
56. mLj;jth;fsplk; ghpfhr tpisahl;Lf;fs; nra;a cdf;F
  tpUg;qkh?
                                                              Mk;;/,y;iy
```

Mk;/,y;iy

57. Jhf;fkpd;ikahy; mtjpg;gLfpwhah?

EPI SCORING KEY

1. Yes E	11. Yes N	21. Yes N	31. Yes N	41. No E

- 2. Yes N 12. Yes L 22. Yes E 32. Yes N 42. No L
- 3. Yes E 13. Yes E 23. Yes N 33. Yes N 43. Yes N
- 4. Yes N 14. Yes N 24. Yes L 34. No E 44. Yes E
- 5. No E 15. No E 25. Yes N 35. Yes N 45. Yes N
- 6. Yes L 16. Yes N 26. Yes N 36. Yes L 46. Yes E
- 7. Yes N 17. Yes N 27. No E 37. No E 47. Yes N
- 8. Yes E 18. No L 28. Yes N 38. Yes N 48. No L
- 9. Yes N 19. Yes N 29. No E 39. Yes E 49. Yes E
- 10. Yes E 20. NO N 30. No L 40. Yes N 50. Yes N
- 51. No E 52. Yes N 53. Yes E 54. No L 55. Yes N
- 56. Yes E 57. Yes N