A HISTORICAL PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDY OF PATIENTS WITH KERATOCONUS TO DETERMINE THE INCIDENCE OF STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION FOLLOWING COLLAGEN CROSSLINKING



DISSERTATION SUBMITTED AS PART OF FULFILLMENT FOR THE MS BRANCH III (OPHTHALMOLOGY) EXAMINATION DEGREE EXAMINATION OF THE TAMIL NADU DR.MGR MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, TO BE HELD IN MAY 2020 REGISTRATION NUMBER: 221813303



BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that this dissertation "A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking" done towards fulfillment of the requirements of the Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, Chennai for the MS Branch III (Ophthalmology) examination to be conducted in May 2020, is a bona fide work of Dr Minu George P, post graduate student in the Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College, Vellore.

Dr. Sanita Korah, MS, DNB Professor and Guide, Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College, Vellore-632001.

BONAFIDE CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that this dissertation "A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking" done towards fulfillment of the requirements of the Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, Chennai for the MS Branch III (Ophthalmology) examination to be conducted in May,2020, is a bona fide work of Dr. Minu George P, post graduate student in the Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College, Vellore.

Dr. Sanita Korah MS,DNB Professor, Head of the Department, Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College , Vellore- 632001 Dr. Anna Pulimood The Principal, Christian Medical College, Vellore-632001.

DECLARATION

This is to certify that the dissertation titled "A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking" done towards fulfillment of the requirements of the Tamil Nadu Dr MGR Medical University, Chennai for the MS Branch III (Ophthalmology) examination to be conducted in May,2020 comprises my original research work and information taken from secondary sources has been given due acknowledgement and citation.

Dr. Minu George P, Post Graduate Student, Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College, Vellore- 632001.

URKUND

Urkund Analysis Result

Analysed Document: Submitted: Submitted By: Significance: minu thesis.docx (D57475538) 10/22/2019 8:03:00 PM minurosep@gmail.com 3 %

Sources included in the report:

https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/44636056_Significance_of_the_Lacunar_Hydration_Pattern_After_Corneal_Cross_Lin king https://www.keratoconuscenter.com/UserFiles/CXLHazePublished.pdf https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4639345/ 6101e7e7-536f-4d4a-bdcc-ec3b6ba56028 https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/221756003_Complications_of_Corneal_Collagen_Cross-Linking

Instances where selected sources appear:

13

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I thank Lord Jesus for His never ending grace .

Most importantly, I thank my guide, Dr Sanita Korah for the guidance and direction in these past two years. Her discipline, patience, clarity of thought and approachability are great lessons learnt.

I also thank Dr Jeyanth Rose, Dr. Alo Sen, Ms.Poornima for the support and help, my colleagues for being the kindest and a great source of encouragement. I thank Mr. Deenadayalan, Mr. Pasupathy for helping out whenever asked. I specially thank Dr. Jayaprakash Mulliyil and Dr. Jenyz Ali for their inputs in statistical analysis.

Most importantly I thank my parents George Thomas ,C P Suja ,sister Manju , my husband Jintu Mathews and son Evin because of and for whom I am here and I dedicate this to them.

CONTENTS

AIM:	9
OBJECTIVES	10
INTRODUCTION	11
LITERATURE REVIEW	14
METHODOLOGY	45
RESULTS	55
DISCUSSION	
CONCLUSIONS	
LIMITATIONS	
REFERENCES	
ANNEXURES	
DATA	

AIM

To determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following corneal collagen crosslinking in patients with keratoconus in a South Indian tertiary hospital setting.

OBJECTIVES

PRIMARY OBJECTIVE:

To determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in patients with keratoconus after use of topical steroids following collagen cross linking (CXL)

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

1. To study the effect of CXL on retarding the rate of progression of keratoconus by measuring change in Keratometry reading before and after CXL

- 2. To study change in central corneal thickness after CXL.
- 3. To study change in Visual acuity after CXL.
- 4. To study the incidence of complications and outcome of CXL

INTRODUCTION

Steroids are routinely used after ocular surgeries to reduce the postoperative inflammation. Steroid use however, has been associated with complications like cataract, ocular hypertension and glaucoma. Some eyes have been found to have an increased tendency for steroid response compared to others. 5-6% of the normal population has been found to be high steroid responders (Intraocular Pressure (IOP) elevation of more than 15mmHg) and about 30% to be moderate responders (IOP elevation of 6-15mmHg).(3)

Many factors have been identified for steroid response, which includes patient risk factors like family history of glaucoma, primary open angle glaucoma, children, type of steroid used, route of administration and duration of steroid use.

Collagen cross linking is a commonly performed procedure to slow the rate of progression of keratoconus. Keratoconus is a progressive degenerative disease of the cornea which can result in loss of vision and need for corneal transplant. Topical steroid drops are always used for a duration of about 3 months following the procedure to prevent post procedure corneal scarring. This study was performed because we have observed an increased incidence of steroid induced raise in intraocular pressure among patients who have undergone corneal crosslinking for keratoconus as compared to the normal population.

Based on this suspicion we first conducted a retrospective pilot study in our department, studying 10 patients who had undergone CXL. This pilot study revealed that 40% of patients are steroid responders, which is high compared to the normal population receiving steroid therapy (30%). Thus this study was undertaken to report the incidence of steroid induced raise in intraocular pressure among keratoconus patients after cross linking.

This study was a Historical prospective Observational study. Patients with keratoconus who had undergone collagen cross-linking at our hospital were included in the study. Data from hospital records from the year 2013 (when this procedure was introduced to our hospital) till August 2019 was taken. The preoperative intraocular pressure (IOP) and IOP after steroid was used to calculate the incidence of steroid response.

The factors that could affect the response to steroids were also analyzed to determine positive co-relation. Complications like corneal scarring, corneal infection and outcomes following the procedure were also noted.

12

The effect of CXL on slowing the rate of progression of keratoconus in our patients by comparing the change in corneal curvature, visual acuity and the central corneal thickness after CXL from available hospital records were also studied.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Part 1:

STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION:

Rise of intraocular pressure (IOP) as a result of systemic steroid therapy was initially described in 1950 by Mc Lean. It was Francois in 1954 who then described elevated IOP following topical corticosteroid therapy.(1)

Steroid induced raise in IOP has since been reported to occur as a consequence of oral, intravenous, inhaled, topical, periocular, or intravitreal corticosteroid therapy.

(2) Patients who experience a transient or sustained pressure rise after corticosteroid instillation are referred to as *steroid responders*, or are said to have Steroid Induced Ocular Hypertension. If glaucomatous damage occurs as manifested in the optic nerve or on visual field testing, then they can be said to have Steroid Induced Glaucoma.(2)

STEROID RESPONDER:

Steroid responsiveness is has been defined in several different ways.

Yamamoto et al in 2008 suggested that an elevation of 5 mmHg following steroid therapy should be defined as a steroid response. (Yamamoto et al., 2008).

14

Others suggested that an IOP above 21 mmHg (Herbert et al., 2004) or 24 mmHg (Heinz et al. 2009) following steroid treatment should be considered as a steroid responder. Yet another group considered the combination of both an increase of 5 mmHg and IOP above 24 as a steroid responsiveness. (Levine et al 2002)(4)

Armaly and Becker [3] reported that the normal population could be divided into 3 groups based on their response to the topical administration of Dexamethasone and Betamethasone:

(1) High responders, 4–6% of the population, developed an IOP above 31 mm Hg or a rise of more than 15 mm Hg above baseline

(2) Moderate responders, approximately one third of the population, had IOPs between 20 and 31 mm Hg, or a pressure rise of 6–15 mm Hg

(3) Non-responders, the remaining two thirds, had pressure increases of less than 6 mm Hg and IOPs of less than 20 mm Hg.

The incidence of steroid rsponse increases with higher frequency and longer duration of steroids.(2)

MECHANISMOF STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION:

Aqueous that is produced by the ciliary processes drains out of the eye via the trabecular meshwork. Inadequate outflow of aqueous will lead to an increase in the intraocular pressure, which results first, in damage to the optic nerve causing visual field defects, and ultimately, loss of vision.

The mechanism of steroid-induced glaucoma is postulated broadly to be due to aqueous outflow obstruction due to the following causes:

- Accumulation of extracellular matrix in the trabecular meshwork, decreasing the outflow. The accumulated extracellular matrix (ECM) has the potential to affect both the paracellular (that is, the flow in-between trabecular meshwork endothelial cells) and transcellular (that is, the flow through pores created within a single, and / or between two inner wall Schlemm's canal cells) levels.(5)
- 2. It has been suggested that corticosteroid use activates molecular myocillin gene production, which alters trabecular meshwork morphology, severing aqueous flow facility. (6)

- 3. There are physical and mechanical changes seen within the trabecular meshwork causing resistance to outflow. The actin fibres were reorganised as actin networks increasing the outflow resistance. (7)
- 4. Steroids also inhibit proteases and trabecular meshwork endothelial cell phagocytosis causing a decrease in the breakdown of substances in the trabecular meshwork.(8) Levels of tissue plasminogen activator, stromelysin, and metalloproteases (9,10) have been shown to decrease in trabecular meshwork cultures treated with dexamethasone.

In the normal population, 5–6% of people have been found to develop marked IOP elevation (rise more than 16mmHg) while 30% have a moderate rise in IOP between 5-15 mmHg after 4–6 weeks of topical dexamethasone or betamethasone administration.(11,12)

RISK FACTORS FOR STEROID RESPONSIVENESS:

1. PATIENTS WITH SUSPECTED PRIMARY OPEN ANGLE GLAUCOMA (POAG)

Armaly et al suggest that 90% of patients with primary open angle glaucoma and almost one third of glaucoma suspects are steroid responders. (13) POAG usually occurs in patients greater than 50 years of age.

2. AGE:

There is a bimodal distribution. Older people and children less than 6 years are found to be at risk. In a study by Lam et al 71.2 and 59.2% of children receiving topical dexamethasone 0.1% (four times per day and two times per day, respectively) responded with an IOP rise greater than 21 mmHg.(14)

3. HIGH MYOPIA:

High myopia and steroid response have been associated in a study by Steven et al.(15)

4. CONNECTIVE TISSURE DISORDERS:

A study by Gatson et al on 34 patients with connective tissue disorders showed that 15% of them were dramatic steroid responders and 20% intermediate steroid responders.(16)

5. TYPES OF PREPARATIONS OF CORTICOSTEROIDS:

More potent steroids are found to cause a higher ocular hypertensive response. Acetates are lipophilic and penetrate through the cornea better than phosphates, which are relatively hydrophilic. In a study by Cantrill et al, Medrysone 1.0% caused a 1.0 mmHg rise in IOP, while more potent steroids such as Prednisolone Acetate 1.0% and Dexamethasone acetate 0.1% caused a 10 and 22 mmHg rise in IOP, respectively.(17)

In a study conducted by Marius et al comparing prednisolone acetate eye drops1% and difluprednate ophthalmic emulsion 0.05% after cataract surgery, there was no significant difference in the mean IOP or proportions showing IOP elevation between eyes using either drops. One month postoperatively, 4 eyes in the prednisolone acetate group and 5 eyes in the difluprednate group had an IOP higher than 21 mm Hg. (18)

6. ROUTE OF ADMINISTRATION:

Intravitreal route of administration i.e. injection of steroids into the vitreous cavity has been found to have the highest incidence of steroid responders. Intravitreal injection of triamcinolone can increase IOP by several mm Hg in about 50% of patients, within 2 to 4 weeks after the start of treatment. (19) Part 2:

KERATOCONUS:

Keratoconus is a non-inflammatory, bilateral ectasia of the cornea. Progressive corneal thinning and protrusion that results in irregular astigmatism and impairment in visual function characterize it. It usually involves the central twothirds of the cornea, with the apex of the cone usually centered just below the visual axis.

The onset of keratoconus occurs at about the age of puberty. Typically, over a period of 10 to 20 years, the cornea becomes progressively thinner and, because of its relative weakness, it simultaneously protrudes out and becomes ectatic. As the patient ages, natural crosslinking of the stromal collagen fibers due to sunlight (UV light) exposure increases. This results in increased rigidity, and decreased suppleness of the cornea, causing gradual slowing and then cessation of progression. The severity of the disorder at the time that progression stops can range from very mild irregular astigmatism to severe thinning, ectasia, and scarring, requiring keratoplasty (20)

Keratoconus has no gender predominance. The prevalence in studies can range from 0.3 per 100,000 in Russia (29) to 2300 per 100,000 in Central India (0.0003%-2.3%)(31).

20

ETIOLOGY OF KERATOCONUS:

The exact etiology is unknown. However a genetic basis is suspected, which has been supported by presence of keratoconus in monozygotic twins. Keratoconus is found to be associated with diseases like atopy, vernal keratoconjunctivitis, Leber congenital amaurosis, retinitis pigmentosa, , hard contact lens wear, eye rubbing, , Down syndrome, mitral valve prolapse, and connective tissue disorders, like Ehlers–Danlos syndrome, and osteogenesis imperfect.

The microtrauma that is associated with eye rubbing and contact lens wear is thought to be one of the major causes of keratoconus. (20)

It has been reported that an up-regulation of degradative enzymes with an associated down-regulation of proteinase inhibitors will result in a degradation of the extracellular matrix of the stroma. (21) Keratocytes extracted from keratoconus corneas possess four times the normal number of interleukin-1 binding sites. Interleukin-1 also plays a role in induction of apoptosis, or controlled cell death, of in vitro stromal keratocytes. (22, 23)

Wilson et al have postulated that the corneal epithelium might release interleukin-1 in response to microtrauma that occurs after rubbing the eyes, or contact lens wear. (24)

PATHOLOGY OF KERATOCONUS:

Every layer and tissue of the cornea can be involved in the pathologic process of keratoconus. There are many observations made in corneas with keratoconus.(20)

- Degeneration of the basal epithelial cells and disruption of the epithelial basement membrane is seen(25)
- Ferritin particles accumulate within as well as between the epithelial cells, especially in the basal epithelium causing the Fleischer ring, a hallmark feature of keratoconus(26)
- In keratoconus, the collagen fibers are of normal size; however, the number of collagen lamellae is abnormally low. The number of lamellae within the cone has been found to be less than half of the number peripheral to the cone. (27)
- Collagen lamellae, which are released from their interlamellar attachments or from their attachments to Bowman's layer, and become free to slide. This results in corneal thinning without collagenolysis.
- Endothelial cell pleomorphism and polymegathism occur in keratoconus.
 (28)

Smolek has found the interlamellar strength profile in the normal cornea to be significantly weaker inferiorly and centrally. (30) The most common location for

the apex of the cone, in the central or inferior cornea, may be related to this inherent corneal weakness. This may also explain the association of eye rubbing with keratoconus.

DIAGNOSIS OF KERATOCONUS:

Usually, a typical patient with keratoconus presents to the Ophthalmologist in the teens or early twenties with complaints of distortion or blurring of vision and requiring frequent changes of glasses due to changes in refractive error. (32)

- High, irregular myopic astigmatism with a scissoring reflex on retinoscopy is one of the earliest signs of established keratoconus.
- In advanced keratoconus, the corneal protrusion may cause angulation of the lower lid on downgaze Munson's sign.
- Slit lamp examination reveals an eccentrically located ectatic protrusion of the cornea.
- Vogt's striae occur in the posterior stroma, just anterior to Descemet's membrane due to mechanical stress forces on corneal collagen.

 Acute keratoconus or corneal hydrops results from stromal imbibition of aqueous through the breaks in descemets membrane. The edema may persist for weeks or months and is eventually replaced by scarring.

Keratometry measurements from corneal topography evaluations are performed to diagnose kerataconus in addition to the clinical features. Central corneal thickness measurements are recorded periodically to monitor the thinning of cornea.

TREATMENT OF KERATOCONUS:

Initial management requires spectacles or soft toric contact lenses. Rigid gas permeable lenses are used for correction of the astigmatism which shows no improvement with glasses. Specialized contact lenses called the Rose-K lens and Scleral lenses are designed to be accurately fitted, and can provide clear vision even in quite severe cases of keratoconus.

Surgical modalities like intracorneal ring segments can be used to flatten the corneal surface thereby correcting myopic astigmatism. In severe cases penetrating keratoplasty might be needed.

Part 3:

CORNEAL CROSS LINKING:

Crosslinking is a process that has been used in the polymer industry to harden materials. Chemical crosslinking with gluteraldehyde has been used in to make heart valves and UV-A light to strengthen dental fillings.

Collagen cross-linking in the cornea is a process that occurs naturally with aging due to an oxidative deamination reaction that takes place within the end chains of the collagen on exposure to sunlight which contains UV light.(38)

Collagen Cross Linking (CXL) was introduced by Gregor Wollensak in 2003 for management of keratoconus and is done to halt or delay the progression of keratoconus.

The principle of CXL is that induction of increased cross-links between the collagen fibrils of the cornea (collagen fibrils form strong chemical bonds with adjacent fibrils) results in stiffening and strengthening of ectatic cornea.

CXL uses UV light and a photosensitizer to enhance the formation of these chemical bonds. Using UVA at 370nm and the photosensitizer riboflavin, the photosensitizer becomes excited into its triplet state thus generating the reactive oxygen species (ROS), mainly singlet oxygen and, to a much lesser degree, superoxide anion radicals. The ROS reacts with several molecules inducing chemical covalent bonds that bridge amino groups of collagen fibrils (type II photochemical reaction). 370nm was chosen as the wave length of choice because of an absorption peak of riboflavin at this wavelength. (33)



Corneal Cross-Linking

The treatment is conducted under sterile conditions in a room specifically designated for this, or in the operation theatre. The patient's eye is anesthetized with proxymetacainhydrochloride 0.5% drops. Then the central corneal epithelium is removed in a disc of diameter 9mm, to allow better diffusion of riboflavin, the photosensitizer dye, into the stroma.

A 0.1% riboflavin solution (10mg riboflavin-5-phosphate in 10ml dextran 20% solution) is applied every 2 - 4 min starting 30min before the irradiation. The irradiation is performed as per the specifications of the protocol being used. (Standard Dresden Protocol: irradiance of 3mW/cm^2 [equal to a dose of 5.4J/cm2]

for 30 min) using a UVA double diode at 370nm. The required irradiance is controlled in each patient directly before the treatment to avoid a potentially dangerous UVA overdose.(33)





EFFECTS OF CXL:

• Increased corneal rigidity:

Using a microcomputer-controlled biomaterial testing machine, biomechanical stress-strain measurements showed an increase in corneal rigidity of 328.9% in human corneas following CXL. The crosslinking effect is maximal only in the anterior 300mm.(33)

- Corneal collagen fibre diameter is significantly increased. (34)
- Increased resistance against collagenase action has been shown in animal models. (35)



Potential Adverse Effects Of CXL:

- Endothelial toxicity: In rabbits, a cytotoxic level for endothelium was found to be 0.36mW/cm2 which would only be reached in human corneas with a stromal thickness of less than 400mm with the standard treatment parameters.(36) Hence it is important to check pachymetry before planning CXL.
- Potential cataract formation with exposure to UVA light. However with the current treatment parameters the lens only receives 0.65J/cm2 which is far below the cataractogenous level of 70J/cm2.(37)

ACCELERATED CXL:

Total treatment time with conventional CXL exceeds 1 hour. According to the rule of Bunsen and Roscoe, a photochemical reaction is directly proportional to the total energy dose, irrespective of the time over which this dose is delivered. (38) The accelerated CXL protocols are those in which CXL is done in a shorter period such as 3, 5, or 10 minutes by using 30, 18, or 9 mW/cm² irradiance, respectively, with a cumulative irradiation dose of 5.4 J/cm².

Schumacher et al. found equivalent biomechanical responses between the standard Dresden protocol (3 mW/cm², 30 minutes) and accelerated (9 mW/cm², 10 minutes) treatment protocols (39). Touboul and colleagues compared corneal alterations after standard and another accelerated protocol (30 mW/ cm² for 3 minutes) and found accelerated CXL had the most impact on the anterior cornea than conventional CXL.(40) The shorter corneal exposure time of ACXL, might have the potential advantages of reducing the rate of complications such as corneal thinning, haze, infection, and melting. (41)

CXL can also be combined with refractive surgeries like photorefractive keratectomy, phototherapeutic keratectomy, intrastromal corneal ring segments. (42)

STEROIDS AFTER CXL:

Topical steroids are administered after the epithelial defect heals to reduce the postoperative inflammation and also corneal haze post CXL. There is no recommended regimen for steroid use after CXL nor are there studies comparing different potency of steroids with development of corneal haze. A study by Steven et al used topical steroids 4 times a day for 2 weeks found a peak in corneal haze at 1 month and plateauing over 1-3 months. (43) Pang et al suggested that use of

flurometholone with an NSAID Pranoprofen significantly decreased corneal irritation and corneal haze postoperatively. (44)

STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION IN KERATOCONUS:

A retrospective pilot study conducted at our department, of 10 patients who had undergone CXL, found that 40% of patients are steroid responders with moderate to severe elevation which is very high compared to normal population receiving steroid therapy. Our literature review did not find any studies that looked at the rate of Steroid responsiveness in patients with keratoconus, following plain CXL compared to the normal population.

However, we did find other studies that looked at keratoconus patients undergoing combined CXL and laser procedures, post-keratoplasty or post corneal laser procedures, that found a higher rate of steroid induced ocular hypertension, or steroid induced glaucoma, compared to the normal population.

Kanellopoulos et al performed a retrospective non-randomised case series of 350 keratoconic eyes undergoing a corneal laser procedure, one group without combined CXL, and the other with combined CXL (Group A&B respectively). The same post-operative regimen of topical dexamethasone 0.1 % for at least 1 month was used in both groups.

Both groups were analysed after 4 weeks and ocular hypertension (OHT) was

found to be present in 43.7% of patients with CXL, compared to 27.4% in patients with no combined CXL (49)

It has been proposed that the decreased biomechanical strength in a keratoconic cornea may also be associated with reduced support for the optic nerve at the level of the lamina cribrosa, increasing glaucomatous damage susceptibility.(45) Also the IOP recording is usually difficult due to asymmetrically astigmatic cornea.

A retrospective analysis of 57 eyes with keratoconus who underwent penetrating keratoplasty in a tertiary hospital in New Zealand, showed the incidence of steroid induced glaucoma to be 32%, of which 21% had moderate to severe elevation in IOP ;which is the highest incidence reported among keratoconus patients after penetrating keratoplasty.(46) They had used Prednisolone acetate 1% 2 hourly for first 7 days followed by 4 times a day for 6 months and then slowly tapered.

Other studies conducted on eyes with keratoconus post keratoplasty had significantly lower incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension like Sihota et al-4% on a study on 26 grafts in India (47),Goldberg et al -15% on 20 grafts(48).

FACTORS AFFECTING IOP MEASUREMENTS IN KERATOCONUS:

• CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS (CCT):

CCT decreases after collagen cross linking. When CCT is low, it gives a false low IOP value with applanation tonometry. But here we observe a high IOP even in patients with low CCT. In a study by Kasumovic et al (50) CCT was 449 microns before the CXL, but after CXL treatment it reduced to 420 microns 3 months later which was statistically significant, which stabilised at 437 microns 6 and 12 months later.

• METHOD OF MEASUREMENT:

Tonometry (measurement of IOP) done on keratoconus patients with 4 different tonometers (Goldmann appalanation tonometry (GAT), Tonopen, Dynamic contour tonometry (DCT) and Ocular Response Analyser showed inconsistent readings in advanced stages of keratoconus as compared to early keratoconus.

A study was done on 202 eyes with various stages of keratoconus to compare the IOP readings with GAT, tonopen, Ocular response analyser and DCT. GAT and Tonopen did not have statistically significant association with CCT. However they gave wrong readings in advanced stages of keratoconus and hence were not ideal to use in keratoconus patients. DCT and Ocular Response Analyser recordings did not show any association with CCT nor the stages of keratoconus and hence were suggested to be ideal for measurement of IOP in keratoconus. (51)

• CORNEAL RIGIDITY:

Improved biomechanical properties following CXL may be a factor responsible for a higher IOP reading obtained following CXL which does not acurately reflect the actual IOP. However, the study by Kanellopoulos et al described earlier (49) demonstrated a significant difference in IOP between the 2 groups in 1st month and the 12th month. The 12th month IOP rise could be attributed to the corneal strengthening over time. But the 1st month IOP difference when increased biomechanical strength may not yet be present, was statistically even higher than the 12th month difference, which suggests the role of topical steroids used in the first month after procedure for the IOP rise.

The study by Kasumovic et al (50) on 30 keratoconus eyes with central ectasia showed a rise in IOP after collagen cross linking which they attributed to the increasing corneal rigidity that occurs with time. The pre CXL IOP was 12mmHg, at 3 months post CXL it was 13.5 mmHg, at 6 months 14.0 mmHg and at 12 months 15.0 mmHg.

A similar result was observed in a study by Kymionis et al on 55 eyes with keratoconus after CXL. The IOP was statistically high at 6 months (mean 11.40mmHg) and 12 months (11.35mmHg) compared to pre-CXL (9.95mmHg), which was attributed to the increasing corneal rigidity.(52)

• PREPARTION OF STEROID AND DURATION OF USE AFTER CROSSLINKING:

There are no standard guidelines for type or duration of steroid use after cross linking. It is currently according to surgeon's preference.

PROGRESSION OF KERATOCONUS:

Keratoconus is a progressive degenerative disease. However, defining disease progression in keratoconus can be difficult. Increased steepening of corneal curvature readings (progressively increasing ectasia/protrusion), progressive thinning of the cornea as well as a decline in uncorrected visual acuity (UCVA) or best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) are all considered as indicators of progression.(55)

Rabinowitz described progression as clinically evident corneal changes such as

stromal thinning, conical protrusion, an iron line at the base of the cone, and fine vertical striae in the deep stroma and Descemet membrane. These corneal changes often begin at puberty, then progress over 10 to 20 years, and usually stop after the third or fourth decade. (55) Progression is also usually asymmetric, with varying rates throughout the disease course, often progressing rapidly during a 3- to 5-year period and then slowing or stopping. Additionally, the changes in a patient's two eyes are also often disparate, again indicating the variability and unpredictability of keratoconus progression even within the same patient.(56)

Hersh et al defined progressive keratoconus in terms of increase in keratometry (corneal curvature) measurements. Thus they defined progression of keratoconus as one or more of the following changes over 24 months: an increase of 1.00 D or more in the steepest keratometry measurement, an increase of 1.00 D or more in manifest cylinder, or an increase of 0.50 D or more in manifest spherical equivalent .(57)

The Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Keratoconus (CLEK) Study, an 8year multicentre study on 1,988 eyes of 1,062 patients with keratoconus found that keratometry measurements increased by a mean of 0.2D per year for 8 years, with a mean increase of 1.60D over the 8-year study period. 24.1% of
patients had an increase in keratometry greater than or equal to 3.00 D during the 8 years of follow-up. Subjects also had a mean decrease in BCVA of 2.03 and 4.06 letters with high- and low-contrast testing, respectively, over 7 years. (58)

In a study conducted by Sarah et al, 186 patients with keratoconus were studied. Increase in 2 Dioptre average keratometry was taken as progression and study done for 6 months. Only 15% of patients progressed according to their definition and rest were stable.(55)

A systematic review of 41 studies and the largest meta-analysis till date, of 23 studies on 11,529 eyes gives a insight into natural progression of the disease. Younger patients and those with greater K max demonstrated more steepening of K max at 12 months. The meta-analysis for K max included 12 studies and demonstrated a significant increase in K max of 0.7diopters (D) at 12months. Middle-Eastern populations demonstrated a significantly greater K max increase (1.23D) than Europeans (0.75D) and East Asians (0.16D). It was predicted that patients had 0.8 D less Kmax steepening over 12 months for every 10-year increase in age. There was a significant increase in the average K mean of 0.4 D at 12 months. Meta-analysis of 8 studies on pachymetry showed a statistically but not

clinically significant reduction in thinnest pachymetry of 5 micrometre at 12 months with no relation to BCVA or baseline CCT.Analysis of BCVA at 12 months of 12 studies didnot show any statistically significant worsening from baseline (59)

FACTORS AFFECTING PROGRESSION OF KERATOCONUS:

Younger age, poorer BCVA, higher cylinder and keratometry (as measured by topography), thinnest pachymetry, and shorter disease duration have all been proposed as prognosticators of progression in various studies. There is no universal definition of progression and so it is difficult to compare different studies done in this regard.

PROGRESSION OF KERATOCONUS AFTER COLLAGEN CROSS LINKING:

There are mixed results in the literature, with some studies showing significant improvement in keratometry and visual acuity shown in studies with 12 month follow-up.

In a study by Henriquez et al ,maximum keratometry decreased by a mean of 2.66 D at 12 month followup on 10 eyes.(60)

However, beyond this, it appears that there is no further improvement, and infact, many eyes go back to their pre-CXL values.

Henriquez et al studied 44 eyes for 60 months and reported that the vision and keratometry readings did not show any difference from the pre-cross linking values at the 60th month. However, an initial improvement in the first year of study was recorded. (61)

A systematic review of 5 RCTs showed a reduction in K max at one year. Two studies were marginally statistically significant while the other three favored CXL. The thinnest corneal thickness showed no significant difference in the change after one-year follow-up. The change in BCVA showed a significant difference between the CXL and control groups but may not be clinically significant cause the change is less than a line on an eye chart.(62)

The Cochrane systematic review in 2015 analysed 3 RCT s. A total of 219 treated eyes were included. They report that CXL-treated eyes were less likely to progress [an increase of 1.5D or more in maximum keratometry (Kmax)] than sham-treated eyes and that, on average, CXL-treated eyes had less steep corneas and better uncorrected visual acuity at 12 months. However they noticed variations in measuring and reporting outcomes and impreciseness in studies. (63)

A systematic review and meta-analysis on effect of CXL in a pediatric population was conducted which included 13 papers, published between May 2011 and December 2014 examining 490 eyes of 401 patients with a mean age of 15.25 yrs. There was a significant improvement in UCVA at 6 months and 1 year but this improvement lost significance at 2-year follow-up. There was no significant change from preoperative to 6-month postoperative values of K max in the standard group or at 1 year. However, at 2 years, there appeared to be a greater effect on reduction of K max. Central corneal thickness was statistically unchanged at 6 months and at 1 year in the standard group. At 2-year follow-up, there was an increase in CCT. CXL overall seems to halt progression of the disease in pediatric population (64)

In summary, studies show a modest improvement in topographic measures (average keratometry, Kmax, etc.) and in BCVA by 1 to 2 Snellen lines in the first 12 months post-CXL. The available data for follow-up beyond this time reveals fairly stable measurements and few studies have shown progression after a period of 2 years. However there is heterogeneity observed across studies during meta-analysis and hence the true effect is difficult to analyse.

Comparing standard CXL (SCXL) and accelerated CXL(ACXL), a meta-analysis suggested that SCXL was superior to ACXL in terms of the ability to reduce Kmax. UCVA was equal in both. SCXL might lead to a greater reduction in CCT than ACXL, but RCTs did not support these findings. SCXL created greater endothelial cell loss than ACXL probably due to prolonged UV exposure. (41)

3 RCT s comparing standard CXL and transepithelial CXL (TCXL) were included in a meta-analysis and found that SCXL gives better reduction of Kmax than TCXL. TCXL had better UCVA but BCVA was comparable in both groups. There was no difference in CCT between the 2 groups. (65)

COMPLICATIONS OF CORNEAL CROSSLINKING:

The common complications following CXL are related to removal of epithelium before performing the procedure, and the inflammation that occurs following the procedure. Infective corneal infiltrates or ulcers are disastrous as vision can be lost due to the scar that forms. The procedure is therefore done under strict aseptic conditions. The other complications after CXL are corneal haze, decrease in visual acuity, progression of CXL, corneal oedema, anterior chamber inflammation, and recurrent corneal erosion.

KERATITIS:

Both infectious keratitis and sterile infiltrates have been reported. A systematic review of cases reported between 2003 to 2010 showed that causes of infectious keratitis include gram positive bacteria (Staphylococcus epidermidis, S. aureus, polymicrobial Streptococcus salivarius with S. oralis), gram negative bacteria (Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa), fungi (Microsporidia, Fusarium solani), Acanthamoeba, and herpes simplex virus.(66) Sterile infiltrates were seen in 7.6% of eyes and 2.8% had central stromal scars and decrease in visual acuity in 2.9% on a study on 117 eyes after CXL (67)

CORNEAL HAZE:

In 2009, Koller et al. prospectively evaluated 117 eyes and found that at the onemonth examination, virtually all eyes had anterior stromal haze, which improved in severity within 12 months. They pointed out that cross-linking associated stromal haze is deeper and different than the subepithelial haze associated with photorefractive keratectomy. They reported a 2.9% incidence of stromal scars with corresponding topographic flattening after cross-linking. (67)

The haze after CXL extends into the anterior stroma to approximately 60% depth, which is on average equal to an absolute depth of $300 \,\mu\text{m}$. The corneal haze peaked at 1 month and then plateaued between 1 month and 3 months. Between 3 months and 6 months, the cornea began to clear and a significant decrease in CXL-associated corneal haze was noted which usually does not require treatment except for some low dose steroid medication in some cases. From 6 months to 1 year postoperatively, there continued to be a decrease in haze measurements. (43) The corneal haze can become a permanent scar if steroids are not used in the initial period following cross-linking to control the

inflammation. Steroid dosing and duration are surgeon-dependent, and has been reported as being used for 2-8 weeks by different authors. (42-44)

In a study by Kim et al, the natural history of corneal haze after CXL without use of steroids was studied and they found that corneal haze after CXL is transient with complete clearance by 6 weeks. The role of steroids postoperatively has hence been questioned. (68) However there are no studies comparing corneal haze with and without use of steroids postoperatively in CXL.

ENDOTHELIAL DAMAGE:

In a cornea saturated with riboflavin, exposure to UV-A of 5.4 J/cm2 is recommended only if the minimum corneal thickness is 400 µm to avoid toxic effects to corneal endothelial cells, crystalline lens, and retina. Iatrogenically swelling the cornea with hypotonic riboflavin, resulting in increased temporary corneal thickening may allow for safer CXL in thin corneas. (69)

PROGRESSION AFTER CXL:

The definition of progression of keratoconus following treatment with CXL varies in the literature. A common definition is an increase of more than 0.5–1.0 diopter in maximum keratometry (Kmax) after CXL. Raiskup-Wolf et al. reported less than 1% (2/241 cases) rate of progression 18 months following epi-off CXL, while Koller et al. reported a higher progression of 7.6% following epi-off cases during the first postoperative year(67,70).

In summary, there are no studies that we found in our literature search that address the issue of steroid induced ocular hypertension in patients following CXL alone (Dresden's protocol or Accelerated procedure (9mW/ 10 mins). Our steroid regimen lasts for 8 weeks in order to minimize permanent post CXL sub-epithelial haze.

Hence this study was undertaken to look at the ocular hypertension rate as well as the occurrence of other complications of CXL. We also intend to analyze by how much the progression of keratoconus was retarded following CXL procedure by comparing increase of keratometry readings for an equal amount of time before and after the CXL.

METHODOLOGY

PRIMARY OBJECTIVE:

To determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in patients with keratoconus after use of topical steroids following collagen cross linking (CXL)

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

1. To study the effect of CXL on retarding the rate of progression of keratoconus by measuring change in Keratometry reading before and after CXL

2. To study change in central corneal thickness after CXL.

3. To study change in Visual acuity after CXL.

4. To study the incidence of complications and outcome of CXL

DESIGN:

Historical prospective Observational study: Patients with keratoconus fulfilling the inclusion criteria treated with collagen cross-linking at our hospital were included in the study. Data from hospital records from the year 2013 (when this procedure was introduced to our hospital) till August 2019.

SETTING:

Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College, Schell Campus, Vellore DATA COLLECTION:

The principal investigator selected the patients fulfilling inclusion criteria from the records available in our operation theatre and medical records department and data was recorded for analysis.

a.) PATIENT SELECTION

INCLUSION CRITERIA:

1) Patients with keratoconus who have undergone CXL in our Department EXCLUSION CRITERIA:

- 1) Incomplete records available for analysis
- 2) Any prior diagnosis of glaucoma
- 3) Pre-existing other corneal pathology

PREOPERATIVE ASSESSMENT NOTED:

- 1. Uncorrected and Best-Corrected Visual Acuity
- 2. Intraocular pressure
- 3. Central corneal thickness
- 4. Topography for Keratometry readings

Procedure for Collagen Crosslinking in our Department:

Corneal collagen crosslinking was performed under sterile conditions in the operation theatre. Under topical anesthesia, the epithelium was removed. Riboflavin drops (Riboflavin>0.1% and HPMC 1%) was topically administered to the cornea for a period of 30 minutes at an interval of 3 minutes. This is done to photosensitize the corneal surface. UV irradiation was then performed with a commercially available apparatus (CCL VARIO) using a wavelength of 365 nm and irradiance of 9mW/cm² for a further 10 minutes with topical administration of riboflavin continued during this period at 3 minutes intervals (Accelerated CXL) or irradiance of 3m/W/cm² for 30 minutes with topical administration of riboflavin continued during this period at 3 minutes intervals (Dresden protocol)

Isotonic riboflavin is routinely used. Hypotonic riboflavin is used in patients with thinner corneas.

Steroid regimen in our department:

The patient is followed up daily with topical antibiotic drops till the epithelial defect heals (usually takes 3-4 days). Topical Prednisolone Acetate 1% is then started in the involved eye at 2 hourly intervals for first 1 week and then 4 hourly for one week. The patient is reviewed after 2 weeks. The IOP is measured using Goldmann applanation tonometry and patients categorized as steroid responder or non- responder. Topical antiglaucoma medication, Misopt (Dorzolamide 2% and timolol 0.5% combination) is started in the cross-linked eye if the IOP in this eye is higher than 21 mmHg,

The topical steroid (Prednisolone) drops are slowly tapered over the next 2 months. Dexamethasone eye drops have also been used in some patients instead of prednisolone.

The patient is reviewed after the steroid drop course is over and antiglaucoma medications stopped 1 month following this.

The topography, CCT and Visual acuity are recorded at each follow-up in the cornea clinic, till the study period is complete. Thereafter the patient will continue follow up with the cornea consultant as per department protocol.

The medical records of all patients who underwent CXL in our department from 1st June 2013 were assessed for eligibility to be included in the study. Progression of keratoconus before performing CXL was compared to that following CXL as per records available in the charts.

OUTCOME:

Primary outcome:

Steroid induced ocular hypertension

-IOP more than 21 mmHg in treated eye as compared to untreated eye at 2 weeks following steroid drop initiation.

- IOP difference of more than 5 mmHg in the treated eye as compared to untreated eye at 2 weeks following steroid drop initiation.

Secondary outcomes:

- Rate of disease progression before compared to after CXL based on the following specific parameters:
 - a. Increase in Keratometry readings taken from topography measurements
 - b. Reduction in Central corneal thickness

- 2. Other CXL related complications e.g. corneal infections, corneal haze.
- 3. Outcomes of CXL

DEFINING PROGRESSION:

KERATOMETRY :

K maximum and K minimum were analysed separately. Maximum and minimum K reading at the final visit of the patient during the study period was taken. A change of K reading within 1 Dioptre of pre-CXL K value was considered 'STABLE'. More than 1 Dioptre reduction was considered 'REDUCTION'. Increase in K by more than 1 Dioptre was considered as 'INCREASED'.

CENTRAL CORNEAL THICKNESS:

CCT was measured pre-CXL and the last CCT available after CXL was noted.

A change of CCT within 10 micrometer (5 above and 5 below) from pre CXL was considered 'STABLE'. An increase in CCT above this was considered 'INCREASED' and a value less than was considered 'DECREASED'.

ALGORITHM:

1	 Hospital numbers of patients who underwent CXL previously were noted from the surgery logbook maintained in theatre
2	 The OPD charts are analysed and patients fulfilling inclusion criteria were selected for analysis
3	 Required details collected
4	 Patients still on follow up in OPD were identified and followed up till study period was complete
5	• Statistical analysis

VARIABLES: Intraocular pressure (IOP), Keratometry (Corneal curvature), Central Corneal Thickness (CCT), Visual acuity, Corneal complications

DATA SOURCES/MANAGEMENT: Direct measurements and Medical records

BIAS: Possible measurement and selection bias may occur.

SAMPLE SIZE CALCULATION:

In order to calculate the sample size for this study, a pilot study was performed using 10 patients. Four patients out of 10 i.e. 40% were found to be steroid responders in this sample.

The following formula was used for sample size calculation.

Formula

$$n = \frac{Z_{1-\alpha_{2}}^{2} p(1-p)}{d^{2}}$$

Where,

- p : Expected proportion
- d : Absolute precision
- $1-\alpha/2$: Desired Confidence level

Based on our pilot study, the incidence of steroid responders was 40% with 10% precision and 95% confidence interval, the required sample size was calculated as 92. So we need to study nearly 100 subjects.

All patients and medical records that were eligible during the study period based on the study criteria were enrolled.

QUANTITATIVE VARIABLES: Intraocular pressure

STATISTICAL METHODS

Qualitative variables were reported using number and percentage. Quantitative variables were summarized using Mean and Standard deviation/Median and Inter Quartile Range (IQR). To check if there was any difference between before and after surgery, Paired t test/Wilcoxon Signed-rank test was used. To study the "over a time change", repeated measures ANOVA was used. P value at 5% level of significance was considered to be significant. Analysis was carried out using SPSS 21 version.

RESULTS

A total of 102 corneal crosslinking on 87 patients was performed between June, 2013 to August, 2019 . 2 eyes were excluded from the study due to non availability of data.

So a total of 100 eyes of 85 patients were included in the study.15 patients underwent CXL in both eyes.

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS OF PATIENTS

1. GENDER DISTRIBUTION:

Table 1: GENDER DISTRIBUTION

GENDER	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
MALE	46	54
FEMALE	39	46
TOTAL	85	100

Of the 85 subjects included in the study, there were 54% males and 46% females .

Figure 1: GENDER DISTRIBUTION



Males were marginally higher in number than females.

2. AGE DISTRIBUTION:

Table 2: DISTRIBUTION OF AGE (yrs)

Mean	17.071
Median	17.000
Mode	18.0
Std. Deviation	4.0083
Minimum	7.0
Maximum	32.0

The mean age of the 85 patients in the study was 17 years. Most of our patients were in the adolescent age group. There were 2 patients of age 7 which was the minimum age we noted and only 1 patient above 25 years at 32 years(maximum age) who underwent crosslinking.

3. EYE INVOLVED:

Table 3: EYE INVOLVED

EYE	FREQUENCY
RIGHT	51
LEFT	49
TOTAL	100

Right and left eyes were almost equally involved. Right eye involved in 51% and left eye in 49%.

4. TYPE OF CXL:

Dresden protocol was followed for CXL at our hospital prior to July, 2017.

Accelerated CXL (9mW/10 mins) is the regimen used in our hospital currently.

Figure 2 : TYPE OF CXL



Of the 100 patients included in the study, 55 underwent CXL using Dresden protocol and 45 using accelerated CXL protocol.

5. TYPE OF RIBOFLAVIN USED:





Hypotonic riboflavin was used in patients whose central corneal thickness was between 380 micron to 400 microns to prevent endothelial damage. Of the 100 eyes, 12% underwent CXL with hypotonic riboflavin and 88% with isotonic riboflavin.

6. OTHER FEATURES:

Table 4 : OTHER CHARACTERISTICS:

OTHER FEATURES		
Family history of glaucoma		Nil
Connective tissue disorders		Nil
Other associations:	High myonia	1 patient had high
other associations.	ingn myöpia	myopia with keratoconus
		The same patient with
	Retinal detachment	high myopia had retinal
	Ketmai detaemient	detachment in one of the
		eyes
Family history of keratoconus		1 patient had history of
r annry mistory of keratoconus		keratoconus in mother

One patient had high myopia and subsequently developed retinal detachment in one of the eyes.

There was only one patient with family history of keratoconus in mother.

PRIMARY OBJECTIVE

ASSESSMENT OF STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION AFTER CXL:

A total of 6 eyes of the 100 were excluded for this part of the study.

2 eyes were excluded due to non availability of IOP data and the other 4 excluded as they were found to be steroid responders when the first eye underwent crosslinking and were therefore started on antiglaucoma medications at the same time as the steroids and hence an IOP assessment in them would not reflect the actual response.

N=94 for the following analysis.

INCREASE IN IOP AFTER CXL AS COMPARED TO PRE-CXL

IOP was analysed by dividing the patients into 2 groups

- 1. IOP equal to or less than 5mmHg increase as compared to pre-CXL IOP
- 2. IOP more than 5mmHg increased as compared to pre-CXL IOP.

The following are the results.

Figure 4: IOP DIFFERENCE POST CXL COMPARED TO PRE CXL



Of the 94 eyes studies, 66% had IOP rise <=5mmHg and 34% had IOP rise greater than 5mmHg after CXL compared to pre CXL values.

|--|

	MEAN mmHg	STD DEVIATION	P VALUE
PREOPERATIVE IOP	11.64	2.55	
POSTOPERATIVE IOP	15.32	4.64	<mark>0.00</mark>

The mean IOP in pre CXL group was 11.6 mmHg and in post CXL group was15.3mmHg. This difference is statistically significant (p=0.000).However, this increase of 4mmHg is not a clinically significant rise in IOP.

IOP	FREQUENCY		CUMMULATIVE
(mmHg)	Nos	PERCENTAGE	PERCENT
<=5	62	66	66
6 - 10	24	25.5	91.5
11 - 15	7	7.4	98.9
>15	1	1.1	100
TOTAL	94	100	

Table 6 : DISTRIBUTION OF INCREASE IN IOP POST CXL

66% were non responders, 25.5% had increase in IOP between 6-10 mmHg, 7.4% had increase in IOP between 10-15mmHg and only 1.1% had marked increase in IOP (>15 mmHg)





According to Becker's classification of steroid response, only 1 patient was high responder, 31 patients were moderate responders and 62 patients were non responders.

Table 7: INCIDENCE OF STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION

IOP	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
<=21	83	88.3
>21	11	11.7
TOTAL	94	100

83 eyes out of 94 eyes did not develop an IOP greater than 21mmHg.

Figure 6: STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION



11 out of 94 eyes (12%) had IOP greater than 21 mmHg post CXL after starting steroids and started on antiglaucoma medications.

CORRELATIONS:

AGE:

AGE GROUP	NUMBER	>5mmHg	P VALUE
<=15	31	15	
15-20	47	14	
>20	16	3	<mark>0.087</mark>
TOTAL	94	32	

Table 8: CORRELATION OF AGE WITH IOP DIFFERENCE

The age group was categorised as ≤ 15 years, 15-20 years and ≥ 20 years to analyse its association with rise in IOP. The maximum number of patients were in the 15-20 age group(47 patients). There was no statistically significant correlation between age group and rise in IOP greater than 5mmHg (p = 0.087).

GENDER:







The male and female ratio in the groups with IOP rise $\leq 5mmHg$ and >5mmHg did not show any statistical significance (p = 0.552).

PREVIOUS STEROID USE vs IOP DIFFERENCE:

Figure 8: PREVIOUS STEROID USE



11 out of the 94 patients had used topical steroids before; indications being allergic conjunctivitis and post CXL in one eye.

Table 9: ASSOCIATION OF PREVIOUS STEROID USE AND IOP

DIFFERENCE

IOP DIFFERENCE VS PREV ST	ΓEROID	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	P VALU E
<=5	YES	8	12.9	
	NO	54	87.1	
	TOTAL	62	100	
>5	YES	3	9.4	
	NO	29	90.6	<mark>0.744</mark>
	TOTAL	32	100	

There was no statistical relation between previous steroid use and steroid response among patients after CXL.

PREVIOUS STEROID RESPONSE vs IOP DIFFERENCE :

Among the 94 patients, only 1 had a history of previous steroid response following CXL in one eye but the other eye did not show a increase in IOP after CXL .

THE THE TOOL STEROID RESI STOLENDED

IOP DIFF VS PREVIOUS STEROID RESPONSE	PREVIOUS STEROID RESPONSE	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
<=5	YES	1	1.6
	NO	61	
>5	YES	0	0
	NO	32	

The one patient who had a previous steroid response didnot show an increase in IOP >5mmHg during our study.

TYPE OF RIBOFLAVIN vs IOP DIFFERENCE:

Figure 9: TYPE OF RIBOFLAVIN USED AND ASSOCIATION WITH IOP

DIFFERENCE





The IOP difference in patients treated with isotonic or hypotonic riboflavin was not statistically significant (p=1.00).

66.26% and 33.73% of patients who underwent CXL with isotonic riboflavin had IOP <=5mmHg and >5mmHg respectively. 63.63% and 36.36 % of patients who

underwent CXL with hypotonic riboflavin had IOP <=5mmHg and >5mmHg respectively.

CXL PROTOCOL vs IOP DIFFERENCE:

66% study patients (n=94) had CXL with Dresden protocol and 34% with accelerated CXL protocol.

Table 11: TYPE OF PROTOCOL AND ASSOCIATION WITH IOP

DIFFERENCE

IOP DIFF VS		FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	P VALUE
PROTOCOL				
<=5	DRESDEN	35	56.5	
	ACCELERATED	27	43.5	
	TOTAL	62	100	
>5	DRESDEN	16	50	
	ACCELERATED	16	50	<mark>0.552</mark>
	TOTAL	32	100	
Statistical analysis of IOP rise with type of protocol used did not show any statistical significance (p = 0.552).

STEROID USED VS IOP DIFFERNCE:





Of the 13 patients who were started on Dexoren-S (Chloramphenicol + Dexamethasone) post CXL, 3 (23.1%) had raise in IOP>5mm Hg. Of the 81 patients started on Prednisolone acetate drops, 29 patients (35.8%) had raise in IOP >5mmHg.

IOP DIFF VS				P VALUE
STEROID		FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE	
USED				
<=5	DEXOREN S	10	16.1	
	PRED FORTE	52	83.9	
	TOTAL	62	100	
>5	DEXOREN-S	3	9.4	
	PREDFORTE	29	90.6	<mark>0.532</mark>
	TOTAL	32	100	

 Table 12: TYPE OF STEROID AND IOP DIFFERENCE

In the <=5 mmHg group, 83.9% used Prednisolone acetate and in >5mmHg group ,90.6% used Prednisolone acetate eye drops.

Among Dexamethasone eye drops users 30% had raise in IOP >5mmHg where as among prednisolone group there were 56% with raise in IOP >5mmHg. However their numbers are uneven with only 13 in dexamethasone group and 81 in the other group. There was no statistically significant association between type of steroid used and IOP difference (p = 0.532).

PRE CXL CCT vs IOP DIFFERENCE:

Immediate pre-CXL CCT was unavailable in 10 of the study patients - 8 missing in the <=5mmHg group and 2 among >5mmHg group.

Hence 84 patients were included for this analysis.

Table 13: PRE CXL CCT AND ASSOCIATION WITH IOP CHANGE

	Ν	MEAN CCT PRE CXL	P VALUE
<=5mmHg	54	429.3	
>5mmHg	30	442.5	0.176

The mean of CCT across the 84 patients were taken pre-CXL and compared to post CXL mean CCT. The mean CCT pre and post CXL were 429 and 442 respectively. This was not statistically significant (p = 0.176).

SECONDARY OBJECTIVES:

1. K PROGRESSION BEFORE AND AFTER CXL:

72 subjects were included in the analysis of K reading after CXL. The rest were excluded due to non availability of data; either lost to follow up or CXL done within the last 6 months of the study for whom repeat K reading is not yet due.

A difference of K reading within 1 Dioptre of pre CXL value at the final visit during study was taken as stable. If post CXL is >1 Dioptre and <1 Dioptre of pre CXL value ; its taken as increased and decreased respectively.

K MAXIMUM:





K maximum was stable in 35% and reduced in 44% patients. A cumulative percentage of those whose K maximum reading were either stable or reduced was 79%. Only 21% continued to show a progression after CXL.

<u>K MINIMUM:</u>



Figure 12: K MIN CHANGE AFTER CXL

K minimum was stable in 45% and reduced in 36% of patients. A cumulative percentage of patients whose K minimum either stabilised or reduced after CXL was 81%. Only 19% continued to progress.

2. CCT AFTER CXL :

CCT change within 10 microns was considered stable (5 microns above and below the pre CXL value).

Figure 13: CCT CHANGE AFTER CXL



Analysis showed a decreasing trend after CXL in 63%.CCT was stable in 9% and increased in 28%.

<u>3.VISION AFTER CXL:</u>

Vision after CXL could not be analysed due to lack of uniformity in the method used for assessment of best corrected visual acuity. No patient had a drop in the BCVA following CXL. All patients improved to 6/9 or better when Rose K lenses were trialed on the patient.

4.OUTCOMES:

All 100 patients who underwent CXL are included to study the outcomes of CXL Figure 14: OUTCOMES OF CXL



7% of patients have so far opted for Roses K lenses. 19% of patients are using Rigid Gas Permeable lenses, and the majority (59%) are using glasses. Unknown category included patients who have been lost to followup and as well as those who recently underwent crosslinking and were awaiting final visual assessments.

5. COMPLICATIONS:

Figure 10: COMPLICATIONS OF CXL



65% did not have any complications. 28 % of patients developed a faint subepithelial scar, which was not visually significant. 5% was documented to have a corneal haze. Ulcer developed in 2 patients out of 100 which responded well to treatment.

DISCUSSION

Steroid induced ocular hypertension has to be borne in mind by the surgeons and also explained to the patients while administering topical steroids. It is usually reversible after stopping the steroids but administering anti glaucoma medications along with the steroids is necessary to prevent glaucomatous changes among steroid responders. Steroids are routinely administered after cataract surgery and the steroid response among cataract patients is not as high as that among keratoconus patients post corneal crosslinking. Steroid induced rise in intraocular pressure post keratoplasty and PRK among keratoconus patients have been reported (49) but we did not find reports of steroid induced ocular hypertension among keratoconus patients after corneal crosslinking.

This was a historical prospective study conducted by looking at hospital records between June 2013 and August 2019.

Most of our study patients were in the adolescent age group. Keratoconus usually progresses in children, adolescents and stabilises as age increases.

STEROID INDUCED OCULAR HYPERTENSION:

IOP measurments were taken with the applanation tonometer. At present there are no reliable normograms for correction of IOP with corneal thickness less than 445 microns as is the case in keratoconus. Hence, for this study, uncorrected IOP has been used. In glaucoma practice a correction factor for IOP measurements has been worked out based on corneal thickness and corneas are classified as either thin, average or thicker The IOP recordings in patients with thinner corneas have been found to be lower than the actual IOP of the patient.

Thus the IOPs recorded in this study may be an under-representation of the actual IOP in these patients with thin corneas.

According to Becker (3), 66% of patients in normal population are non responders (IOP rise <=5mmHg), 29% are intermediate responders (IOP rise between 6-15mmHg) and 5% of them are high responders (IOP rise more than 15mmHg).

In our study, 66% of patients were non responders, 32.9% of patients are intermediate responders and 1.1% was high responders. Thus, this study shows a similar incidence of non-responders as in the general population. The intermediate responders are marginally higher than in the normal population, but this was not statistically significant.

Only 11.7% of the 94 subjects had steroid induced ocular hypertension (IOP >21mmHg) and was started on anti glaucoma medications. Most of the patients who had an increase in IOP of more than 5mmHg, had actual values less than 21mmHg.

The mean IOP of patients pre CXL and post CXL was statistically significant p=0.000. This shows that there is a definite increase in IOP after administration of steroids after CXL and surgeons should carefully look into it.

CORRELATIONS:

There was no association between age of patient and IOP difference. Younger children are generally more prone for steroid response than others but our study did not show any association.

There was no association between gender, previous steroid use, previous steroid response and IOP difference after CXL. There was no association between the type of riboflavin used and the type of protocol used and steroid response. There are no previous studies to compare these data.

Prednisolone acetate and dexamethasone are potent steroids and causes more steroid response than others (17). Our study did not show any statistically significant difference between the groups who used dexamethasone eye drops and prednisolone acetate eye drops.

SECONDARY OUTCOMES:

Studies have shown a decrease in K reading by 1 year and no change compared to pre CXL by 60th month and overall reduced disease progression (60,61,63). Our study also did show a reduction in K reading after CXL.

A cumulative percentage of 79% patients either had their K max stable or reduced. A cumulative percentage of 81% patients either had their K minimum stabilised or reduced.

CCT change after crosslinking reduced in 63% and was stable in 9%. There was an increase in CCT seen in 28%. Other studies also show a reduction in CCT after CXL at 1 year. (71)

Outcome of CXL - Almost all our patients had at least 6/9 vision with RoseK lenses or conventional RGPs. However due to patient's preference, age and financial status; glasses were the most preferred option for of correction (59%) followed by RGP (19%) and only 7% used RoseK lenses. Rose K lenses have only been introduced in our department in the last 2 years, and are expensive. It was noted that most of those patients using glasses continue to do so as they have at least 1 eye that corrects reasonably with spectacles.

65% of patients did not have any complications. 28% had a subepithelial faint corneal scar out of which 1 had a pre-CXL scar and 5% had corneal haze persistent. The incidence of stromal scar in study by Koller et al was found to be 2.9% and almost all eyes had corneal haze which cleared off in many by 6 weeks (67). However our study showed a higher percentage of subepithelial scars. This might be because of variability in documentation by the observers and corneal haze / scar would have been used interchangeably. None of our patients had drop in vision post CXL and most had good vision with RGP or Rose K lenses.

Our study shows 2% (2 out of 100) had corneal infiltrates which resolved with topical medications. A study by Koller reported 7.9% infiltrates (67). Ulcers are more prone to develop in epithelium-off CXL; all our cases have been epithelium-off CXL.

Hence, even though there are reports in literature which quote an incidence of steroid induced glaucoma in keratoconus eyes post PKP as 32% (46), 4% (47) and 15%(48) in various studies as well as reports of 43% of steroid induced ocular hypertension when combined CXL with a corneal laser procedure was reported

85

done (49), there were no previous studies to compare the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension post CXL alone.

Hence, we undertook a retrospective study to determine if there was an increased incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in such patients.

The results of our study does not show an abnormally high incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension as compared to the normal population.

CONCLUSIONS

- Incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in keratoconus patients post CXL is comparable with the incidence of steroid response in normal population .
- The percentage of intermediate steroid responders and high responders among them are also similar to the normal population.
- There were no associations between rise in intraocular pressure with age, gender, previous steroid use, type of steroid, type of riboflavin or type of protocol used for CXL.
- Keratometry readings and CCT were either stable or reduced after CXL in most patients.
- Serious complications with CXL is not common. Corneal subepithelial scar / haze was the most frequently seen complication.
- Glasses were most preferred among the patients post CXL.
- RoseK lenses and RGP s gave almost all patients vision of 6/9 or better.

LIMITATIONS

1. A change in the CXL technique and protocol used has been implemented in the department over the 6 years study duration.

2. The measurement of IOP has been by multiple observers at different times of the day and hence an inter observer variability and normal diurnal variation would create a bias.

3. The corneal thickness correction to IOP was not done due to unavailability of standard normograms and hence its influence on the result is not known.

4. The trend over the years of the change in Keratometery and Central Corneal Thickness could not be assessed due to non availability of data.

5. Change in best corrected vision before and after CXL could not be assessed due to loss of patients to follow up and non uniformity in data collection.

REFERENCES

- 1. Francois J. Cortisone et tension oculaire. Ann D'Oculist 1954; 187: 805.
- 2. Becker-Shaffer.Diagnosis and therapy of glaucomas .8th edition.Elsevier.2009
- Armaly MF, Becker B: Intraocular pressure response to topical corticosteroids. Fed Proc 1965; 24: 1 274–1278.
- 4. Adnan Dibas, Thomas Yorio. Glucocorticoid Therapy and ocular hypertension. European Journal of Pharmacology
- Wilson K, McCartney MD, Miggans ST, Clark AF. Dexamethasone induced ultrastructural changes in cultured human trabecular meshwork cells. Curr Eye Res 1993; 12:783–793
- Alward WLM. The genetics of open-angle glaucoma: the story of GLC1A and myocilin. Eye 2000; 14:429–436
- Clark AF, Brotchie D, Read AT, et al. Dexamethasone Alters F-actin architec- ture and promotes cross-linked actin network formation in human trabecular meshwork tissue. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton 2005; 60:83–95.

- Relief Jones III and Douglas J. Rhee .Corticosteroid-induced ocular hypertension and glaucoma: a brief review and update of the literature. Curr Opin Ophthalmol .17:163–167.
- Wordinger RJ, Clark AF. Effects of glucocorticoids on the trabecular meshwork: towards a better understanding of glaucoma. Prog Retina Eye Res 1999; 18:629–667.
- 10.Snyder RW, Stamer WD, Kramer TR, Seftor REB. Corticosteroid treatment and trabecular meshwork proteases in cell and organ culture supernatants. Exp Eye Res 1993; 57:461–468.
- 11.Armaly MF. Statistical attributes of the steroid hypertensive response in the clinically normal eye. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1965; 4:187–197.
- 12.Becker B. Intraocular pressure response to topical corticosteroids. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1965; 4:198–205.
- 13.Armaly MF. Effect of corticosteroids on intraocular pressure and fluid dynamics: II. The effect of dexamethasone on the glaucomatous eye. Arch Ophthalmol 1963; 70:492–499.
- 14.Lam DSC, Fan DSP, Ng JSK, et al. Ocular hypertensive and antiinflammatory responses to different dosages of topical dexamethasone in children: a randomized trial. Clin Exp Ophthalmol 2005; 33:252–258

- 15.Podos SM, Becker B, Morton WR. High myopia and primary open-angle glaucoma. Am J Ophthalmol 1966; 62:1038–1043
- 16.Gatson H, Absolon MJ, Thurtle OA, Sattar MA. Steroid responsiveness in connective tissue diseases. Br J Ophthalmol 1983; 67:487–490.
- 17.Cantrill HL, Palmberg P, Zink HA, et al. Comparison of in vitro potency of corticosteroids with ability to raise intraocular pressure. Am J Ophthalmol 1975; 79:1012–1017.
- 18. Tijunelis MA, Person E, Niziol LM, Musch DC, Ernest P, McBain M, et al. Comparison of prednisolone acetate 1.0% and difluprednate ophthalmic emulsion 0.05% after cataract surgery: Incidence of postoperative steroidinduced ocular hypertension. J Cataract Refract Surg . 2017 Feb 1;43(2):223–7
- 19.Vedantham V. Intraocular pressure rise after intravitreal triamcinolone. Am J Ophthalmol. 2005 Mar;139(3):575
- 20. Krachmer JH, Mannis MJ, Holland EJ. Cornea. Mosby/Elsevier; 2011. 54p.
- 21.Zhou L, Sawaguchi S, Twining SS, et al: Expression of degradative enzymes and protease inhibitors in corneas with keratoconus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1998; 39:1117-1124.

- 22.Fabre EJ, Bureau J, Pouliquen Y, Lorans G: Binding sites for human interleukin 1 alpha, gamma interferon and tumor necrosis factor on cultured fibroblasts of normal cornea and keratoconus. Curr Eye Res 1991; 10:585-592.
- 23.Bureau J, Fabre EJ, Hecquet C, et al: Modification of prostaglandin E2 and collagen synthesis in keratoconus fibroblasts, associated with an increase of interleukin 1 alpha receptor number. C R Acad Sci III 1993; 316:425-430.
- 24. Wilson SE HY, Weng J, Li Q, et al: Epithelial injury induces keratocyte apoptosis: hypothesized role for the interleukin-1 system in the modulation of corneal tissue organization and wound healing. Exp Eye Res 1996; 62:325-327.
- 25.Sawaguchi S, Fukuchi T, Abe H, et al: Three-dimensional scanning electron microscopic study of keratoconus corneas. Arch Ophthalmol 1998; 116:62-68.
- 26.Iwamoto T, DeVoe AG: Electron microscopical study of the Fleisher ring. Arch Ophthalmol 1976; 94:1579-1584.
- 27.Pouliquen Y, Graf B, de Kozak Y, et al: [Morphological study of keratoconus]. Arch Ophtalmol Rev Gen Ophtalmol 1970; 30:497-532.
- 28.Halabis JA: Analysis of the corneal endothelium in keratoconus. Am J Optom Physiol Opt 1987; 64:51-53

- 29. Gorskova EN, Sevost'ianov EN. Epidemiology of keratoconus in the Urals. Vestn Oftalmol 1998;114:38-40.
- 30.Smolek M: Interlamellar cohesive strength in the vertical meridian of human eyebank corneas. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 1993; 34:2962-2969.
- 31.Jonas JB, Nangia V, Matin A, Kulkarni M, Bhojwani K. Prevalence and associations of keratoconus in rural Maharashtra in central India: The central India Eye Medical Study. Am J Ophthalmol 2009;148:760-5.
- 32.Jayesh Vazirani and Sayan Basu. Keratoconus: current perspectives.Clin Ophthalmol. 2013; 7: 2019–2030.
- 33.Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Stress-strain measurements of human and porcine corneas after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A-induced cross-linking. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2003
- 34.Wollensak G, Wilsch M, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Collagen Fiber Diameter in the Rabbit Cornea After Collagen Crosslinking by Riboflavin/UVA. Cornea [Internet]. 2004 Jul
- 35. Spoerl E, Wollensak G, Seiler T: Increased resistance of crosslinked cornea against enzymatic digestion. *Curr Eve Res* 2004; 29:35-40.

- 36.Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Wilsch M, Seiler T: Endothelial cell damage after riboflavin-ultraviolet-A treatment in the rabbit. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2003; 29:1786-1790
- 37.Wollensak G, Spoerl E, Seiler T. Riboflavin/ultraviolet-A-induced collagen cross-linking for the treatment of keratoconus. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003;135:620-627
- 38.Schindl A, Rosado-Schlosser B, Trautinger F. Reciprocity regulation in photobiology: an overview [in German]. Hautarzt. 2001;52:779–785.
- 39.Schumacher S, Oeftiger L, Mrochen M. Equivalence of biomechanical changes induced by rapid and standard corneal cross-linking, using riboflavin and ultraviolet radia- tion. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52:9048–9052.
- 40.Touboul D, Efron N, Smadja D, et al. Corneal confocal microscopy following conventional, transepithelial, and accelerated corneal collagen cross-linking procedures for keratoconus. J Refract Surg. 2012;28:769–776.
- 41.Wen D, Li Q, Song B, Tu R, Wang Q, O'Brart DPS, et al. Comparison of Standard Versus Accelerated Corneal Collagen Cross-Linking for Keratoconus: A Meta-Analysis. Investig Opthalmology Vis Sci.2018
- 42. Randleman J,Khandelwal S,Hafezi F. Corneal cross-linking. Survey of ophthalmology. 2015 vol: 60 (6) pp: 509-523

- 43. Steven A.Greenstein et al .Natural history of corneal haze after collagen crosslinking for keratoconus and corneal ectasia: Scheimpflug and biomicroscopic analysis. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery .Volume 36, Issue 12, December 2010, Pages 2105-2114
- 44.Pang X, Fan ZJ, et al.Clinical evaluation of pranoprofen combined with fluorometholone eye drops on postoperative reaction of corneal cross-linking. Eye Sci. 2012 Dec;27(4):173-7
- 45.Cohen EJ, Myers JS. Keratoconus and Normal-Tension Glaucoma: A Study of the Possible Association With Abnormal Biomechanical Properties as Measured by Corneal Hysteresis. Cornea . 2010 Sep ;29(9):955–70.
- 46.Fan JC, Chow K, Patel D V, Mcghee CNJ. Corticosteroid- induced intraocular pressure elevation in keratoconus is common following uncomplicated penetrating keratoplasty. Eye . 2009;23(11):2056–62 .
- 47.Goldberg DB, Schanzlin DJ, Brown SI. Incidence of increased intraocular pressure after keratoplasty. Am J Ophthalmol 1981; 92: 372–377.
- 48.Sihota R, Sharma N, Panda A, Aggarwal HC, Singh R. Post-penetrating keratoplasty glaucoma: risk factors, management and visual outcome. Aust N Z J Ophthalmol 1998; 26: 305–309.
- 49.Kanellopoulos, Anastasios John Cruz et al. Higher incidence of steroidinduced ocular hypertension in keratoconus. Eye and Vision (2016) 3:4

- 50.A Sanja Sefic Kasumovic, Milka Mavija, Aida Kasumovic, Orhan, Lepara et al Intraocular Pressure Measurements Referring to the Corneal Thickness in Keratoconic Eyes After Corneal Crosslinking with Riboflavin and Ultraviolet .Medical Archives.2015.vol 69,334-8
- 51.H Altinkaynak, C Kocasarac, H Dundar et al .Which tonometry in eyes with keratoconus? Eye (Lond). 2016 Mar; 30(3): 431–437.
- 52.Kymionis, George D, Grentzelos, Michael A, Kounis, et al.Intraocular pressure measurements after corneal collagen crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet A in eyes with keratoconus. Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery.2010,10, 1724-1727.
- 53.Markus Tschopp, Johannes Stary et al ,Impact of Corneal Cross-linking on
 Drug Penetration in an Ex Vivo Porcine Eye Model. Cornea 2012;31:222–
 226
- 54.Christoph Tappeiner, Markus Tschopp et al ,Impact of corneal cross-linking on topical drug penetration in humans. Acta Ophthalmol. 2015
- 55.Sarah E. Brown, Rubinee Simmasalam, Progression in Keratoconus and the Effect of Corneal Cross-Linking on Progression ,Eye & Contact Lens 2014
- 56.Li X, Rabinowitz YS, Rasheed K, et al. Longitudinal study of the normal eyes in unilateral keratoconus patients. Ophthalmology 2004;111:440–446.

- 57.Hersh PS, Greenstein SA, Fry KL. Corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus and corneal ectasia: One-year results. J Cataract Refract Surg 2011;37:149–160
- 58.Davis LJ, Schechtman KB, Wilson BS, et al; The Collaborative Longitudinal Evaluation of Keratoconus (CLEK) Study Group. Longitudinal changes in visual acuity in keratoconus. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2006;47: 489–500.
- 59.Ferdi AC, Nguyen V, Gore DM, Allan BD, Rozema JJ, Watson SL. Keratoconus Natural Progression. Ophthalmology . 2019 Jul ;126(7):935–45
- 60.Henriquez MA, Izquierdo L Jr, Bernilla C, et al. Riboflavin/ultraviolet A corneal collagen cross-linking for the treatment of keratoconus: Visual outcomes and Scheimpflug analysis. Cornea 2011;30;281–286
- 61.Hashemi H, Seyedian MA, Miraftab M, et al. Corneal collagen cross-linking for keratoconus: Long-term results. Ophthalmology 2013;120:1515–1520.
- 62.Kobashi H, Rong SS. Corneal Collagen Cross-Linking for Keratoconus: Systematic Review. Biomed Res Int . 2017 Jun 11
- 63.Sykakis E, Karim R, Evans JR, Bunce C, Amissah-Arthur KN, Patwary S, et al. Corneal collagen cross-linking for treating keratoconus. Cochrane Database Syst Rev . 2015 Mar 24

- 64.McAnena L, Doyle F, O'Keefe M. Cross-linking in children with keratoconus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Acta Ophthalmol .2017 May
- 65. Li W, Wang B. Efficacy and safety of transepithelial corneal collagen crosslinking surgery versus standard corneal collagen crosslinking surgery for keratoconus: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. BMC Ophthalmol . 2017 Dec 28
- 66. Abbouda A, Abicca I, Alio JL. Infectious Keratitis Following Corneal Crosslinking: A Systematic Review of Reported Cases: Management, Visual Outcome, and Treatment Proposed. Semin Ophthalmol . 2014 Nov 13
- 67.Tobias Koller,Michael Mrochen et al. Complication and failure rates after corneal crosslinking . Journal of Cataract & Refractive Surgery Volume 35, Issue 8, August 2009, Pages 1358-1362
- 68.Kim BZ, Jordan CA, McGhee CNJ, Patel D V. Natural history of corneal haze after corneal collagen crosslinking in keratoconus using Scheimpflug analysis. J Cataract Refract Surg . 2016 Jul 1 [cited 2019 Oct 2];42(7):1053–9
- 69.Spoerl E, Mrochen M, Sliney D, Trokel S, Seiler T. Safety of UVAriboflavin cross-linking of the cornea. Cornea. 2007.

- 70.Raiskup-Wolf F, Hoyer A, Spoerl E, Pillunat LE. Collagen crosslinking with riboflavin and ultraviolet-A light in keratoconus: Long-term results. J Cataract Refract Surg . 2008 May ,34(5):796–801
- 71.Antonios R, Fattah MA, Maalouf F, Abiad B, Awwad ST. Central Corneal Thickness After Cross-linking Using High-Definition Optical Coherence Tomography, Ultrasound, and Dual Scheimpflug Tomography: A Comparative Study Over One Year. Am J Ophthalmol , 2016 Jul [cited 2019 Oct 19];167:38–47.

ANNEXURES

1.ABSTRACT

2.DATA COLLECTION SHEET

3.IRB APPROVAL FORM

The information sheet and consent form have not been used in the study since it was a retrospective study and hence not attached.

ABSTRACT:

TITLE: A Historical Prospective Observational study ofpatients with keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocularhypertension following collagen crosslinkingDEPARTMENT:OphthalmologyNAME OF THE CANDIDATE : Minu George PDEGREE AND SUBJECT:MS OphthalmologyNAME OF THE GUIDE:Dr. Sanita Korah

OBJECTIVES:

1. To determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in patients with keratoconus after use of topical steroids following collagen crosslinking (CXL)

2. To study the effect of CXL on Keratometry reading, central corneal thickness, visual acuity

3. To study the complications and outcome of CXL

METHODS:

This was a historical prospective study done on patients with keratoconus who had undergone CXL in our department. The data from June, 2013 to August, 2019 was collected from the hospital records and the variables noted included preoperative and postoperative IOP measurement, Keratometry reading, central corneal thickness and visual acuity. Outcomes and complications of CXL were also recorded. Data entry was done in Microsoft Excel and analysis done by SPSS software 21 version.

RESULTS:

Incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension in keratoconus patients post CXL was 34% .There is a statistically significant (p=0.00) rise in intraocular pressure after steroid use. There were no associations between rise in IOP with age, gender, type of steroid, type of riboflavin or type of protocol used for CXL.

Keratometry readings and CCT were stable/reduced after CXL in 80% and 72% respectively. Complications with CXL were corneal subepithelial scar / haze (33%) and corneal infiltrate(2%). Glasses were most preferred (65%) among the patients post CXL. RoseK lenses and RGP s gave almost all patients vision of 6/9 or better.

CONCLUSION:

- There is no increased incidence of steroid induced hypertension, or steroid response over that of the normal population in patients with keratoconus on topical steroids following had Collagen Crosslinking.
- 2. The keratometry readings reduced or remained stable for the time duration following CXL that was studied.

The major complications following CXL was Corneal subepithelial scarring. This however, did not affect the vision in any patient.

DATA COLLECTION SHEET

1. STUDY TITLE: A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension and progression of disease following collagen crosslinking

SERIAL NUMBER:	
DATE ://	HOSPITAL
NUMBER:	
NAME :	AGE :
	SEX : MALE /
FEMALE	
ADDRESS :	
CONTACT NUMBER:	

HISTORY:

• Eye involved	Right	Left
Family history of glaucoma	Yes	No
History of previous/present steroid	Yes	No

use		
History of steroid response	Yes	No
Connective tissue disorder	Yes	No
	If yes, mention	
	disease	

Any other comorbidities, Mention:

Prior history of steroid response:

Right	

CXL: ISOTONIC RIBOFLAVIN	HYPOTONIC RIBOFLAVIN \Box
DRESDEN'S PROTOCOL	ACCELERATED CXL

PART 1:

Left

DATE OF CXL:

PRE-OPERATIVE INTRAOCULAR PRESSURE:	
IOP AFTER 2 WEEKS OF STARTING STEROIDS:	

DATE OF STARTING STEROIDS:	
ANTIGLAUCOMA MEDICATION USED	
DURATION OF ANTIGLAUCOMA MEDICATION	
USE:	

PART 2

TMS

	DATE	RIGHT EYE		LEFT	'EYE
		K1	K2	K1	K2
Pre CXL K					
At diagnosis					
Last Pre-CXL					
Post CXL					
1 yr					
Post CXL					
2yrs					
Post CXL 3					
yrs					
Post CXL 4					
yrs					
Post CXL 5					
yrs					

Post CXL 6			
vrs			
5			

CCT

	DATE	RIGHT	LEFT
Pre CXL			
CCT			
At diagnosis			
Last Pre-CXL			
Post CXL			
1 yr			
Post CXL			
2yrs			
Post CXL 3			
yrs			
Post CXL 4			
yrs			
Post CXL 5			
yrs			
Post CXL 6			
yrs			

VISUAL ACUITY

	UNCORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY		BEST CORRECTED	
			VISUAL ACUITY	
	RIGHT	LEFT	RIGHT	LEFT
PRE CXL				
At diagnosis				
Last Pre CXL				
Post CXL 1 yr				
Post CXL 2 yrs				
Post CXL 3 yrs				
Post CXL 4 yrs		<u></u>	<u></u>	
Post CXL 5 yrs				
Post CXL 6 yrs				
COMPLICATIONS	Corneal haze / corneal scar / corneal ulcer / Others:			
---------------	----------------------------------------------------------			
OUTCOME	GLASSES / RGP / ROSE K / PKP			



Dr. B.J. Prashanthum, M.A., M.A., Dr. Mis (Clinical) Director, Christian Counseling Center, Chairperson, Ethics Committee. Dr. Anna Benjamin Pullmood, MB.B.S., MD., Ph.D., Chairperson, Research Committee & Principal

Dr. IIIJu George, M.B.B.S., MD., DM., Deputy Chairperson, Secretary, Ethics Committee, IRB Additional Vice-Principal (Research)

June 06, 2019

Dr.Minu George P, PG Registrar, Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College,

Sub: Fluid Research Grant: New Proposal:

A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking. Dr.Minu George P, Post graduate registrar, Ophthalmology, Dr. Sanita Korah, Dr. Alo Sen, Department of ophthalmology, Ms. Pobritima Sr.Demonstrator, Dept of Biostatistics.

Ref: IRB Min. No. 11678 [OBSERVE] dated 03.42:2018

Dear Dr.Minu George P

The Institutional Review Board (Blue, Research and Ethics Committee) of the Christian Medical College, Vellore, reviewed and discussed your project titled "A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking." on December 03rd 2018.

The Committee reviewed the following documents:

- 1. IRB application format
- Consent and Assent form and Information Sheet (English, Tamil, Hindi, Bengali)
- 3. Cv's of Drs. Alo Sen, Sanita, Poornima, Minu George.
- 4. Data Collection Form
- 5. No. of documents 1-4

The following Institutional Review Board (Blue, Research & Ethics Committee) members were present at the meeting held on December 03rd 2018 in the New IRB Room, Bagayam, Christian Medical College, Vellore 632 004.

2 of 5

tithics Committee Blue, Office of Research, 1st Floor, Carman Block, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nada 632 002 Tel: 0416 - 2284294, 2284202 Fax: 0416 - 2262788, 2284481 E-mail: research@cmcvellore.ac.in



Dr. B.J. Prashantham, M.A., M.A., Dr. Mis (Clinical) Director, Christian Counseling Center, Chairperson, Ethics Committee. Dr. Anna Benjamin Pulimood, M.B.B.S., MD., Ph.D., Chairperson, Research Committee & Principal

Dr. Bija George, MB 8.S., MD, DM, Deputy Chairperson, Secretary, Ethics Committee, IRB Additional Vice-Principal (Research)

June 06, 2019

Dr. Minu George P, PG Registrar, Department of Ophthalmology, Christian Medical College,

Sub: Fluid Research Grant: New Proposal:

A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking. Dr.Minu George P, Post graduate registrar, Ophthalmology, Dr. Sanita Korah, Dr. Alo Sen, Department of ophthalmology, Ms. Poornima Sr.Demonstrator, Dept of Biostatistics.

Ref: IRB Min. No. 11678 [OBSERVE] dated 03.12.2018

Dear Dr. Minu George P.

I enclose the following documents:-

1. Institutional Review Board approval 2. Agreement

Could you please sign the agreement and send it to Dr. Biju George, Addl. Vice Principal (Research), so that the grant money can be released.

With best wishes,

Dr. Bijn George Secretary (Ethics Committee) Institutional Review Board Dr. BIJU GEORGE MBBS., MD, DM SECRETARY - (ETHICS COMMITTEE) Institutional Review Board Christian Medical College, Vetore - 632 002.

CC: Dr. Sanita Korah, Ophthalmology, Vellore

1 of 5

Ethics Committee Blue, Office of Research, 1st Floor, Carman Block, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu 632 002 Tel: 0416 - 2284294, 2284202 Fax: 0416 - 2262788, 2284481 E-mail: research@cmcvellore.ac.in



Dr. B.J. Prashantham, M.A., M.A., Dr. Mis (Clinical) Director, Christian Counseling Center, Chairperson, Ethica Committee. Dr. Anna Benjamin Pulimood, M.B.B.S. MD., Ph.D., Chairperson, Research Committee & Principal

Dr. Biju George, M.B.B.S., MD., DM., Deputy Chairperson, Secretary, Ethics Committee, IP,B Additional Vice-Principal (Research)

Name	Qualification	Designation	Affiliation
Dr. Biju George	MBBS, MD, DM	Professor, Hacmatology Research), Additional Vice Principal, Deputy Chairperson (Research Committee), Member Secretary (Ethics Committee), IRB, CMC, Vellore	. Internal, Clinician
Dr. B. J. Prashantham	MA(Counseling Psychology), MA(Theology); Dr. Min(Clinical Counselling)	Chairperson, Ethics Committee, IRB. Director, Christian Counseling Centre, Vellore	External, Social Scientist
Mr. C. Sampath	BSC BL	Advocate, Vellore	External, Legal Expert
Dr. Ratna Prabha	ATBBS. MD (Phatma)	Associate Professor, Clinical Pharmacology, CMC, Vellore	Internal. Pharmacologist
Mr. Samuel Abraham	MA, PGDBA PGDPM-M. Phil, BL.	Sr. Legal Officer, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Legal Expert
Dr. John Jude Prakash	MBBS MBBUSTIAN M	Professor, Clinical Virology, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Dr. Rekha Pai	BSc, MSc, PhD	Associate Professor, Pathology, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Basic Medical Scientist
Ars. Sophia V	M.Sc Nursing	Addl. Deputy Dean CMC, Vellore	Internal, Nurse
Dr. Ekta Rai	MBBS, MD MRCA	Professor, Department of Anaesthesia, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Rev. Joseph Devaraj	BSc, BD	Chaplaincy Department, CMC, Vellore	Internal. Social Scientist
Dr. Inian Samarasam	MS, FRCS, FRACS	Professor, Surgery, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Dr. Jayaprakash Muliyil -	BSC, MBBS, MD, MPH, Dr PH (Epid), DMHC	Retired Professor, CMC, Vellore	External. Scientist & Epidemiologist

Libics Committee Blue, Office of Research, 1st Ploor, Carman Block, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nadu 632 002 Tel: 0416 - 2284294, 2284202 Fax: 0416 - 2262788, 2284481 E-mail: research@emevellore.ac.in



Dr. B.J. Prasbanthum, M.A., M.A., Dr. Min (Clinicsi) Director, Christian Counseling Conter, Chairperson, Ethics Committee. Dr. Anna Benjamin Pulimood, MD.B.S., MD., Ph.D., Chairperson, Research Committee & Principal

Dr. Blju George, M.B.B.S., MD., DM., Deputy Chairperson, Secretary, Ethics Committee, IRB Additional Vice-Principal (Research)

Mrs. Nirmala Margaret	MSc Nursing	Addl. Deputy Nursing Superintendent, College of Nursing, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Nurse
Dr. Asha Solomon	MSc Nursing	Associate Professor, Medical Surgical Nursing, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Nurse
Dr. Santhanam Sridhar	MBBS, DCH, DNB	Professor, Neonatology, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Mrs. Sharle D.	MD, DM	Professor, Neurological Sciences, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
West Sheeta Durai	MSc Nursing	Professor, Medical Surgical Nursing, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Nurse
Dr. Winsely Rose	MBBS, MD (Pacd)	Professor, Paediatries, CMC Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Di. Fremua Abranam	M.Sc. Ph.D	Professor, Department of Biochemistry, CMC, Vellore	MBBS, MD (Paed)
Dr. Shyam Kumar NK	MBBS, DMRD, DNB, FRCR; FRANZCR	Professor, Radiology, CMC Vellore	Internal,
Dr. Vivek Mathew	MD (Gen. Med.) DM (Neuro) Dip. NB (Neuro)	Professor, Neurology, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Clinician
Ms. Grace Rebekah	M.Sc., (Biostatistics)	Lecturer, Biostatistics, CMC, Vellore	Internal, Statistician
Dr. Barney Isaac	MBBS, DNB (Respiratory Diseases)	Associate Professor, Pulmonary Medicine, CMC Vollage	Internal, Clinician

We approve the project to be conducted as presented.

Kindly provide the total number of patients enrolled in your study and the total number of Withdrawals for the study entitled: "A Historical Prospective Observational study of patients with Keratoconus to determine the incidence of steroid induced ocular hypertension following collagen crosslinking" On a monthly basis. Please send copies of this to the Research Office (research@cmcvellore.ac.in).

4 of 5

Ethics Committee Blue, Office of Research, 1st Floor, Carman Block, Christian Medical College, Vellore, Tamil Nada 632 002 Tel: 0416 - 2284294, 2284202 Fax: 0416 - 2262788, 2284481 E-mail: research@cmcvellore.ac.in

DATA

	U)	5	۲	1	Se .																											
	ł.	8	G	0	ł	1	0	н		d.	K	L	М	8	0	P.	0	h	5	T U	Ŷ	V	K	Y	2	絲	6	K,	10	K.	11	46
1	KOP SC	a hadindi	.03	C SAL MARINA	IN NO.43	RANEY HISTORY BLALCOM A	HISTOPT CP PREVIO US PREVIO T STEPTO D LINE	HGTOR DF DTIRCE RESPON	CONEC THE THUR DECADE R	OATE OF COL	DIL: BOTON E RECR. KON	HIROT OTC HILDR ANN	DRESEK NS PROTOL	KCCB.E NATED DL	FE PRE ADM DEL	ICP ATE NGE SICP THE STEP	DATE DA	AND AUDO MICH GAT DN DND	0.044 104 8/11 60.40 0.40 0.40 1400 0.41	KIPPEC	30X P94	CH.N	IPE	CIL 4	1,776	013	N PRE	.01.3	48.CX	11	NPR	: CHL &
																			MM HI	onn ^K Max	KMR	5. 6422	K MN	K Har	CH1	K NIX	KMB	5. 622	KHN	K HAX	CHIN	K NK
2	4555	VANDS	ſ	ir.	L		2 - 5	2 3	2	2 200620	1		2			1	200210															
ł.	15675	5065	1	M.	l		2 3			2 200300	i.		2			1	001317												435	9.8		
1	non.	UK P	1	М	H			1 3		i waa	1		1		i i	ľ	197600												87	4.3	- 01	15
Ŷ.	esse.	90	1	F			1 - 2	1	2	2 (30395)			2		- 8	- 2	0.3285	1													100	Q_{2}^{m}
Ţ	952	N.824	Ţ	M	R.		2 - 2			2 004204			2	1	- 8	- 7	179318															
I.	33765	10126	ľ	17	H		1 1	1		2 306 D	1		2			1	1210														010	8.3
9	8696	20148	ł	(F			2	(2	2 5 539	1		1			1	90510				- 63	- 21	60	88	$\ell 0$	3.0	516	66				
1	1945	1074	1	15	1		2 - 7			2 000204			2	(i	1	- }	20130								$\delta 3$	70	44	5.5				
Т.	2020	INCLUS	1	P	H.		1	1 3	1	1000	1		1	()		1	200.00								111	83			6.0	-011		
Ŷ	6755	HARH	ŝ	M	A		1 - 3			2003	}		1			1	00010												514	80		
13	4608055	LAVANYA	14	4 F	L		2 2	2 2	2	2 18/11/2014	1		2	1 3	2 12		29/11/2014															
14	4608055	LAVANYA	1/	1 F	R		2 2	2 2	2	2 20101/2016	2		1	1 3	2 12		23/01/2016												56.13	58.5	56.87	6127
15	407032s	diyapriya	18	6 F	R		2 2	2 2	2	2 10/02/2018	1		2	1	2 14	12	2 20/02/2015										50.5	54.5	49.27	56.32		
16	2414895	REVATHY	2() F	L		2 2	2 2	2	2 09/06/2015	1		2	1	2 14	14	12/06/2015				46.7	3 49.97	47.37	515	48.18	51.62	48.26	51.94				
17	4283665	SINDHU	1	JF	L -		2 2	2 2	2	2 28/07/2015	1		2	1	2 10	20	0 0108/2015	1	1 3								45.5	56	48.22	62.52		
18	4283665	SINDHU	l	JF	R		2	1	1	2 07772016	1		2	1	9 . 9	1	2 05/11/2016	2							44	47.25	46.39	55.95	47.23	59.62	10.01	54.00
19	4742315	ANU	k	3 F	K F		2 2		2	2 11/08/2015	1		2		2 12	20) 13/08/2015	2	4												46.94	5139
20	4910/95	MD GEELAN	1	(M	K D		2		2	2 23/12/2015	1		2		2 16	1	2 20.3/2015									40.07		40.05			47.68	52.16
21	3364/35	AAKWIN	2	2 M	K '		2 2	2 2	2	2 15/05/2015	1		2		2 12	1	2 16/06/2015								44.89	49.07	44./5	49.25	(7.07	00.4		
22	2201/85	SUKUMAH	•	IM IN	L n		2	• •	2	2 010//2012	1		2		. 14	4	05/07/2012								11.70	10.0	40.01	(25	47.07	60.1		
23	2201/65	SURUMAH		IM NU	н ,		2		2	2 03/03/2013	4		2	.	. 14) 17	1	0 0010012010								41.76	43.6	42.01	43.0	42.86	40.00		
24	4000325	SUBRATHU	k v	M Nu			2 1		2	2 UNUX/2010 3 10010010	1		2	. •	: 10 10	li 1	0 03/03/2010												010	36.73	41.74	50.01
20	436/435	JAGAN	R M) M N L	n n		2 4		2	2 13/01/2016 3 37/01/2016	1		4	4 4		R	5 COTURZUID 1 000410040				F10	04.00			5170	50.57			57.07	61.7	44.24	20.31
20	3202225 4040405	dalaji Denjitu	a r) M	П I		2 2		2	2 2//U1/2016 3 0003/3040	2 1		1	1 4	: b	1	1 30/01/2016				510	2 64.23			31.73	00.07			07.67 50.05	63.7 E4.04		
27	4042420 4040040	CINON	30 2	1 Mi Nu	L I		2 i 1 i		2	2 U3TU372U10 1 10105/2010	1		2	1 4	: IU) 10	10	0 01050010												32.33	04.04 75.00		
20	4240210	CIMON	- μ γ	2 MI D MI	D		2 i 2 i	2 4 D 4	2	2 10/03/2010	1		2	1 4	: 12) a	i 1	2 2 10072010 0 20062017										10.11	44.00	413	40.00		
20	4240210 FOACAAC	NIDVA	10	2 M 2 E	n I		2 i 2 i	2 4 D 4	2	2 23/03/2017	1		2	1 4	: J) 10	10	2010012017 1010012017										42.44	44.00	42.0	49.01	E4 40	62.02
21	5090993	CUAWA	10 10	рг ры	L. 		2 2		2	2 1.0100/2010	1		2	1 4	. IU) 12	10 10	0 1070072010														J4.40	03.33
22	5347305	BAHEEMA	2/	1 F	L 		2 2) (,	2 03072010	1		2	1 4) 10	1	5 1200/2010															
22	5092300 5435449	SINDLUA	- 24 10	i I F	ь 		2 2	, ,	,	2 20100/2010	1		2	1 4) 10) 10	K T	2 23002010															
34	FARARES	DILEP	t. 12	R M	-		2 3		-	2 20032010	1		2	1	. 10) 10	2	1 23/09/2016															
35	5451485	PARTIPAN	10 10	A M	R		2 3		-	2 01/11/2016	2		1	1 1) 10) 10	1	2 05/11/2016															
00	0101100		K	2 M					-	2 01112010	-				. 10	14	. Jan 2010															

U	8	•	f.																											
1.1		C 0	1	1	4	Н	1	1.1	K	L.	H I	N	8	P 9	В	I.	Ţ	U	ų.	W.	ĸ	Y	1	н		4	ю	R.	4	11
8,846	40,01%	TM	8	2		1 = 2		2007/05	1	- 2	1	- 2	1	3783	- 2	1											40	9.0		
37, 01171	REPART	1.11	8	1		1 1		107723	1	- 2	1	- 1	- 1	1 7535															${\rm H}{\rm H}$	8.0
2 325	SMDUA.	19 F -	L.	2		1 - 2		129926	1	- 2	1		N	2.8335					9.5	92			43	${\bf 2P}$	4.7	20 i	400	2.6		
3 325	SAFRELA	$-\overline{b}F$	6	2		2 2		2007/05	1	- 2	1	- 7	- 1	8.7626							0.7	10					28	11		
0 9821	164.00	77	L	1		1 1		10.01	1	1	1	- 1	- 1	0.00000													82	- 81		
4 8335	SHUMP	7.4	A			2 - 2		110000	1	2	1	- 1	- 6	0.91017											-60	-96				
$P_{\rm eff} = 0.025$	500,005	TM	L.	2		2 2		23.00		- 2	- 1	- 1	- 1	2047217	- 2	1											III	0.0		
 41 97020 	HNIH	TM	8	1		1 1		1000	1	1	1	- 1	- 1	1 WET	- 1	1														
41 50856	PENNY .	HM -	L.	2		2 - 2		12002	1	- 2			- 1	140222															$U_{i}^{(i)}$	40
<i>1</i> , 90005	ALSENT	714	R.	2		2 2		2 10 200		- 2	1	- 2	- 8	TODEP																
41 MILES	ILPR AL	zн	8	1		1 1		120202	1	1	1	1	N	1.0007													63	10°		
47 5706	FEMAR	66	A	2		2 - 2		210,002	1	- 2			- 8	9.59432																
45 573455	PENINA .	37	L	2		2 2		23802	1	- 2		- 2	- R	3400	- 2	1													105	500
0 0700.	INSTITUTION.	12	L	1		1 1		1,356,07	1	1	1	- 1	- 8	1.00027											11.0	3.0	83	11.1		
50 55955	PROA	16	A			2 2		220300	1	2			N	5.345209													63	83		
5 55225	59254	7.14	8	2		2 2		26030	1	- 2	1	- 2	- 8	U MARCE													8.8	QP	505	5.8
TO NORM	NUMBER	TH	8	1		1 1		1,230,027	1	1	1	- 1	1	1,200007																
52 500-6	NATES	214	L	2		2 2		2,00019	1	2	1	1	М	4,247228													43	- 4		
9,9096	OWEEDI	TM	6	2		2 2		200301	1	2	1	- 2	1	0.168202																
1 73.01	ANN .	ЛH	8			1 1		147307	1	1	1	1	-1	1.20227																
Jb 33/40/5	MŪNŪA	15 F	L	Ż		2 2		z Zaurzotr	2	1	t.	Z	1Z	24 230/2017	2	3														
57 3974875	MONICA	15 F	R	2		1 1		2 10/07/2018	1	2	2	1	10	13 14/07/2018													46.03	57.06		
58 4812625	BLESSY	12 F	L	2		2 2		2 26/09/2017	1	2	2	1	16	27 13/09/2017	2	3														
59 4812625	BLESSY	12 F	R	2		1 1		2 17/04/2018	1	2	2	1	16	16 20104/2018	2	2											44.96	48.24		
60 5929565	JAYASURIYA	13 M	L	2		2 2		2 26/09/2017	1	2	2	1	16	23 30/09/2017	2	3														
61 6934385	GUNA	17 M	L	2		2 2		2 26/09/2017	1	2	2	1	12	20 30/09/2017	2	3														
62 591834S	HEMA	16 F	L	2		2 2		2 3/10/2017	1	2	2	1	8	10 7/10/2017																
63 5977495	NPURAN	22 F	L	2		2 2		2 7/11/2017	1	2	2	1	16	24 10/11/2017	2	3														
64 2851905	KARTHIK	23 M	L	2		2 2		2 12/12/2017	1	2	2	1	10	10 15/12/2017									44.9	52.01	45.23	52.95	45.25	53.99		
65 6214695	ADITHIYA	13 M	R	2		2 2		2 19/12/2017	2	1	2	1	16	12 20.3/2017																
66 6242925	PREMKUMAF	16 M	R	2		2 2		2 20.3/2017	1	2	2	1	12	14 30/12/2017																
67 4625975	DIVITHRA	18 F	L	2		2 2		2 16/01/2018	1	2	2	1	17	14 20101/2018							44.61	50.63	45.23	50.37			44.47	52.43		
68 535081S	NISHA	20 F	L	2		2 2		2 6/03/2018	1	2	2	1	15	8 10/03/2018											47.53	50.96			49.13	53.89
69 638117S	KOTESWARI	15 F	R	2		2 2		2 17/04/2018	1	2	2	1	12	23 21/04/2018	2	3														
70 4134275	SURENDRAR	13 M	L	2		2 2		2 30/04/2018	1	2	2	1	10	18 505/2018															47.06	49.43
71 1305295	GEETHANJAI	14 F	L	2		2 2		2 1552018	1	2	2	1	12	14 21/05/2019															49.26	59.55
72 1305295	GEETHANJAI	14 F	R	2		2 2		2 186/2019	1	2	2	1	12	10 22/6/2019													46.12	48.33	45.87	47.65
73 6446475	PRITHVIRAJ	18 M	L	2		2 2		2 56/2018	1	2	2	1	12	22 96/2018	2	2														
74 5759385	PRAVEEN	18 M	R	2		2 2		2 3/7/2018	1	2	2	1	10	14 7/7/2018													46.08	50.76		
75 6484645	AKASH	17 M	L	2		2 2		2 17/7/2018	1	2	2	1	14	36 21/7/2019	2	2														
76 6484645	AKASH	17 M	R	2		1 1		2 11/6/2019	1	2	2	1	10	24 15/6/2019	2	3											55.36	59.84	53.06	58.98
77 6363595	PREM	19 M	R	2		2 2		2 17/7/2018	1	2	2	1	8	10 21/7/2018																
78 645107s	YAMN	18 F	L	2		2 2		2 31/7/2018	1	2	2	1	8	15 4/8/2018																
70 000 000		-	-	-		-		0.0000000		-	-		-	40 400040																

	02	5	r (E	- <u>f</u> e																											
	- A -	6	0 0	1	1	4	ł.	J	K	L	M	1	D.	P	0	h	5	Т	V	Y	V.	X	Y	1	A.	8	46	<i>0</i>	¢,	1	16
ß	646	104494	94	A.		1	1	20,15		Ż.			N	11																	
Į0	9896	ba,ma	bf =	L	2			15		- 2	1	1	- 8	- 38	10									402	\overline{YZ}			65	93		
ľ	0.00	HILLI	TH	L	1	1	1	30.11	1	1	1	1	0	13		1	- 1														
Ÿ.	66,95	THEMEN	66	L	1	- 1	- 1	932	1	2			1	- 03	999																
Ņ	90.005	2960	∂I	8	2			$\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}}$		- 2	1		- N	-33	10															87	55
II.	U. U.	MTHIHRU	ZМ	H	1	- 1	1	3110	1	- 1	1	1	į.	12																	
Ű,	1963	TRACELO	64	A		1		25/2		1				- 65	9.9																_
8	tint	REATH	37	L	2			3030	ļ	1		- 7	- 8	-0V	99													50	Ω.		_
ľ	in ji	HIMTH	1.7	H.	1	1	1	WIT	1	1	1	- 1	0	-11	1. T													8.3	68		
Ŵ	1985	P004600	98	A		-		94.15	1	1			1	24	20											90	54				
Ø	2885	10400	W		2			NR0		- 2		- 2	ļ	- 77	0.6											03	83			102	92
D	8181	PROFESSION (1997)	18	H	2	1	1			- 1	1	1	1	-1																	_
ÿ	1000	200144	94	l		1				1				Ū.																	
ų,	9785	MARDIA -	ZI	L	2				1	1	- 1		- 8	- 8																	_
T	0.11	n.am	TH	H.	1	1	1		1	1	1	1		-1																	
9	HODE	NAREA A	98	L		1	- 1			1		1	- U	Ŷ																	
Ţ,	9335	(Sahi)	TM	8	2	1				- 2			- 8	3																	_
1	850 F.	6096	17	L.	1	1	1			1	1	1	0	-1																	_
ÿ	1000	SAAA	115	A	1	1			1	Ż			- U	- 9																	
5	805	WLW	18F -	L	2				2	1	1	1	- B	3																	_
33	643/045	GUNUL	ΤŪΜ	L	Z	Z	Z			Z	Z	1	12	Z																_	
100	6689365	KOKILA	18 F	L	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	16	14																	_
101	6468655	GUNANIDH	16 M	R	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	14	14																	
102	400914S	PRAVEEN	20 M	R	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	10	17																	_
103																															
104																															_
105																															_
106																													_	_	_
107																													_	_	_
108																													_		
109																					_								_		_
110																													_	$ \rightarrow$	
111																													_		
112																													_		
113																													_		_
114																													_		_
115																													_	_	
116																													_	_	_
117																													_	_	_
118																													_	_	_
119																													_	_	_
120																													\downarrow	$ \rightarrow$	
121																													_	_	
118 119 120 121																															

		025		- 90		8																																
	6	ж	X.	ÅI.	8	A.	84	ш	A0	ø	ŵ	Æ	Ŕ.	δĪ.	AU	H 81	- 83	- Kî	R	68	8	90	ю	16	С.	96	84	8	81	8.	9.	94	01	80	φ	10	- M	- 6
			1	K PCB	TOLO	\$1705	104	KPOE	701	CPER	TICA.:	870E	704	6/051	а,		L						an		ar													
		110.00	1					1	1				h	1			er m	001 1910	ICT FTC	er Fre	001 FRE	CT FTC	ini Oli NDI	001 FRE	Pain 6 MONT	CCT POST	ICT FOST	001 P051	001 POST	ICT FORT	123							
1																	DL)	0.1	014	DL3	0.7	DL1	н	DL.	H	041	013	DL3	CR. 6	011	DLI	107	9103.3	WP	104.1	537	90.02.0	W
5	l. me	196	l I	(14)	999	i Mil	K Mark	s de la	5 M I	e da	l. me	199	ň. Lavi	00	94 j	000 <mark>0</mark>																0.0	100	10744	855	10744	100 H	100
1 1	MAN	8.8	999 101			88	8.0	8.0	8.8	8.2	No.	- 83	1994		1	Pana								1	1	0	T	- 65	8				B/m	U.M	0.44	0.00	R/m	9.4
÷.		55	8.8					5.0	98	918	50	510	9.8	504	8.6									-52				- 64	0	- 6								
5	10							503	513	80	53																	8	0	13								
i.	111	63	12			08	0.0	60	1	01	6.3												- 50	10			- 62	1										
1		62	60							43	98													4	1			-6										
ð,	50	88	99	503	10	99	9.0	5.2	92			82	64.5	56	28								- 10	- 92		- 60	45	10	-0	3	1							
1		63	82			51	N											10	10	- 68	10			11		- IV								- 61	l l	n i	11 7	<u>91</u>
1		- 6	90			4/2	-935	52	53	64	90	60	98							- 63				-42		- 46	- 449	- 45	-63									
1		458	-94	63	- 1171																- 63			H,														
Ū.		8.1	88											nn!	24							- 63		3						- 33								
13		46.84	53.9			46.87	53.55					46.27	54.91											392		418			367									
14	6127							6134	63.5													326					323											
15		49.96	55.69					49.27	54.88	1											467	472		400			409											
16		49.31	53.06			48.28	51.88	48.31	519	1											454			419		459	425							0.6		0	1	J.1
17		49.58	65.31			47.44	61.62															445		473		454												
18		48.71	61.46																		465	464		431														
19	5139	48.46	51.95			48.17	52.37	48.08	51.64	ł		44.38	48.99										452	449		421	419		438									
20	52.16	48.06	53.04	47.41	52.63					45.9	51.24												415	421	381			394										
21		46.09	52.29			46.08	52.49													445	434			408		427												
22						49.05	59.49	49.86	63.93	49.66	59	49.54	61.9											497			459	465	433								_	
23		43.02	47.06			43.69	47.73													529		495		478		468												
24		51.16	59.93																			428		443														
25	50.9	45.28	55.42			53.2	66.27	54.14	66.96	52.93	67.26												497	447		476		436										
26				55.25	62.73	54.72	63.13			54.65	63.56									367		356				270		255						11	0	.5		
27		53.66	54.33			51.32	52.41	53.85	54.05	i												399		402		400	405											
28		41.94	45			42.04	45.63	41.64	45.32	:											475	466		462		444	482											
29		42.6	45.22			42.16	45.2													485	471	473		460		481												
30	63.93	55.24	56.99			53.57	59.77	54.37	59.9	-													524	470		497	448											
31		49.69	53.34			49.77	53.2																	469		455												
32		55.91	66.62																					440														
33		45.03	48.1				AA	46.96	54.55	47.09	53.93													416			428	379										
34		59.62	64.95			60.01	66.46																	405		416												
35		48.31	54.31			49.12	55.37																	383		448												

		13		Ŧ		h																																	
	N.	H.			1			-	in.	le.	l n	1.	1.0	11		W.	10	6	W.	p.	b.	16	ĸ	h l	H.	R.	8	84	1	RI.	R.	8	84	14	10	E.	10	1	TK
ÿ,	m	63	81	m	п	40	56	426	- 10	п	mi				m			m			I.I.	hin	-	101	44	10	40	44	10	m	107	10	10771	1	hun	Y	Inr	101	
a.	88	11	08			00	01	15	12	12	415													ø			17		10										
a.		10	10			10	10	100	10										10	10		0	a.		13		38								11	01	12	1	n
<u>8</u>						86	80	80	5.6	89	50	1								N.			10		-				1								- U		Ŭ.
n.		8.8	60																				8																
TY El		0070		(C)	1011	515	NI.															ar.	14		0	81	-00												
12. 12		65	41			103	63															- 10			10		- 93												
11		00	те 66			16.0	10															-			jit.		- 104												-
17 11	112	2.2	20.0 10 10																					50	70														-
正式	1000	1	60																						- 10														-
12		22	200 210			85		110	2.0														55		11		- 45	37											
40 12		410 1010	11.0			- 60 - 101	QU. ULU	4070	w.p														10		972 111		- 493	191											-
H K	214	10.00	8 A. 20 A.	100	100	100	1999																	-		14	10												
49 10	108	114	8.8	TH	DO	19.1		10.00	1919														20	- 11	195	28		10											_
47		207	114			20	110	3.0	22													- 10	- 10		- 400		- 64	10											_
10 15	J.C.U	101	8.3			10.01	10.10																-		01			.00											_
2	240					2.8	3.8	- 4500 - 1000	1.1														-00	- 98			-00	10											-
8		63	58			- (1)	93	472	93																42		- 66	30											_
1		- 82	Ω₽			#1	1																10		- 11		- 67												
8		- 67	5.5			93	5.6																		40		-0												_
\overline{n}		33	95																						- 76														
<u>Jp</u>		54.77	62.13			<u>3173</u>	b3.3/	1																	336		345												
57		54.01	67.52																				411		315														
58		47.36	58.41	49.45	62.35	49.29	61.14	1																	473	413	497												
59		44.93	50.02			46.04	50.55	5															468		447		461												
60		53.95	57.15			53.11	56.78	}																	449		416												
61		51,74	54.26	50.87	53.36																				440		494												
62		54.04	62.33	57.1	6127																				451	385													
63		50.9	62.37																						412														
64		46.02	53,76																		437	434	490		443														
65		47.61	53.81	47.64	54.06																				390	401													
66		53.14	6128	51.96	58.76	512	59.1	1																	404	407	431												
67		44.4	54.42			44.41	53.08	6													473		453		463		474												
68	53.89					51	54.4	1														420		398			382												
69		48.39	54.4																						427														
70	49.43	46.66	49.34	45.87	47.99																			495		451													
71	59.55	52.49	62,74	50.34	57.55																			437	398	395													
72	47.65																						470	469															
73		42.19	5158			42.46	52.45	;																	460		442												
74		46 19	5151			45.49	49.57	5															443		500		413												-
75		58.8	62.42	55.51	59.08																				410	370													+
76	58.98	-0.0		-99	~~~~																		412	399		-													
77		5192	59.45	52.24	59.55	53.97	59.59	;															116	~~~	395		313												
78		60.35	67 17	FR /1	£7.1	- uuur	00.00																		127	200	010												
70		50.00	00.70	10.10	01.1								-												101														

		125		•	•	h																																	
1	10	肼	J.	Ш	ĸ	ц.	н	-	40	T.	12	18	4	11	ш	W	<i>W</i>	8	褶	12	li.	Ш	E.	Ш	н	P.	B.	H	П	ы	R.	I.	IN.	11	10	P.	R	III	
Ŗ		9.16	65	24	- 81																				- 43	10													
Ti-		ų ti	83	60	9.0																				13	1													
90		63	8.5			83	5.6														- 46		- 63		- 61		-01												
ų.		45 R	99	20	20																				30	18													
E		11	10	510	110																				10	10													
8	53	6.0	902	431	25																				28	15													_
Ş.		- 93	97	63	- 673																				- 63	30													_
		88	8.0	9.0	- G.																				30	28													_
96		546	9.8					493	2.0																20			10											_
ξľ.		503	99					434	6.6	_														- 24				40											_
		92	910			1	82	40	8.8	i .						42	8.9								- 121		- 60	R	- 25										
99 	92			- 6	25			468	2.6							46	8.8								- 65	-45		48	-45			-97							
20																									43														_
1																									31														
8																									- 98														_
50																									- 65														_
1																									117														_
26																									39														-
H																									- 63														_
1																									42														_
38											-														347		_												-
99																									41/			_											
100																									439			_											
101																									492			_											
102																									429														
103																																							
104																												_											
100																												_											
105																												_											
100																												_											\vdash
100 100																																							-
110															_													_											-
110															_													_											-
111																												_											
112																												_											
113																																							
114																												_											\vdash
110																												_											\vdash
110																												_											\vdash
11/																												_											\vdash
110																																							\vdash
113															_													_											\vdash
120				_																																			

	U	B	Ŧ	1	fa																						
-	- 54	W.	56	8	10	64	l.	-00	0	R	0	10	Dł	0	6		R.	0.	D4	0	0	0	00	- 01	05	0	0
15	CHL2	14.19	EDL1	WR IC	e da s	w.r	AR DI.	SI PO M	ar cu a NHG	WRD	ST DL 1	WR	ST DL 2	10.5	OST CAL	1 4	V.POS	TOL4	WRO	TOLS	VA POST	DLS	DHALESTEN S	алсан С	erterad S	DMENTS	REP
1	ICM.	una	IDM .	UNI.	103	UDA.	ICA.	una	10%	ICM.	in a	UDA.	ICM.	ura	10%	LD.	W.	120	LDM:	ICAL	ura	ICA)					
Ż							(I.				Ú.	1	- 1	5	14 1	1	1	- 05					0)			1
÷.		1	- 1				(-1)	5				1		5	[1]	1	1	- 05	- 0	- 18			0	1			14
5		1	- 0	1	6 0	1						1	1 1	1	1 1	1							0	1	1	RHEHMOR	
ģ.					i ()		8 O	1			Ú.) (((1	U	I.							1	1			
Ţ							1								1	0							0	1	1		
					1 1	1 0	1 0	1		1	8	1			al I	1	11	<u>0</u> 1	13	- 18			1	1			1
9],	09	1				1 1			1	0	1											0	1			1
1		11)			1 Q)	05 1		18	- 03					0	}			1
1	g	1 01		1		1	1 1	1		1		1											1	1			
8		12		1				5			_												1				-
13						U.	.5	0		0.9	o U.	۱					UI	0.1					2	2			U
14	0/	1.3	U) 01	8			. <mark>4 1</mark> . 1 01	3 c				1	4 1	.4 0									0	2			u
10	U.,	5 I.4 N	u.	J		1	.4 U.	b c				l N	5 U 1	.3									U		2		
10 17	U.,	00		n		0	.0 U.: C O) 1			1 0	0 0		U		_							0		2		6
10	(0.0 1 0.0	0	1		U.	.0 U. 1 O'	2			i u	J											0			אוכחסד מסב ראו	ĥ
19		J 0.0	u		1 01	2 1	4 0.	2			1 0	5	1 0	8			D 9	30					0	1	2		ĥ
20				0	6 0.	1 N	5 D	1 0	8 0	1		,			0.8	11	0.0	0.0					0				-
21	ĺ	1				0	6 0	1				n			0.0	~ 1							1	1			
22	,					0	.8 0.1	6			1 0.	81	3 0	.8 .	1.07 1).6	0.8	0.6					0	2			01
23	() Q1	Û.	1		0	11 0.	1		Û.	1	0											0	2			
24		0.5	0,	5		0	5 0.1	3															0	-	2		
25				-	1 0.9	5 0.	5 0.	3				0.	B (.5	0.5 0).5							0	1	2	1	0
26		17	0.1	6						1	7 0.	61	4 0	.6	1.4).6							2	1	2		
27		1.3	0.9	5			1 0.3	3			1 0.	5											2	3	2		0
28				0.	5 0.	1 0.	.5	0		0.3	3	0 O.	5	0									0	1	2	FAMILY KC	
29	() ()		0		0.	.3	0		Û.	1	0											0	1	2	FAMLYIKC	
30				1	4 0.6	6 1	.4 0.1	6		t	7 0.	3 1	4 0	.5									0	1	2		0
31							1 0.3	3			1 0.	1											0	2	2		0
32							1	1															0	2	2		0
33							1 0.	5		0.3	8 0.	3			0.8).3							0	2	2		0
34						1	.4 0.	8		t	3 0.	8											2	2	2		0
35							1 0.1	6			1 0.	8											0	2	2		0

B B 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 4 13 40 0 41 13 42 0 44 0 44 0 44 0 45 0 46 0 47 0 48 0 49 13 50 0 50 0 50 0 50 0	294 971 11 14 14	11 13 15	10	0	0) 16 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	10 01 10 10 10 10 10 10	05	0	LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL LL	0 01 03 03 03	15 15 13	01 01 10	05	CI N	Di	<u>0</u>	DM	Di	0	Ø	<u>0</u>	0) 0	1	1	Û
30 01 30 01 30 01 30 01 40 15 41 15 42 01 42 01 44 15 47 01 48 0 49 10 40 10 41 0 42 0 43 0 44 0 45 0 46 0 47 0 48 0 49 10 50 0 50 0	11 14 19	11 13 15	0	0	14 15 15 15 15 15 15	01 01 18 18 18	05	K	14 14 13	09 02 03 04	Li Li	91 01 10	05	IJ								0 0	1	1	
35 01 35 01 40 13 41 15 42 01 44 01 44 13 44 14 44 14 44 14 45 14 46 14 47 14 48 13 49 13 50 14 52 15	11 14 09	13	0	0	16 1 0 0 10 0 0	01 10 13 13 13	05	15	H H U	02	U U	01 LD	05	H								- Ó	1	1	
N OI 40 13 41 13 42 01 44 01 44 01 44 01 46 01 47 01 48 01 49 10 50 01 50 01	11 14 09	13	15	03	1 0 0	18 18 01	05	U	H U	09	0	IJ	11									-		-	
30 40 41 42 43 44 44 44 44 44 45 46 47 48 49 40 41 42 43 44 44 45 46 47 48 49 40 41 42 43 44 44 45 46 47 48 49 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 49 41 42 43 44	н 9	ti U	13	03	13 13 14	18 18 01	05	6	ų	06			- 11									0)	1	1
40 41 15 42 01 42 44 44 44 44 47 40 40 10 50 50 50	9	U	15	03	5 0 10 5	18 18 21	05	0	10	- 09				- 13								0	1	1	1
4 15 4 01 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 4 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5	09	Ľ	10	03	0 10 10	18 01	05	- 8														1	2	1	1
12 01 42 44 44 44 47 47 40 47 40 40 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	09	IJ	10	03	8	01																0	1	8	
42 44 44 44 47 47 40 49 40 10 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	09	IJ	10	03	- 13	1.1																0	1	a panen, herritere	
4 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	02	l!	10	03		1.8																0)	1	1
4) 4) 41 4) 4) 5) 5) 5) 5) 5)	09	L!																				0	1	1	
4 打 相 校 13 第 型 気 気	09	12			11	01																0	1	3	
打 相 枚 目 労 型 交 気	-				- 18	- 15			1	- 02		- 01										0	1	1	
40 10 90 91 92 93 93	-				1	- 18			1	- 05												2	2	2	1
4 13 90 91 92 93 93	1		- 11	01			1	- 13														1	2	1	1
90 91 92 93		- 65			- 16	- jū			1	- 02	- 11	- 15										Ú.	1		
월 상 정	1	- 8																				0	1	2	
2 9	01	1	- 13	11					- 13	- 11	Ш	- 13										1	1	1	
5						15			15	- 05	- 0	- 15										2	1	1	
	- 05	11			- 10	1			18	- 0												2	1	1	
					Ū.	- 11			11	01												0		1	1
5					0	17																ů.	2	1	-li
55					14	187																2	1	Z	
57	14	1																				0	1	1	
58					0.8	05	0.6	01	05	03												2	1	2	
59	80	01			0.0	01	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0												2	1	2 MISOPT PRE CXI	
60					0.8	0.5			0.6	01												2	2	2	
61					13	0.5	13	05	0.0													0	2	2	'n
62					13	1	13	0.8														0	- N	2	
63					13	0.8																0	0	2	
64 01	0.8	01			30	0.0																0	1	2	
65	0.0	0.1			1	05	1	05														2	1	1	
88					1/	0.5	13	0.3	13	0.8												0	1	1	0
67	0.8	01			0.9	0.0	1.0	0.0	05	0.5												0	1	2	•
68 03	0.0	0.1	1	05	0.0	0.0			1	0.3												2	1	2	0
60 0.0			1	0.5	0.2	01			1	0.0												0	1		
70			00	01	0.5	u	00	0														2	1	2 0140 0100	
70			0.0	0.1			0.0	0														2	1	2	
71	01	01	0.0	u.s	0	0	U.0	0.3														0	1	2	
72	uı	u.i			1	05				0.5												0	1	2	
73		0			0.0	0.0			0.0	0.0												0	1	2	
74	I	U			0.8	U	40	0.0	U.b	U.I												0	1	2	
70	10	0.5	40	0.5	1.3	U.6	13	U.B														2	1	2	
/b	1.3	0.5	13	U.S		0.0		0.5	4.0	0.5												0	4		
77					1.4	0.6	10	0.0	13	0.5												U	1	Z PHE UXLISUAH	
78					14	115	13	115															<u>م</u>	n	0

	U2	5	- 1		f.																					
	8	56	56	Ŋ.	8	(4	U	00 I	0	R	0	10	D4	0	Q.	D.	0.	Di	01	0	0	00	0	15	CT.	0
¥.		ų,	U U	- 0	- 05																	(
Π						1	15		13	0	- 05											(- 1	FTE DIL SCAT	
1						14	- 13	11	10																	-
94 105			10				- M - 13	UV	- 14		15															
0							- 11	11	11	10														1		
8						14	18	00 100	18													(1		
8				1	- 05	11	B	- 08	1													1		7		
H.						12	- 11	- CI	Ш													0	1	1		1
6						18	- 13	- 14	- 16													(1			
85		- 08	- 8			- 0	- 13															1	3	1		1
If		- 63	- 11			- 11	- 11															1	- 1	1		I.
8	j.					16	į.)			U	Ú)	- 16	ļį,	<u>0</u> 6	11	<u>l</u> j	11		Ú1	1	1		1			1
0	- ĝi				, U			08				- 0	- QI	- 00	ļ,	- 1)	- ()	U	Q.	- 05	- U	(
10 24																										
N.																										
74 20																								1		
ā.																										
5																							1	2		
35																								2		
97																								2		
98																								2		
99																								2		
100																								2		
101																								2		
102																								2		
10.3																										
104																										
106																										
107																										
108																										
109																										
110																										
111																										
112																										
113																										
114 11E																										
110																										
110																										
118																										
440																										